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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder characterised by excessively high blood sugar levels and elevated oxidative stress, resulting in lipid, protein, and carbohydrate metabolism problems. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of coronary artery disease, stroke, and other vascular problems considerably.

Aim: Nebivolol has enhanced tolerability profile with respect to adverse effects usually allied with nonselective β-blockers so, the study’s goal is to assess the comparative effects of nebivolol and metoprolol on metabolic parameters in type II diabetes mellitus with essential hypertension patients.

Methodology: The subjects enrolled for this study are selected from the Out Patient Department of Medicine, MGM medical College, Aurangabad according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Written informed consent is obtained from each patient. Various biochemical parameters are assessed for comparative study of neboivolol and metoprolol drugs in patients. 3 month randomized open label study design is selected and 40 patients are divided into 2 groups, administered nebivolol and metoprolol in each group. Parameters like fasting blood glucose level, postprandial blood glucose level (BGL), HbA1c level, systolic and diastolic blood pressure are assessed using student paired and unpaired t-test.

Result: Fasting blood glucose level, postprandial BGL, HbA1c and systolic blood pressure except diastolic blood pressure are found to be reduced significant in nebivolol treated group than compared to metoprolol group patients. Nebivolol doesn’t show side effect of fatigue.

Conclusion: This comparative study concluded that Nebivolol more efficacious than metoprolol in terms of reducing BGL, HbA1c and blood pressure along with lesser side effects in type II diabetic and hypertension patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic condition marked by high blood sugar levels and increased oxidative stress which leads to the altered metabolism of lipids, proteins and carbohydrates.1 Diabetes mellitus further leads to risk of coronary heart disease, stroke and attribute to other vascular diseases.3 Cardiovascular risk in increased up to four times if the person is suffering simultaneously with hypertension and diabetes mellitus.3,4 Hypertension is usually widespread asymptomatic condition of elevated blood pressure which become risk factor for the cardiovascular disease and leads to global mortality according to World Health Organization survey.5 Several antihypertensive regimens offered for clinical management of hypertension but beta (β) blockers are efficiently used in clinical hypertension.6-9 Non-selective beta–blockers have limited use in hypertensive patient with metabolic syndrome because they have negative impact on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism.10

Third-generation β-blockers are most suitable clinical therapeutic agents for metabolic disorders along with hypertension as they are providing better improvement in diabetes mellitus and reducing cardiovascular manifestation.11,12 Among Third-generation β-blockers, Nebivolol shown vasodilatory activity due to release of endothelial nitric oxide (NO) and become approved drug for the treatment of essential hypertension.13 Recently nitric oxide is
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noted for its engrossment for the in the directive for large arterial stiffness\textsuperscript{14,15} so arterial stiffening has hence know as a unique therapeutic target regarding blood pressure decrease and cardiovascular disease avoidance. Drugs such as nebivolol possibility decrease blood pressure and recover endothelial function could be expressly valuable particular and must be taken for granted as substitute first-line treatment for hypertension.

In addition, nebivolol enhances the bioavailability nitric oxide of endogenously.\textsuperscript{16,17} Nitric oxide further attenuations the PAI-1 expression\textsuperscript{18} and progresses sensitivity of insulin and uptake of glucose in muscle.\textsuperscript{19}

Previous studies shown that nebivolol has enhanced tolerability profile, in response to adverse events usually related with nonselective β-blockers on cardiovascular, respiratory and metabolic functions.\textsuperscript{20}

Hence, by keeping above therapeutic action of nebivolol, research study was planned to evaluate the comparative effects of nebivolol with metoprolol on metabolic parameters with patients of essential hypertension concomitant type II diabetes mellitus.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Patient selection**

The current research was done in partnership with the Medicine Department in MGMs medical college and hospital Aurangabad. The subjects enrolled for this study were identified from the Out Patient Department of Medicine, MGM medical College, Aurangabad according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

**Study Design**

12 week randomized open label single centre prospective clinical study. Patient desired to be a part of the study then his consent (signature or thumb impression) was taken in the informed consent form. The informed consent form was agreed by IRB/IERC. A Case Record Form was completed and signed by the investigator and complied according to ICH - GCP regulations. The study was carried out from 01/09/2011 to 30/11/2013. Patients were divided into two groups A and B. Group A 20 patients, received Metoprolol (50 mg twice daily). Group B also has 20 patients and received Nebivolol (5mg once daily) (Fig 1).

**Study Parameters**

After screening the eligibility of patients, the parameter were recorded in each patient like Physical examination, Systemic examination, Vital signs, Past medical history, Concomitant medications, Clinical examination, Laboratory analysis. Study visits included clinic visits on day 0 and day 90. Patients underwent the same investigations during each visit. Biochemical tests like Fasting blood glucose level, post meal BGL, HbA1c and systolic and diastolic blood pressure were performed at day 0 and after 3 month treatment.

**Blood sugar and HbA1c assessment**

Blood was drawn from patients via vein puncture, with all aseptic precautions taken. Fasting and Postprandial blood sugar assessment were done on semi auto analyzer by glucose oxidase /peroxidase [GOD / POD] method. Glucose is oxidized by GOD to hydrogen peroxidise and coupled with 4 amino-antipyrene (4AAP) and phenol to yield a red quinone-imine dye which is measured at 505nm and indicates concentration of glucose in the sample. HPLC method was used for assessment of HbA1c.

**Hemodynamic Measurements**

The presence and whole vanishing of the Korotokoff sounds (K1 and K5) was used for the governing the systolic and diastolic blood pressures using an aneroid sphygmomanometer. The mean of 3 supine measurements was used.

**Statistical analysis**

In present study the complete data are presented in the form of as mean, standard deviation (SD). Baseline readings of two groups of treatment has been compared by applying a paired and unpaired student t-test. The action of both drugs on hemodynamic and metabolic variables has been compared by applying the general linear models and noted that the between-subject variable is β-blocker. Further, the P value < 0.05 was considered significant. The IBM SPSS Statistics version 19.0.0 was used to analyse the data.


**Ethical Clearance No.:**

**RESULTS**

**Age and sex wise distribution**
Age and sex wise distribution of the subjects in all two groups under study were assessed. All the two groups consisted of 30 subjects each. Group I consisted of 60% male and 40% female patients. Male patients in Group II were 70% and female 30% (Table 1).

**HbA1c assessment**
After three month of treatment, a statistically significant decrease in HbA1c levels in Group I (metoprolol) and Group II (nebivolol) was seen as compared to baseline using paired t test. However, the mean difference of nebivolol (0.7) was found to be more than metoprolol (0.5) (Table 2). Furthermore statistically significant decrease in HbA1c level was shown by nebivolol as compared to metoprolol by using unpaired ‘t’ test in both groups (Table 3).

**Fasting blood glucose assessment**
A statistically significant decrease in fasting blood glucose levels were shown in Group I (metoprolol) and Group II (nebivolol) as compared to baseline using paired t test after three month of treatment. However, the mean difference of nebivolol (8.2) was found to be more than metoprolol (7.5) (Table 4). Furthermore statistically significant decrease in fasting blood glucose level was shown by nebivolol as compared to metoprolol by using unpaired ‘t’ test in both groups (Table 5).

**Postprandial blood sugar assessment**
After three month of treatment, a statistically significant decrease in postprandial blood glucose levels were shown in Group I (metoprolol) and Group II (nebivolol) as compared to baseline using paired t test. However, the mean difference of nebivolol (20) was found to be more than metoprolol (15.2) (Table 6). Furthermore statistically significant (p value=0.0155) decrease in postprandial blood glucose level was shown by nebivolol as compared to metoprolol by using unpaired t test in both both groups (Table 7).

**Systolic blood pressure assessment**
Systolic blood pressure was significantly decrease in Group I (metoprolol) and Group II (nebivolol) as compared to baseline using paired t test after three month treatment. The mean difference of nebivolol (14.1) was found to be more than metoprolol (13.1) (Table 8). Furthermore statistically significant (p value=0.0355) decrease in systolic blood pressure was shown by nebivolol as compared to metoprolol by using unpaired ‘t’ test in both both groups (Table 9).

**Diastolic blood pressure assessment**
Diastolic blood pressure was significantly decrease in Group I (metoprolol) and Group II (nebivolol) as compared to baseline using paired t test after three month treatment. The mean difference of nebivolol (9.77) was found to be more than metoprolol (7.93) (Table 10). Furthermore statistically significant (p value=0.8147) decrease was not found in diastolic blood pressure by nebivolol as compared to metoprolol by using unpaired t test in both groups (Table 11).

**Adverse drug reaction**
No any serious adverse drug reaction was shown in nebivolol group but metoprolol group shown fatigue in one patient (table 12).

**DISCUSSION**
This study was a comparative and observational to evaluate the antihypertensive, metabolic and safety parameters of nebivolol and metoprolol in hypertensive patients co-existing with DM. Cardiovascular risks increased up to two third when the hypertensive person is suffering along with type II diabetes mellitus. Mostly antihypertensive therapies worsen glucose control and increases complications which are yet to be unclear. Since a decade, The benefit of blood pressure lowering may be negated because some antihypertensives may have untoward metabolic effects.

The effects of antihypertensive therapy provided by different classes of drugs may differ on glucose metabolism and lipid metabolism, suggests research. Increase in sugar in blood while on regimen of antihypertensive it is noted that a forecaster of cardiovascular diseases. Insulin resistance constantly pointed for its linking for the endothelial dysfunction which further leads to cardiovascular complications, so, above studies indicates a inter link between cardiovascular diseases and metabolic complications. Concurrently to this text, necessary to select the antihypertensive drugs with minimum adverse metabolic effects, especially in patients having diabetes mellitus. Despite these drawbacks, there is still room for improvement in clinical outcomes.

Metabolic complications are increased and confirmed in clinical trials while using β-blockers to treat hypertensive patient with co existing diabetes mellitus. These β-blockers disturbs insulin release, gluconeogenesis, glycoxygenation and insulin mediated activation of glucose uptake along with weight gain. Nebivolol is highly selective drug with vasodilatory properties along with, positive actions on metabolic parameters. The clinical study demonstrated that when nebivolol was administered that effectively lowered BP and produced improvement in metabolic parameters like fasting glucose and lipid profile,
and reduced glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c). Our study was also concluded that diabetic patients with BP exhibited developments in metabolic parameters. With the similar line of research nebivolol shown the similar results in our study i.e. reduces fasting and postprandial sugar level. Significant reduction in fasting blood glucose level is seen in ours study from baseline value of 138.4 to 124.4, similarly postprandial blood glucose level is also significant is reduced from baseline value of 203.2 to 183.1 after 3 month of treatment of nebivolol.

Several studies and trials, were conducted using nebivolol (once daily) in patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension, showed a significant reduction in hypertension and further all these studies were consistence with our study which showed baseline changed by nebivolol from 143.1/98.97 to end point of 128.7/89.20 as compared to metoprolol after 3 months of treatment.

Previous study investigated the metabolic effects of treatment with nebivolol showed that reduction of mean HbA1c from baseline value after treatment. Our study showed similar reduction of HbA1c from baseline value of 7.68 to 6.93 after 12 weeks of treatment of nebivolol.

Notwithstanding being a selective drug for β1-adrenoceptors, metoprolol evidence suggests that adverse effects on both carbohydrate and as well as on insulin sensitivity. There has been a significant difference in the characteristics of nebivolol compared to other third-generation β-blockers, such as celiprolol and carvedilol, in most clinical studies.

Due to reduction in oxidative stress by nebivolol it showed diverse effects on metabolic processes of body. Further this drug also possesses vasodilatory property to produce antihypertensive action similar to other third generation β-blockers. By above mentioned valuable factors of nebivolol is initially evaluated preclinical models and afterwards established same in humans followed by patients with essential hypertension. Mechanistically beta-adrenoceptor antagonism is mediated endothelium-dependent arterial and venous dilatation via the L-arginine-nitric oxide (NO)-dependent pathway whereas other third-generation β-blockers mediated vasodilator effect through beta-adrenoceptor antagonism.

**CONCLUSION**

Nebivolol has shown beneficial effects on glucose metabolism by reducing fasting, postprandial blood sugar and HbA1c level along with beneficial effects on hypertension. Nebivolol is a well tolerated β-blocker than metoprolol. It may therefore be recommended as first-line treatment option for the management of patients with type II DM with mild to moderate hypertension.
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