Adhesive Capsulitis in Diabetes Mellitus – An Observational Study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Shoulder problems are the most disabling manifestation of musculoskeletal disorders in diabetes. Patients with diabetes are five times more likely to develop capsulitis compared to non-diabetic controls.

Aim: The present study aimed to see the prevalence of adhesive capsulitis in patients with diabetes.

Methodology: This was a cross-sectional study approved by the Institution Ethics Committee. Patients diagnosed with type I and type II diabetes, aged 18 years and above, having a complaint of shoulder pain (unilateral or bilateral) were included in the study. Those patients who had undergone surgeries, fractures around the involved shoulder and any neurological conditions of the upper limb were excluded. Demographic data, duration of diabetes, dominance, type of treatment for diabetes were taken for all the patients. Shoulder ranges and functional activities were assessed in detail.

Results: A total of 243 patients with diabetes was enrolled in the study. 116 (47.74%) were females, 127 (52.26%) were males. Out of 243 patients with diabetes, 22 patients had adhesive capsulitis. The prevalence of adhesive capsulitis was 9.1%. A significant correlation (p<0.05) was seen between adhesive capsulitis and the duration of diabetes. No significant correlation was found between adhesive capsulitis and age, gender, BMI, HbA1c, dominance and type of treatment (oral hypoglycemic, insulin or both).

Conclusion: The prevalence of adhesive capsulitis in patients with diabetes was 9.1%. A significant correlation (p<0.05) was seen between adhesive capsulitis and the duration of diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

India, with 65 million cases of diabetes, stands second only to China worldwide in the number of cases with diabetes.¹ Musculoskeletal complications in diabetes are not very widely known.² The hand and shoulder are the most commonly affected areas due to musculoskeletal complications in diabetes.³,⁴ Shoulder problems are described as the most disabling manifestation of musculoskeletal disorders.⁵,⁶ Several studies have shown the prevalence of adhesive capsulitis (AC) in diabetes to be around 10-30%, and 2-5% in the general population.⁷,⁸ A meta-analysis by Zreik et al (2016) has shown that patients with diabetes are five times more likely to develop capsulitis compared to non-diabetic controls. In the same study, the mean prevalence of diabetes mellitus in patients with AC was found to be 30%.⁹ Thus the present study aimed to know the prevalence of adhesive capsulitis in diabetes.

METHODOLOGY

This was a cross-sectional study that was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (Ethical clearance letter no: SVIEC/ON/205/15008). After taking a written informed consent, consecutive patients diagnosed with type I and type II diabetes, aged 18 years and above, who complained of shoulder pain (unilateral or bilateral) were approached to take part in the study. Patients with a history of surgery around the shoulder, rotator cuff tear, fractures of the upper
limb on the involved side in the past one year, patients having radiating pain from the neck, rheumatoid arthritis, arthritis of glenohumeral joint and neurological deficits were excluded. The patients who gave written consent for inclusion in the study were assessed in detail.

Demographic features including age, gender and body mass index (BMI) were taken. Diabetes-related questions like a method of control (diet, oral hypoglycemic drugs or insulin) and duration of diabetes were taken. The dominance of the hand was noted. Adhesive capsulitis was confirmed as shoulder pain along with loss of active and passive range of the shoulder in the absence of a known shoulder disorder. Shoulder ranges were assessed with a goniometer and functional activities like combing the hair, taking the hand behind the back, wearing clothes were assessed.

**Statistical analysis**

Baseline characteristics are presented as means with standard deviation (SD), medians with range. Normality of the data was seen using the Shapiro Wilk test. As the data was non-parametric, Spearman’s correlation coefficient and Mann Whitney U test were performed. Statistical significance was kept at p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

A total of 243 patients with diabetes was enrolled in the study. 116 (47.74%) were females, 127 (52.26%) were males.

Out of 243 patients with diabetes, 22 patients had adhesive capsulitis. The prevalence of adhesive capsulitis was 9.1%. **Tables 1 and 2** show the demographic characteristics of patients without and with adhesive capsulitis respectively. **Table 3** compares the different variables in patients with diabetes mellitus, with and without Adhesive capsulitis. **Figure 1** shows the correlation of adhesive capsulitis with the duration of diabetes.

The duration of capsulitis varied from 01 months to 03 years in the present study. The most affected ranges were external rotation (average range-15°) and abduction (average range-100°). All patients complained of more pain during overhead activities like combing with the affected side which involves a combination of flexion, abduction and external rotation at the shoulder and wearing clothes with the uninjured side first. Women complained of more pain in bringing the saree from behind which involves a combination of extension, adduction and internal rotation of the shoulder and men had more pain in removing the wallet from the back pocket which also involves the combination of extension, adduction and internal rotation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Without Adhesive capsulitis (n=221) Mean ±SD</th>
<th>With Adhesive capsulitis (n=22) Mean ±SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55.03±11.44</td>
<td>58.77±8.86</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>25.66±5.01</td>
<td>25.46±4.77</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (months)</td>
<td>81.69±87.23</td>
<td>129.32±90.56</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A significant correlation was found between AC and duration of diabetes showing that patients with AC had a longer duration of diabetes. The prevalence of AC was 7.4%, 17.1%, 20% and 0% in patients with duration of diabetes of 10 years, 20 years, 30 years and 40 years. This is in agreement with several studies.\textsuperscript{12,18,22} According to Yian et al. (2012) patients whose treatment for diabetes lasted longer than 10 years had an odds ratio of 1.85 to develop AC vs an odds ratio of 1.40 for those with 5-10 years of treatment.\textsuperscript{18} Inayat et al. did not find a correlation with the duration of diabetes.\textsuperscript{6} However the number of patients with diabetes and with or without capsulitis in all the groups was not similar.

There was no significant correlation seen between AC and age and BMI.\textsuperscript{6,12} Arkkila et al. showed a significant correlation between age and AC but not between BMI and AC.\textsuperscript{28}

Also, no significant correlation was seen between AC and gender, both genders were equally affected.\textsuperscript{12} According to some studies, females are 1.27 to 2.66 times, more commonly affected than males.\textsuperscript{6,9,18}

None of the three patients with type 1 diabetes had capsulitis. This could probably be because all three of them were young (<37 years) and their duration of diabetes ranged from 1.5 months to 4 years which was very less. Doria et al (2017) and Arkkila et al. (1996) have shown an association of age and duration of diabetes with AC in type 1 diabetes.\textsuperscript{1,2,24}

There was no significant relationship between glycated haemoglobin (HbA\textsubscript{1c}) and the prevalence of AC. Previous studies have also shown no correlation between AC and HbA\textsubscript{1c}.\textsuperscript{11,12,18,28} According to Chan et al. singular HbA\textsubscript{1c} is only a measure of short-term blood sugar control, reflecting an average of only 3 months’ disease which might not portray total diabetic disease burden.\textsuperscript{11}

In the present study, out of 22 patients with AC, 05 patients were on insulin, 14 were on oral hypoglycemic drugs and 03 were on a combination of drugs and insulin. There was no significant correlation seen between the type of treatment for diabetes (medications, insulin or both) and AC which is in agreement with several studies.\textsuperscript{9} These findings are in contrast to Yian et al.’s (2012) findings that patients on insulin along with or without oral hypoglycemics were 1.93 times more likely than those not on insulin to have AC.\textsuperscript{18} Those on oral hypoglycemic drugs were 1.5 times more likely to develop AC than those who did not take oral hypoglycemic drugs or use insulin.\textsuperscript{6,18}

One of the limitations of the present study was that HbA\textsubscript{1c} was not taken for all the patients. But studies say that HbA\textsubscript{1c} is not a true marker for diabetes as it gives only average values of the past three months. Also, it is an expensive
test. It is not possible to test all patients with diabetes with this.

**CONCLUSION**

Screening for adhesive capsulitis in patients with diabetes should be done by physicians/diabetologists. Awareness and education about AC should be created in all patients with diabetes so that an earlier diagnosis and treatment may prevent progression to chronic, treatment-resistant AC and improve the quality of life. Screening, prevention, and rehabilitation strategies for shoulder dysfunction should be included in diabetic care programs.
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