Role of Body Mass Index, Mean Arterial Pressure, and Uterine Artery Doppler at 11-14 Weeks in Prediction of Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension in Low-Risk Population
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INTRODUCTION

Hypertensive disorders represent the most common medical complication of pregnancy affecting between 2-10% of all gestations and account for approximately a quarter of all antenatal admissions.1 Prediction of pregnancy-induced hypertension is difficult by a single test due to its heterogeneous nature.

It can be assessed to some extent by clinical risk factors (primigravida, PIH in a previous pregnancy, chronic hypertension and renal disease, family history of preeclampsia, type 1 and 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, advanced maternal age (>40years), BMI> 30, multi-fetal gestation, In Vitro Fertilization pregnancies, biophysical factors (mean arterial pressure, body mass index, rollover test and uterine artery doppler in 1st and 2nd trimester) and biochemical markers like serum level of Pregnancy Associated Plasma Protein-A, placental growth factor in 1st trimester.2 A prevalent theory for the development of preeclampsia relates to abnormal vasculature maturation of the arteries within the placenta. Incomplete trophoblastic invasion is the main cause leading to pre-eclampsia.3,4 Doppler imaging permits non-invasive evaluation of uteroplacental circulation. During pregnancy, the normal uterine artery Doppler waveform shows low-resistance flow during diastole with the peak end-diastolic flow increasing as gestational age increases. Assessment of the uterine artery waveform can give indirect evidence of abnormal placentation by displaying a
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Routine first-trimester scan

An average of four readings was taken. MAP = Systolic pressure + (2*diastolic pressure) / 3

The current study was carried out to find out the ability of uterine artery pulsatility index along with mean arterial pressure and body mass index (BMI) at 11 – 14 weeks of pregnancy for prediction of pregnancy-induced hypertension in a low-risk population of eastern India.5,6

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective observational study conducted in IMS & SUM Hospital under SOA university from August 2018 to August 2020. Pregnant women with singleton pregnancy attending antenatal OPD of our hospital at the gestational age of 11-14 weeks were included in the study. Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained. Informed consent was ensured from all participants.

Nonviable pregnancy detected at that gestation like missed abortion, molar pregnancy, fetal abnormality, hypertensive disorders on treatment with antihypertensives, aspirin and heparin, multi-fetal gestation and women with other endocrine metabolic disorders like pre-gestational diabetes, thyroid disorders were excluded.

200 women satisfying inclusion criteria were included in the study. After implementing the informed consent process, detailed patient history and examination was performed. For all women, clinical history was enquired for risk factors like age, parity, BMI, previous history of preeclampsia, thrombophilia. Routine antenatal investigations like blood group, Complete Blood Count, thyroid profile, FBS, urine routine and microscopy, serology, NT scan performed at 11-14 weeks along with uterine artery doppler for PI value. (>95th percentile i.e 2.5). Follow up of antenatal mothers was done till delivery for the development of PIH.

Blood pressure (BP) measurement was done after 5-minute rest in women in sitting position with arm positioned at heart level in both arms simultaneously and 2 sets of BP measurements done at a 1-minute interval. The appearance of the first Korotkoff sound was taken as systolic pressure and the last sound heard as the diastolic pressure and the nearest even digit was taken.9 Calculation of mean arterial pressure (MAP) was done with this formula.

MAP = Systolic pressure + (2*diastolic pressure) / 3

An average of four readings was taken.

Body Mass Index (BMI) Measurement

The weight of the woman was recorded during the first antenatal visit with a digital weighing machine after calibration and height measured in cm. BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (in meter). BMI = weight (kg) / height (m²) and then subcategorized as BMI (< 18.5 = underweight, 18.5-24.9 = Normal, 25.0-29.9 = Overweight, 30.0 and above = obese.)7,8

Uterine Artery Doppler Measurement

Trans-abdominal uterine artery Doppler was done using a curvilinear probe (3.5 MHz).9,10 Routine first-trimester scan preset with colour Doppler velocity 30–40 cm/s, pulsed wave Doppler velocity 80–100 cm/s, low filter (50–100 Hz) and pulsed Doppler sample volume 2 mm. The angle of insonation was kept less than 30°. The peak systolic velocity was ensured more than 60 cm/s in the uterine artery. When three similar consecutive waveforms obtained, mean PI was obtained as the average of the left PI and right PI.11

All women included in the study were followed up with regular antenatal care at 4 weeks interval or a minimum of 4 visits. Any onset of PIH for each woman noted and gestational age of onset of PIH also noted. Early-onset PE is defined as onset before 34 weeks of gestation and late-onset when PE sets at or after 34 weeks of gestation.12 Preterm PIH patients were given proper medical management for control of PIH and fetal maturity. Delivery in such cases was optimised as per institutional protocol.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done with Microsoft Excel 2007 software and further analyzed in SPSS version 24. All the categorical variables were expressed in terms of number/frequency and percentages. Association between two categorical variables were obtained by using the Chi-square test or Fischer-exact test. All the continuous variables were expressed in terms of mean/median and standard deviation/interquartile range. Significance level in comparison of means was obtained by independent sample t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. A Box-whisker plot was used to describe the continuous variables. Bar and pie charts were used to describe the categorical variables. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the predictability of the variables for the outcomes and the area under the curve (AUC) with a 95% confidence interval was also used to describe the curve. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The current prospective observational study was carried out to find out the ability of MAP, BMI, uterine artery pulsatility.
index values at 11 – 14 weeks of pregnancy for prediction of PIH. 200 low-risk pregnant mothers were included in our study for the analysis.

The mean age of the study participants 25.11 ± 2.78 years with a minimum of 20 years to a maximum of 35 years. The majority were primigravida 159/200 (79.5%) whereas 41/200 (20.5%) were multigravida. Only 20/200 (10%) of the study participants had an abortion history. The mean BMI of the study participants was 25.11 ± 2.78 kg/m² with a minimum of 20 to a maximum of 29.20 kg/m². BMI category wise distribution revealed that more than 90% of the subjects had normal weight while only 7.5% were overweight.

Mean MAP among the study participants was 80.32 ±7.36 mm of Hg. The mean uterine artery pulsatility index (PI) value of the study participants was 1.48 ± 0.45 (Table 1). Among the study participants, 7 subjects (3.5%) developed preeclampsia during the follow-up period. The incidence of early-onset preeclampsia was 2% while that of late-onset preeclampsia was 1.5%.

**Early-onset Preeclampsia**

Figure 1 ROC curve showing the ability of uterine artery PI value in predicting early-onset pre-eclampsia. The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.984 with a p-value of 0.001 and a 95% CI of 0.967 – 1.00. Further analysis using Youden’s index was found that at a uterine artery PI value of 2.51, the predictive ability of early-onset pre-eclampsia was 100% sensitive and 97.9% specific.

Figure 2 shows the ROC curve showing the ability of MAP in predicting early-onset pre-eclampsia. The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.793 with a p-value of 0.045 and a 95% CI 0.694 – 0.892. Using, Youden’s index it was found that at a MAP of 83.15, the predictive ability of early-onset pre-eclampsia was 100% sensitive and 70.5% specific.

MAP, Uterine artery Doppler PI were statistically significant in early-onset PE compared to normal subjects with a p-value of 0.040 and 0.001 respectively. BMI was not significantly higher in early-onset PE compared to normal with (p= 0.863) (Table2).

**Late-onset preeclampsia**

Figure 3 shows the ROC curve showing the ability of uterine artery PI value in predicting late-onset pre-eclampsia. The AUC was 0.910 with a p-value of 0.015 and a 95% CI 0.788 – 1.00. By Youden’s index it was found that at uterine artery PI value of 2.51, the predictive ability of late-onset pre-eclampsia was 100% sensitive and 76.2% specific. Figure 4 shows the ROC curve showing the ability of MAP in predicting late-onset PE The AUC was 0.492 with a p-value of 0.963 and a 95% CI 0.131 – 0.853. MAP, BMI were not significantly higher in late-onset PE compared to the normal population with a p value of 0.960 and 0.846 respectively. But uterine artery PI was significantly higher (p= 0.001) in late-onset PE compared to the normal population (Table 3).

**Prediction of PE (including early and late-onset)**

The ROC curve showing the ability of uterine artery doppler PI value in predicting both early and late-onset pre-eclampsia (Figure 5). The AUC was 0.953 with a p-value of < 0.001 and a 95% CI 0.892 – 1.00. Further analysis using Youden’s index was found that at a uterine artery doppler PI value of 2.51, the predictive ability of early-onset pre-eclampsia was 100% sensitive and 76.2% specific. ROC curve showing the ability of mean arterial pressure in predicting late-onset pre-eclampsia. The AUC was 0.492 with a p-value of 0.963 and a 95% CI 0.131 – 0.853. (Figure 6). It shows the ROC curve showing the ability of mean arterial pressure in predicting both early and late-onset pre-eclampsia. The AUC was 0.664 with a p-value of 0.141 and a 95% CI (0.464 – 0.864). MAP and BMI are not significantly higher for the prediction of pre-eclampsia with a p-value of 0.205 and 0.099 respectively when considered individually. Uterine artery PI is significantly higher in PE (both early and late) with a p value <0.001 which is statistically significant (Table 4). At the cutoff level of 25 kg/m², the detection rate of BMI of pre-eclampsia was 28.6%. Similarly, for MAP and uterine artery doppler PI it was 71.4% and 100% respectively. BMI and MAP combinedly detected 71.4% of pre-eclampsia cases while in any combination with uterine artery doppler PI the detection rate rises to 100%. The details were given in Table 4 and Figure 5.

**DISCUSSION**

Pregnancy-induced hypertension is a burden on the health care system. It is associated with increased risk of placental abruption, acute renal failure, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular complications, disseminated intravascular coagulation, maternal death, fetal growth restriction, stillbirth. Therefore, the ability to predict PIH is vital. Many screening tests have been investigated to predict preeclampsia, but only a few of them reached satisfactory sensitivities and specificities due to the heterogeneous nature of the disease. Preeclampsia prediction could not be achieved by a single test. The current prospective observational study was carried out to find out the ability of uterine artery Doppler values along with mean arterial pressure and body mass index (BMI) at 11–14 weeks of pregnancy for prediction of pregnancy-induced hypertension. 200 pregnant women were included in our study and followed up till delivery.

In our study, which includes low-risk women, the incidence of pre-eclampsia is 3.5%. It includes both early-onset and...
late-onset pre-eclampsia. This is similar to studies like Osungbade et al. and WHO in 2005 that projected incidence of preeclampsia ranges between 2% and 10% of pregnancies worldwide and 3% to 10% according to Duley et al. in 2009. around 2% globally.\textsuperscript{1,13,14}

The incidence of early-onset pre-eclampsia in our study is 2% and the incidence of late-onset pre-eclampsia is 1.5%. The incidence of late-onset preeclampsia in our study is similar to Poon et al. where it was 1.5%.\textsuperscript{15} BMI can be considered as a predictor of pre-eclampsia. According to Syngelaki et al. study which included a large sample size of 41,577 women the median BMI was 24.4 and which demonstrated increasing maternal BMI increase chances of stillbirth, pre-eclampsia, gestational hypertension, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and subsequent miscarriages.\textsuperscript{16}

According to Anderson et al. study, which included 22,500 women in retrospective cohort analysis concluded overweight and obesity increases the risk of pre eclampsia.\textsuperscript{17}

According to Mrema et al. study, which included 17,738 women concluded overweight and obesity have a 1.4 and 1.8 times increased risk of pre-eclampsia than normal BMI.\textsuperscript{18} In our study, the median BMI is 22.5 and the mean BMI of PIH patients is higher than the normal population i.e (23.57±1.67) and (22.28±2.03) respectively. The majority of women who developed preeclampsia had higher BMI than the normal population. However, it was not statistically significant.

Blood pressure measurement, part of our routine antenatal checkup and MAP calculation being an inexpensive tool can be used for the prediction of pre-eclampsia. The detection rate (DR) of pre-eclampsia in our study by mean arterial pressure at the cut-off of 83.15 mmHg is 71.4% which is in line with studies of Caritas et al.\textsuperscript{19} study with a detection rate of 66% and Friedmann et al. with a DR of 64% and Quass et al with a DR of 65% and Kučs et al and Cnossen JS et al.\textsuperscript{20,22} The DR of MAP is better for early-onset preeclampsia compared to late-onset with DR of 100% which is similar to studies of Oney et al. and Rogers et al. with DR of 93%\textsuperscript{23,24} The DR of late-onset preeclampsia in our study is 33.3% which is similar to Sylwia Kuc study with DR of 31% and O’Gorman N, Wright with DR of 43% respectively.\textsuperscript{26,27}

In our study, uterine artery doppler was significantly higher in preeclampsia with a p value of < 0.001 which is statistically significant as the similar result was obtained in the study by Gomez et al., which included 999 women, where the mean PI value of the preeclampsia patients was significantly higher (2.46 ± 0.28) than normal women (1.435 ± 0.45).\textsuperscript{28} In our study, it was found that at a uterine artery pulsatility index value of 2.51, the predictive ability of early-onset pre-eclampsia is 100% and sensitivity 97.9%. According to our study,

The uterine artery is a better predictor for early-onset PE concerning late-onset PE with DR of 100% and 33.3% respectively. This is quite similar to one of the largest study to the date of Plasencia et al. which included 6015 women. Screening by uterine artery doppler helps identify women who develop severe early-onset PE than the late-onset disease.\textsuperscript{29} It could predict pre-eclampsia requiring delivery before 34 weeks was 82% compared to 31% for late pre-eclampsia. In another study by Pilalis et al. including 878 women concluded that uterine artery doppler PI value of 95th centile is useful for early prediction giving a mean PI value higher in preeclamptic women compared to normal.\textsuperscript{30} Khong et al. in 2015 concluded that as an isolated marker Uterine Artery PI is sensitive in predicting pre eclampsia.\textsuperscript{31} Another meta-analysis by Velauthar et al. including 55,974 women concluded first-trimester uterine artery a useful predictor of pre eclampsia.\textsuperscript{32}

The AUROC of our study for uterine artery PI value was 0.953 with a p-value of < 0.001 which is statistically significant. According to Erdogdu et al. study including 412 women with AUROC was 0.79 for prediction of pre-eclampsia and 0.83 for early PE.\textsuperscript{33} If we take the 95th percentile of our study (2.48) as cut off, then sensitivity for early-onset was 100% and specificity was 96%. For late-onset, sensitivity was 33.3% and specificity was 95%. The uterine artery pulsatility index 95th centile of our study is 2.48 which is closer to the 95th centile of study by Gomez et al. (PI value 2.5). Hence, for our population, we can take uterine artery PI value of 2.5 as cut off for prediction of PIH.\textsuperscript{34}

In our study, on combining BMI with Mean arterial pressure (MAP) the detection rate of pre-eclampsia is 71.4%. On combining BMI, MAP and uterine artery doppler PI, the detection rate improves to 85.7%. This goes in line with the study of LC Poon et al. which included 8016 women. The detection rate of early PE at a 10% false-positive rate increased from 47% in screening by maternal factors alone to 81% in screening by maternal factors and the Uterine Artery PI value.\textsuperscript{35} Another study by Wright et al. including 58,884 women which states maternal characteristics, uterine artery PI and MAP can detect 90% of pre-eclampsia cases requiring delivery before 34 weeks.\textsuperscript{36} We have taken the period of gestation as 11–13\textsuperscript{37} weeks as this is the antenatal visit where history taking and all routine investigations along with sonography (NT scan) is done. Uterine artery doppler can be performed in another few minutes without much effort. Blood pressure and measurement of BMI is part of routine checkup.

CONCLUSION

Pathophysiological changes in pre-eclampsia are believed to occur in early pregnancy and antedate clinical manifestation of the disease. A combination of biophysical, biochemical markers along with uterine artery PI is the best option for
screening pre-eclampsia. In many areas of India laboratories with biochemical marker, the facility is not available or not feasible. Also, laboratories should be standardised throughout for acceptable results. According to the present study results, BMI, MAP, uterine artery pulsatility index when used individually as predictors are less sensitive compared to when they are used in combination. Obstetrician/sonologist should be trained for taking proper uterine artery Doppler measurement. It should be done according to the Fetal Medicine Foundation criteria.
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Table 1: Mean arterial pressure and uterine artery doppler values among study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>80.32</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>93.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/A Doppler</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Association of different factor with early onset preeclampsia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early onset Pre-eclampsia (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Normal (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>86.65 ± 3.86</td>
<td>80.19 ± 7.37</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.50 ± 2.32</td>
<td>22.32 ± 2.02</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/A Doppler PI</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Association of different factor with late onset preeclampsia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Late onset Pre-eclampsia (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Normal (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>80.00 ± 10.0</td>
<td>80.32 ± 7.35</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.10 ± 2.32</td>
<td>22.32 ± 2.04</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/A Doppler PI</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Association of the different factor with both early and late-onset preeclampsia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early and late Pre-eclampsia (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Normal (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>83.80 ± 7.31</td>
<td>80.19 ± 7.35</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.57 ± 1.67</td>
<td>22.28 ± 2.03</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/A Doppler PI</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Receiver operating characteristics curve showing ability of uterine artery doppler PI value in predicting early onset pre-eclampsia.

Figure 2: Receiver operating characteristics curve showing the ability of mean arterial pressure in predicting early-onset pre-eclampsia.

Figure 3: Receiver operating characteristics curve showing ability of uterine artery doppler PI value in predicting late onset pre-eclampsia.

Figure 4: Receiver operating characteristics curve showing the ability of mean arterial pressure in predicting late-onset pre-eclampsia.
**Figure 5:** Receiver operating characteristics curve showing the ability of uterine artery doppler PI value in predicting both early and late-onset pre-eclampsia.

**Figure 6:** Receiver operating characteristics curve showing ability of mean arterial pressure in predicting both early and late onset pre-eclampsia.

**Figure 7:** Detection rate of different predictors of pre-eclampsia.