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ABSTRACT

Background: Regular participation in physical activity is essential to attain health benefits. Previous studies have stressed to consider domains of physical activity while assessing the physical activity levels across the different sections of the populations.

Objective: To assess the differences in physical activity levels between males and females of the Majha region of Indian Punjab.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted including the four districts of the Majha region of Indian Punjab. A total of 1130 participants including 628 females and 502 males were interviewed using the WHO-recommended Global Physical activity questionnaire (GPAQ). Physical activity was assessed in three domains viz. work, transport and recreational. Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the non-parametric data of physical activity levels.

Results: No significant differences were found in the work domain and transport domain in any of the age group. However, the age group of 53-64 years and the overall sample showed significant differences between both genders (p<.05).

Conclusion: Males of the age group 53-64 years had higher levels of total physical activity and recreational activity than females.
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INTRODUCTION

Research has shown that regular physical activity (PA) engagement harvests multiple physical and mental health gains.1 Earlier studies have outlined various factors that affect participation in physical activity such as age, gender, socioeconomic status, residence, job nature, health status, self-efficacy, and many more.2,3 Of these, gender is one such factor that has been consistently remained a determinant of participation in physical activity.4 A large body of literature reports that males engage more in physical activity than females.5 Psycho-social factors such as self-efficacy, social support, and motivation are the dominant determinants of females’ less participation in physical activity.5

Studies in developed nations have assessed the differences in physical activity levels and patterns concerning age and gender among youngsters. A survey conducted by The Health and Behaviour of School Children (HBSC) in twenty European nations indicated that engagement in physical activity decreases with age, and females had less physical activity levels than males.6 As per the previous research, males have lifelong higher physical activity levels than females.7 Following the literature review, we hypothesized significant gender differences in physical activity levels in all age groups. We conducted this study to assess the gender differences of domain-specific physical activity levels among the different age groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

The study design was cross-sectional. The participants were 1130 adult participants constituting 628 females and 502
males from the four districts (Amritsar, Gurdaspur, Tarn Taran, and Pathankot) of the Majha region of Indian Punjab. The age ranged from 18-64 years, which was further classified into different intervals, viz. 18-29, 30-40, 41-52 and 53-64 years.

**Data collection**

The WHO recommended Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) was used to collect data. The GPAQ consists of 15 items that ask the respondents to report moderate and vigorous-intensity physical activities performed in three domains, viz. work domain, transport domain, and recreational domain.\(^{10,18}\) The questionnaire derives the metabolic equivalents of task (MET-minutes/week) during a typical week. MET (Metabolic equivalent of task) is the fraction of an individual’s working metabolic rate relative to their metabolic rate while at rest.\(^{16}\) The GPAQ also includes one item of sedentary behaviour, but it was not considered in the analyses.\(^{16}\) The data cleaning, coding and processing was done as per the manual of GPAQ.\(^{19}\) The students of Master of Physical Education acted as interviewers under the supervision of the principal investigator. A pilot test on 20 subjects tested the data reliability by correlating the data derived by the interviewers against the data derived by the principal investigator.

**Ethical approval and consent**

The study was approved by the Board of Control (BOC) of Faculty of Physical Education (T), Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar (Letter no. 1150-52/Gen/Ph.D dated 23/09/15). The informed consent was taken from all the participants before the data collection.

**Statistical Analyses**

IBM SPSS version 21 was utilized to analyze the data. The normality of data was tested with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Since the data were not normally distributed, comparisons of the physical activity levels between genders were made by running the Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric data. The comparisons were made for each age interval and overall sample in three different domains of physical activity. The alpha level was set at .05 level in all analyses.

**RESULTS**

Of the total participants, 502 (44.42%) were males. Results of gender differences of MET minutes/week in the work domain are presented in table 1. Mann-Whitney test revealed that no significant differences existed between both genders in the work domain PA in any of the age group.

Table 2 outlines the results of gender differences of MET minutes/week in the transport domain. The p-value of each age group (p>.05) exhibited that differences in PA were not significant between males and females.

Table 3 outlines the results of gender differences of MET minutes/week in the recreation domain. No significant differences were found in the age group of 18-29, 30-40 and 41-52 years. However, in the age group of 53-64, the mean ranks of males and females were 107.68 and 93.33 respectively. The p-value of .023* (p<.05) showed that there were significant differences in PA between males and females in this age group. In the 18-64 age group (overall), the mean ranks of males and females were 584.44 and 550.36 respectively. The p-value of .018* (p<.05) exhibited that males and females significantly differed on recreation-related PA in the overall sample. Comparing the mean ranks, it can be interpreted that males were found more engaged in recreation-related physical activity than females in the age group of 53-64 years and 18-64 years group (overall sample).

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to assess the differences in domain-specific physical activity levels of adults of the Majha region of Indian Punjab. Previous research has stressed to consider the domains of physical activity in physical activity surveillance studies.\(^{11}\) In this study, the three domains of physical activity were separately assessed for gender differences.

In our study, both males and females of all ages had equal physical activity levels in the work and transport domain; however, in the recreation domain, males showed more engagement in physical activity than females. In the overall sample, males were found to be statistically more engaged in physical activity than their counterpart females. Furthermore, differences were observed in the 53-64 years age group as males were more active in recreation and total physical activity. Although females of the age group 41-52 years showed better physical activity engagement than males in the work domain, the variation could not achieve statistical significance. Similarly, males of age group 30-40 years had higher mean ranks of physical activity than females; however, these differences could not attain statistical significance.

A similar study differentiated the PA levels in males and females and reported that females achieved 3365 MET-minutes/week, significantly lesser than 3863 MET-minutes/week attained by males.\(^{9}\) A study on university students reported that males had more participation in leisure and sport physical activity than females, whereas no significant gender differences were found in work, transportation, and domestic domains.\(^{12}\) Another study on rural sample conveyed that physical activity participation was least during leisure time and in the work domain while higher in the transport domain.\(^{13}\) A different study on urban and rural Indian residents informed that inactivity was higher during leisure and recre-
Our results about the eldest age (53-64 years) confirm their findings as we also found gender differences in recreational and total PA, establishing that males are more active than females.

We had hypothesized that there would be significant differences in physical activity in all age groups. However, results confirmed that our hypotheses stand rejected in all age groups’ work domain and transport domain. Our hypotheses were rejected for 18-29, 30-40- and 41-52-years age groups in the recreational domain and total PA, whereas it stands accepted for the age group of 53-64 years.

This study has a few limitations. At first, the sample was not randomly selected, which may have reduced the research’s objectivity. Secondly, no objective method, such as accelerometer, pedometers, or heart monitors, were used to measure physical activity. The data collected through the questionnaire might be prone to over-reporting or underreporting. Thirdly, recall bias could also limit the responses of the participants. Despite having limitations, this study adds to our knowledge about the changing patterns of physical activity levels among the different sections of the population.

CONCLUSION

Both males and females of all age groups reported equal physical activity levels in the work domain and transport domain. However, males of age group 53-64 years showed higher engagement in physical activity than females in the recreation domain only. Changing trends in activity patterns due to the transition from agrarian to industrialist society causes a reduction in overall physical activity levels among the Punjabi population. Technology could be one possible disruptive force that could affect the activity patterns in developing countries like India. More robust policy interventions are required to address future disruptions in physical activity patterns. In future studies, larger and more systematic data collection strategies should be devised to reach a more diverse population, for example, by considering rural and urban populations.
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Table 1: Comparisons of work domain PA between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group (Years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Work domainPA</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>179.06</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>179.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>136.88</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>133.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-52</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>144.61</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>158.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103.42</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64 (Overall sample)</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>562.71</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>567.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparisons of transport domain PA between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group (Years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Transport domainPA</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>186.61</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>171.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>137.84</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>132.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-52</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>149.30</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>154.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>103.88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64 (Overall sample)</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>578.67</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>554.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparisons of recreation domain PA between males and females

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group (Years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Recreation domain PA</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>184.65</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>173.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>143.72</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>129.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-52</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>149.21</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>154.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>107.68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64 (Overall sample)</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>584.44</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>550.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates significant at .05 level