Childhood Urinary Tract Infection: Prevalence and Resistance Pattern of Uropathogens in a Tertiary Care Hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract infection is one of the most common bacterial infections encountered by paediatricians. Currently, the diagnosis and management of acute urinary tract infection and recurrent urinary tract infection in children remains controversial. Prompt diagnosis and initiation of treatment are important in preventing long-term renal scarring. However, increasing antibiotic resistance may delay the initiation of appropriate therapy. Antibiotic prophylaxis remains controversial.

Objective: To identify the bacterial pathogens involved in pediatric UTI and study their antibiogram patterns.

Methods: A total of 1492 urine samples of pediatric patients (0-14 years), clinically suspected of UTI, were processed in the Department of Microbiology for 3 years. Urine samples were collected in a sterile container and processed by inoculating on cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient agar (CLED). Antibiogram was performed by disc diffusion method as per CLSI guidelines. Gram-negative isolates were studied for expanded spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) production and S. aureus isolates were screened for methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA).

Results: Out of 1492 samples, 876 (58.7%) were found to be culture positive. Gram-negative bacteria (80.4%) comprised the maximum number of isolates. E. coli (60.7%) was the most frequently isolated uropathogen, followed by K. pneumoniae (13.2%) and S. aureus (11.2%). Twenty-one per cent of E. coli and 17.4% of K. pneumoniae were ESBL producers. Among S. aureus, 32.6% were MRSA.

Conclusion: High-level antimicrobial resistance was observed in pediatric UTI. We should adopt antimicrobial use based on local epidemiological data which helps in maximizing clinical outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the leading cause of febrile illness and hospital admission in the pediatric population.¹ The global prevalence of pediatric UTI is approximately 2-20%² and up to 7% of girls and 2% of boys experience at least 1 episode of UTI before the age of 6.³ It is more common in boys (3.7%) than in girls (2%) in the first year of life and thereafter, it has been reported to be more prevalent in girls except for uncircumcised boys younger than age 5.⁴ Pediatric UTI most often presents with non-specific signs and symptoms due to which it remains under-diagnosed in many cases.⁵ Part of the challenge in diagnosing is because of children having difficulty expressing their symptoms. Vesicoureteric reflux (VUR) is the most common predisposing factor for UTI in children which further leads to complications like chronic pyelonephritis and eventual renal scarring, hypertension and chronic renal failure. So, UTI itself may be the sentinel event for underlying renal abnormality.⁶ Hence, timely diagnosis and treatment may prevent renal damage. Currently, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends that UTI be considered in any infant or child between two months and two years of age presenting with fever without an identifiable source of infection. Older children may present with the classic symptoms of UTI, i.e., dysuria, frequency, abdominal or flank pain and fever. Escherichia coli, by far the most common uropathogen, is also the commonest in pediatric UTIs. Other offending pathogens include Klebsiella spp., Proteus spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa and...
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There is a growing concern about antimicrobial resistance worldwide. Availability of scanty data of the resistance pattern of pediatric uropathogens in Eastern India prompted us to take the present study. The present study aimed to identify the bacterial pathogens involved in pediatric UTI and study their antibiogram patterns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, IMS & SUM Hospital, Bhubaneswar, for a period of 3 years (March 2017- March 2020). A total of 1492 non-repetitive urine specimens of pediatric patients (0-14 years), clinically suspected of UTI were included in the study.

Sample collection

Urine samples were obtained by mid-stream clean catch in older children or children who were toilet trained. Nappy pad method was used for neonates, infants and toddlers. The pad was inserted into the nappy then removed as soon as the child urinated to reduce the risk of contamination. Once the nappy pad was removed, the urine was extracted with a syringe into a sterile container. In catheterized children, urine specimen was collected either through the catheter collection port or through puncture of the tubing with a sterile needle. The sample was then transported to the laboratory.

Sample processing in the laboratory

The urine samples were processed by semi-quantitative streaking method using a calibrated 1µl inoculating loop holding 0.001 ml of urine onto cysteine lactose electrolyte deficient agar (CLED). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hrs at ambient air. The isolates were identified using standard microbiological methods like colony morphology, gram stain and a set of biochemical tests. Antibacterial susceptibility test (ABST) was performed using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) as per clinical laboratory standards institute (CLSI) guidelines.

Gram-negative isolates if found resistant to third-generation cephalosporins on ABST were considered as potential extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) producers and confirmed by the combined disc test method. In this method, the suspected isolate was tested against ceftazidime alone and ceftazidime + clavulanic acid combination disc. Isolate showing the increase in the zone of inhibition of ≥ 5mm of the combination disc in comparison to that of ceftazidime alone was considered as ESBL producer.

All S. aureus isolates were screened for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) by using cefoxitin disc. Isolate showing a zone size of ≤ 22 mm was considered as MRSA.

Enterococcus spp.

Positive urine culture was defined as ≥ 10³ colonies forming unit (CFU)/ml for suprapubic specimen, ≥ 10⁴ CFU/ml for catheterized specimen and ≥ 10⁵ CFU/ml for clean catch specimen.

RESULTS

Out of 1492 children with suspected UTI, 876 (58.7%) were found to be culture-positive yielding significant bacteriuria. Gram-negative bacteria (80.4%) was more frequently isolated than Gram-positive bacteria (17.5%). Candida was recovered in 2.1% of the isolates. E. coli was the most common uropathogen isolated followed by K. pneumoniae. (Table 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANISMS (n=876)</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAM NEGATIVE BACTERIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus spp.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAM POSITIVE BACTERIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus spp.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUDDING YEAST CELL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida tropicalis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida parapsilosis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida glabrata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antimicrobial susceptibility test showed variable degree of resistance. Majority of *E. coli* isolates were most susceptible to netilmicin (94.4%), amikacin (88.1%) and minocycline (77%) and least susceptible towards norfloxacin (12.6%), cotrimoxazole (21.2%) and cefixime (21.6%) as shown in graph 1. Out of 532 isolates of *E. coli*, 112 (21%) were ESBL producers (Figure 1).

**Figure 1: Antiibiogram of *E. coli*: (n=532).**

Isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were most susceptible towards ceftazidime-clavulanic acid (57.4%), minocycline (54.8%) and meropenem (51.3%) and least susceptible towards amoxiclav (14.8%), cefixime (22.6%) and imipenem-cilastatin (23.5%) as shown in Graph 2. Twenty isolates (17.4%) of *K. pneumoniae* were ESBL producers.

**Figure 2: Antiibiogram pattern of *K. pneumoniae*: (n=115).**

Isolates of *S. aureus* were most susceptible towards linezolid and vancomycin (100% each) and least susceptible towards clarithromycin (10.2%), cefixime (22.4%) and moxifloxacin (27.5%) as shown in graph 3. Thirty-two (32.6%) isolates of *S. aureus* were MRSA.

**Figure 3: Antiibiogram pattern of *S. aureus*: (n=98).**

**DISCUSSION**

UTI is a common health problem and an important cause of morbidity and mortality in children. In the present study, 58.7% of the total samples were positive for UTI. In contrast, studies done by Badhan *et al*14 and Gupta *et al*4 in India showed a lower culture positivity (26.7 and 35.4% respectively) whereas global estimates of pediatric UTI are much lower, i.e., 7.87% in Iran15 and 9% in the USA.16

The most common organism associated with pediatric UTI in this study was *E. coli* (60.7%) with *K. pneumoniae* being the second most common uropathogen, which is in concordance with many other studies.4,14,17 Irrespective of age, sex, community or country, *E. coli* is the most common uropathogen. Although Gram-negative bacteria comprise the majority of UTI cases, Gram-positive organisms have become an important cause in recent years. In this study, 11.2% of UTI cases were due to *S. aureus*, which was also the third most common organism isolated. This finding is consistent with other studies.18,19

In this study, we have found decreased susceptibility of uropathogens towards nitrofurantoin, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and carbapenems and better efficacy of aminoglycosides in vitro. Only 49.6% of *E. coli* were susceptible to nitrofurantoin, 21.2% to cotrimoxazole, 21.6% to cefixime and 12.6% to norfloxacin. This is the most alarming finding of our study pointing towards the prevalence of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) in the pediatric population. Also, 21% of *E. coli* and 17.4% of *K. pneumoniae* were ESBL producers. Similar results were reported by Baral *et al*19 and Parajuli *et al.*20 Pediatric UTI with ESBL producing organisms pose a threat in treatment by limiting therapeutic choices.

Among Gram-positive organisms, 32.6% of *S. aureus* were MRSA; 22.4% were susceptible to cefixime, 27.5% to moxifloxacin and 10.2% to clarithromycin but showed fair susceptibility towards cephalosporin combination with a beta-lactamase inhibitor. Similar findings were reported by Gupta
et al. and Looney et al. Previous hospitalization, long-term broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy, co-morbidity, frequent instrumentation, catheterized patients might explain the higher antimicrobial resistance.

**CONCLUSION**

*E. coli* continues to be the predominant uropathogen causing UTI in children. MDROs, ESBL and MRSA are on the rise in the pediatric population. Also, this study highlights the better efficacy of aminoglycosides in vitro in comparison to other commonly used classes of drugs. We should adopt antimicrobial use based on local epidemiological data which helps in maximizing clinical outcome.
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