

BISPHOSPHONATES IN DENTISTRY AN ASIAN PERSPECTIVE – EVIDENCE BASED REVIEW

Vanaja Krishna Naik¹, Aruna Balasundaram¹, Harinath P.¹, Caroline Jacob²

'Professor in Periodontics, SRM Dental College, Ramapuram, Chennai 600089, India; ²Post graduate student in Periodontics, SRM Dental College, Ramapuram, Chennai 600089, India

ABSTRACT

Bisphosphonates are drugs with high affinity to bone, which accumulates in the bone matrix for a longer time and affects bone turnover. These are commonly prescribed in the management of malignant metastatic bone disorders and for several benign conditions such as osteoporosis and Paget's disease. The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive report on the drug bisphosphonate, clinical applications and its potential adverse effects, with special focus on Asian literature. Frequencies of patients who are on bisphosphonates are increasing in dental clinics these days. However regarding the use of this drug and its clinical implication from Asian countries are sparse, presumably due to under-reporting of cases or possibly wrong diagnoses. Hence we have made an attempt to reinforce the existing knowledge about this topic in Asian context along with latest information for the readers.

Key Words: Bisphosphonates, osteonecrosis of the jaws, Asian perspective, Dental uses, Periodontitis.

INTRODUCTION

Dental clinicians have a distinctive and obligatory responsibility to treat the patient as a whole, not just the dental concern. Hence thorough medical histories are of paramount importance, which allows the clinician to identify systemic diseases and take necessary precautions during dental treatment. Further it is a good routine to elicit drug history at every dental visit of the patient¹.

Patients on bisphosphonates [BPs] are increasingly seen in the dental clinics². These patients should be informed about the possibility of osteonecrosis of the jaws following any form of oral surgical procedures.

The reported cases of osteonecrosis from Asian countries are sparse. Is it because of inherent protection of population against osteonecrosis or under-reporting of the cases or wrong diagnosis? This review discusses comprehensively about the drug bisphosphonate and its pharmacotherapeutics, clinical uses and potential adverse effects with special focus on Asian literature.

CLASSIFICATION OF BISPHOSPHONATES

BPs are classified as Nitrogen and non-nitrogen containing^{2, 3,4.}

Table 1: Classification of Bisphosphonates

Non nitrogen con- taining	Nitrogen-containing					
	Alkyl-amino group	Heterocyclic Nitrogen group				
Clodronate, etidro- nate, tiludronate	Pamidronate, alendronate, ibandronate	Risedronate,zoledronate				

Dosage: Dosage of the drug depends on the route of administration and duration^{5,6}

Table 2: Oral Bisphosphonates

Drug	Trade name *	Daily	Weekly	Monthly
Alendronate	Ralenost, zo- phost, restofos, poronil, denfos, osteofos, fosa- max	10mg	70mg	-
Risedronate	Gemfos, actonel	5mg	35mg	
Ibandronate	Bonviva	2.5mg	-	150mg

Corresponding Author:

Vanaja Krishna Naik. MDS, MFDSRCPS [Glasg, UK] Professor in Periodontics, SRM Dental College, Ramapuram, Chennai 600089, India; Contact no: 0091-9940204242; E-mail: dr_vanajanaik@yahoo.com

Received: 10.01.2014 **Revised:** 15.02.2014 **Accepted:** 21.03.2014

Table 3: Intramuscular Bisphosphonates

Drug	Trade name*	Regimen
Clodronate	Bonefos, Loron	200mg 'twice a month'
Neridronate		25mg/12.5mg every 2 weeks

Table 4: Intravenous Bisphosphonates

Drug	Trade name*	Regimen
Ibandronate	Bonviva	3mg every 3 months
Pamidronate	Aredi, aredronet, bonapam, pamidria	60-90mg over 2 to 4 hours per month
Zoledronate	Aclasta, zolasta, zobone, blaztere	4mg over 15 min- utes, if necessary repeat after 7 days

*Available in India

Novel synthetic bisphosphonate: Disodium dihydrogen-4-[(methylthio) phenylthio] methane bisphosphonate [TRK-530]: has an antioxidant methylthio-phenylthio group in the R2 side chain and has both anti-resorptive and anti-inflammatory effect⁹.

Mechanism of action of bisphosphonates

Bone is a dynamic hard tissue undergoing constant remodelling. During bone resorption, bone morphogenetic protein and insulin growth factors are released which direct the migration, differentiation and osteoid production of new bone from local and circulating stem cells.¹⁰

Most commonly used BPs is nitrogen containing, which are extremely bone selective. The basic action of BPs is to inhibit bone resorption, turnover and renewal, thus reducing serum calcium levels. They bind to the mineral crystals on bone surfaces and a repeated dose accumulates in the bone matrix. During the bone remodelling BPs are released from the bone surface and are internalized by osteoclasts. This affects the protein prenylation which is important for the activity and survival of osteoclasts, subsequently leading to apoptosis.^{5,11,12}

THERAPEUTIC USES

MEDICAL APPLICATIONS

The earliest medical applications of bisphosphonates were in the treatment of Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva, in patients who had undergone total hip repl acement surgery and for bone imaging. Subsequently

Table 5: Summary of Mechanism of Action of Bis-
phosphonates

<u> </u>	
Tissue level	 In bone metabolic units, there is posi- tive focal bone balance – formation exceeding resorption and thus reduc- tion in bone turnover
Cellular level	 Decrease in the recruitment and number of osteoclasts Osteoclasts inactivation Shortening of life span of osteoclasts through apoptosis Increase in the number and differentiation of the osteoblasts Stimulation of osteoblasts to produce osteoclast inhibitory factor Decrease in the release of cytokines by macrophages
Molecular level	 Inhibits mevalonate pathway resulting in apoptosis of the osteoclasts loss of osteoclastic activity due to disruption of the function of post-trans- lational prenylation of key regulatory proteins such as guanosine triphos- phate [gtp] binding proteins[rab, rho, rac] Down regulation of MMPs

it became treatment of choice in various bone diseases, such as Paget's disease, osteolytic bone diseases, osteoporosis, ^{13,14,15,16} hypercalcemia of malignancy and in metastases of malignant tumours, further this was applied in paediatrics in the management of brittle bone disorders and osteogenesis imperfecta.¹³Finally interesting observations such as antiparasitic and analgesic effects are found with BPs.

PERIODONTAL APPLICATIONS:

The potential dental applications of BPs have been explored not only for the treatment or prevention of periodontal bone loss but also as a diagnostic aid to detect bone loss associated with periodontal disease and cessation of bone loss following treatment. However this application did not come into routine use for reasons possibly related to cost, accessibility and full-body irradiation due to intravenous administration.³

The anti-resorptive effects of systemic^{3,8,17,23} and topical²⁴⁻³⁰ BPs have been applied in the management of periodontitis.³¹⁻³³ Takaishi Y et al 2001 reported clinical effect of etidronate 200 mg daily for two weeks, followed by off-periods of 10 weeks or more for 2-3 years and suggested marked improvement in the appearance of gingival tissue, depth of periodontal pockets and radiographic appearance of alveolar bones. They concluded that the effect may be owing to the anti-resorptive and the anti-inflammatory action of etidronate.³⁴ On the contrary Graziani F 2009 conducted a study to determine the efficacy of adjunctive short term intramuscular neridronate in non surgical periodontal therapy and found no additional short term improvements in periodontal conditions of chronic periodontitis patients when compared to periodontal treatment alone.⁸

A Chinese report in 2011 summarized the mechanism of bone regulation and local delivering system of BPs in the management of peri-implant bone loss and suggested that calcium phosphate ceramics, polylactic acid, fibrinogen film and collagen membrane can be used as BPs carriers³⁵

Sharma A and Pradeep A R 2012 conducted series of studies with the objective of assessing the clinical efficacy of 1 % alendronate gel as local drug delivery agent in adjunct to mechanotherapy in the treatment of chronic periodontitis, chronic periodontitis with diabetes mellitus, aggressive periodontitis, and in the treatment of degree II furcation involvement. The results of these studies indicated probing depth reduction, attachment gain and improved bone fill.^{24,25,28,30}

Basma Mostafa et al 2012 conducted a study to evaluate the combined effect of systemic bisphosphonates, calcium and vitamin D supplements along with surgical periodontal therapy on the alveolar bone in osteoporotic post menopausal females with chronic periodontitis. They found that this combination showed better improvement in treatment outcomes as in clinical and radiographic parameters.³⁶

Bisphosphonate coating on dental implant surface: The BP coated implants have been studied to investigate its effects on osseintegration.^{37,40}Yoshinari M⁴¹ 2002 conducted a study to evaluate the bone response to titanium implants coated with thin calcium-phosphate followed by bisphosphonate and they concluded that there was highest percentage of bone contact with these test implants group compared to the control group, suggesting the promotion of osteogenesis on surfaces of dental implants

Despite the listed applications in dentistry the usage of BPs is not popular, possibly because of the major adverse effects as osteochemonecrosis. Although the local delivery of BPs in the management of periodontitis and periimplantitis are reported, these needs to be interpreted with caution as there are very few reports to support this mode of delivery and also there were no reports on short and long term soft and hard tissue adverse effects, besides most of the data are from few centres. Hence further long term, multicentre, multiethnic, prospective studies should be encouraged.

The comparison of Asian studies^{24, 28, 30, 22} with that of western studies^{23, 42,19,39,40, 43} are outlined in table 6, which focuses only on human studies.

TOXICITY:

Bps have been reported to cause several adverse effects such as skeletal and non skeletal. Although skeletal adverse effects as BRONJ has drawn major attention, there are non skeletal effects such as oesophagitis like symptoms, oesophageal cancer, fever, flu like symptoms, potential renal failure, risk of atrial fibrillation, cardiovascular and valvular calcifications with iv and oral bisphosphonates. However few of these non skeletal adverse effects have not been reported in Asian literature.

The most sinister skeletal adverse effect of BPs is BRONJ, bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of the jaws. Patients may be considered to have BRONJ if they have exposed bone in the maxillofacial region for atleast 8 weeks are currently on or have taken bisphosphonates and have no history of radiotherapy to the jaws ⁴⁴

The risk factors for developing BRONJ can be systemic and local. The systemic factors such as malignancy, patients on long term concurrent corticosteroid therapy, reduced immunity as in diabetics and smokers. In addition the route of administration, dose and potency of BPs predisposes the patient to develop BRONJ.

Further the local risk factors as dentoalveolar surgeries with osseous modifications in areas of thin mucosa overlying tori and mylohyoid ridge and patients with dental abscesses who are on iv bisphosphonates are susceptible to BRONJ.

Incidence of BRONJ:

Patients undergoing oral bisphosphonate therapy are at a considerably lower risk for BRONJ than oncology patients on monthly IV bisphosphonates. The incidence of BRONJ in patients on oral BPs varies from 0.01 to 0.04%⁴⁵ the incidence of BRONJ in patients with IV bisphosphonates is about 0.8-12%⁴⁵.

The incidence of BRONJ in Asian population was unknown till 2010. The first few reported cases of BRONJ in Asia were from South Korea. W.Park.N et al 2010⁴⁶ reported 5 cases of BRONJ caused by oral BPs in Asian population. Authors concluded that irrespective of race elderly women undergoing steroid therapy have an increased incidence of BRONJ even with oral BPs. Another

Table 6: Periodontal applications – Asian and Western literature

	Year - published	Type of evidence	Type of bisphosphonate	Duration	Dosage and route	Dental application	Reported adverse events	Randomization and Blinding	Number of cases	Advantages	Disadvantages
ASIAN	Anuj Sharma and A R Pradeep - 2012	Туре I	Nitrog- enous	Single application – evidence of drug in GCF till one month	1% alen- dronate gel	Local drug delivery in intrabony defects as an adjunct to mechanical therapy in generalized aggressive periodontitis patients	Nil	Randomiza- tion-comput- er generated system Double blind study	17 cases – 52 infrabony defects	Highest level of evidence. Systemic ad- ministration of antibiotics - avoided. clinical and radiographic param- eters are assessed	Short term follow up, Single cen- tre study, No bone turnover bio- marker was assessed
ASIAN	Anuj Sharma and A R Pradeep - 2012	Туре I	Nitrog- enous	Single application	1% alen- dronate gel	Local drug delivery in intrabony defects as an adjunct to mechanical therapy in chronic peri- odontitis	Nil	Randomiza- tion -	73 pa- tients -66 intrabony defects	Highest level of evidence. Clinical and bone fill pa- rameters are assessed 'concept of bio adhesion or muco ad- hesion ' was suggested Drug release profile in GCF was checked	6 months follow up Single cen- tre study, no bone turnover marker was assessed.
ASIAN	A R Pradeep et al - 2012	Туре I	Nitrog- enous		1 % alen- dronate gel	Local drug delivery as an adjunct to mechani- cal therapy in patients with chronic periodontitis with diabetes mellitus	Nil	Randomiza- tion? Double blind			Authors suggest adverse effects were recorded at recall intervals, however the nature of adverse events are not men- tioned
ASIAN	Pradeep A R et al 2013	Туре I	Nitrog- enous	Single application	1%alen- dronate gel	Local drug delivery as an adjunct to SRP in class Il furcation defects	Nil	Randomiza- tion – com- puter gener- ated tables. Double blind	69 class II furcation defects	Highest level of evidence Local deliv- ery of drug Clinical and radiographic parameters assessed Follow up of one year Adverse effects recorded at recall intervals	Single centre

Table 6: (Continued)

	Year - published	Type of evidence	Type of bisphosphonate	Duration	Dosage and route	Dental application	Reported adverse events	Randomization and Blinding	Number of cases	Advantages	Disadvantages
ASIAN	Reddy GT et al 2005 [only abstract available]		Nitrog- enous	In vivo study was carried out for 6 months	Alendro- nate gel in 3 different concentra- tion	Local drug delivery	Not avail- able	Information not available	Informa- tion not available	Combination of in vitro and in vivo study Pharmacoki- netics of the drug was assessed	Single centre
Western	Jeffcoat MK et al 2007	Type I	Nitrog- enous	Two years	70 mg once weekly	Systemic (oral) admin- istration	No ad- verse effects were re- ported	Randomiza- tion – Dou- ble blind	Total 335 cases randomly assigned to bis- phospho- nate or placebo group	Highest level of evidence	Single centre Systemic administra- tion of BPs for long duration
Western	Lane N et al 2005	Type I – Rand- omized placebo con- trolled study	Nitrog- enous	12 months	Alendro- nate 10 mg per day and Risedro- nate 5 mg per day for 12 months	Systemic (oral) admin- istration		Randomiza- tion - Dou- ble masked study	Total 70 patients randomly assigned to test and placebo group	Highest level of evidence Bone mass was as- sessed with dental radio- graphs us- ing fractional analysis and digital subtraction radiography	Single centre Systemic administra- tion of BPs for long duration

Table 6: (Continued)

	Year - published	Type of evidence	Type of bisphosphonate	Duration	Dosage and route	Dental application	Reported adverse events	Randomization and Blinding	Number of cases	Advantages	Disadvantages
Western	Reddy MS et al 2003	Type I – sys- tematic review	Informa- tion not avail- able	Not ap- plicable	Not ap- plicable	Systemic ad- ministration	Not avail- able	-	-	Highest level of evidence This review focused on 2 groups: Effects of host modulation agents in patients with periodontal diseases Effects of host modu- lation agents on dental implant suc- cess	-
Western	Abtahi J et al 2010	Pilot study	Nitrog- enous	Not ap- plicable	Coating of dental implants with bis- phospho- nate in a fibrinogen matrix	Pamidro- nate and ibandronate coated dental implants	No com- plica- tions	Not appli- cable	5 cases	First human study as- sessing the stability of bisphospho- nate coated dental implants	This is a pilot study
Western	Abtahi J et al 2012	Type 1 - Rand- omized clinical trial	Informa- tion not avail- able	Not ap- plicable	Coating of dental implants with two bisphos- phonates in a fibrinogen matrix	bisphos- phonate coated dental implants	No com- plica- tions	Randomiza- tion - double blinding	16 cases	Paired den- tal implant placement in maxilla Better Im- plant stabil- ity quotient [ISQ] levels were shown in this study for coated dental im- plants	Single centre Short term follow up
Western	Rocha et al 2001	Type I – rand- omized placebo con- trolled trial	Nitrog- enous	6 months	10 mg daily systemic (oral)	In type 2 diabetics with periodontal disease along with mechani- cal periodon- tal therapy	Not re- ported	Randomiza- tion -double blind	40 cases	Biochemi- cal marker for bone resorption and digital radiographic were as- sessed	Single centre Short term study

retrospective study conducted by Hong JW 2010,⁴⁷ suggested the prevalence of BRONJ to be 0.05 to 0.07%. The authors concluded that the prevalence of oral BRONJ in Korea is similar to that reported previously in Western populations.

The BRONJ is clearly an uncommon complication of oral BP administration, however the sheer volume of prescriptions of this drug throughout Asia may mean that many cases are likely to present in future.

The summary of incidence of BRONJ in Asian literature⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ and comparison with that of western literature is outlined in table 7. Although the reported incidence of BRONJ in western literature⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ is more, we have outlined only a few, as it is beyond the scope of this review to list them all

Aetio-pathogenesis of BRONJ:

The incidence of BRONJ is more in jaw bones compared to rest of the skeleton owing to its vascularity. However this view has been challenged by Bauss F and Pfister T, 2008⁵⁵ suggesting similar uptake of ibandronate by spine, femur and jaw bones. Hence the aetiology for BRONJ remains unclear. The alternative explanation could be the initiation of BRONJ is in the mucosa rather than in bone. This view has been supported by Landesberg 2008,⁵⁶ who showed pamidronate inhibits oral keratinocyte wound healing. Further Kim et al 2011^{57,58} in their in vitro study showed that BPs can cause aging of keratinocyte and result in defective re-epithelialisation inside the mouth and they hypothesised that this could be contributing factor towards poor mucosal healing.

Primarily the action of BP is said to be on bone cells³. However few reports suggests bps inhibit angiogenesis by hampering vascular endothelial growth factor and also endothelial proliferation, thus reduced capillary tube formation, vessel sprouting and loss of blood vessel resulting in avascualr necrosis. However the anti angiogenic property of BPs has been challenged by a histological study who reported normal vascularity in bones exposed to BPs^{4,59,60}

CLINICAL FEATURES: BRONJ can be presented in various forms such as unexplained pain, numbness, altered sensation to frank necrosis of the bone depending on the severity of the condition. The procedures such as placement of dental implants, minor oral surgical procedures, periodontal non surgical and surgical procedures and ill-fitting dentures can lead to BRONJ.⁶

Frequently non healing sockets presentation following extraction is common. 6

Clinical features of BRONJ:

- Pain
- Swelling
- Evidence of infection: purulent discharge
- Ulceration
- Extra and intra oral sinus tracts
- Possible Involvement of anatomical structures such as maxillary sinus, zygoma, ramus and inferior border of the mandible
- Mobility of teeth
- Denuded bone
- paraesthesia

Box 1: Clinical Features of Bronj:^{4,61,44,46,62}

Diagnosis of BRONJ:

Several investigative procedures are available for detecting early BRONJ [see box 2], yet there are no confirmatory tests available till date. The lab based investigation such as tissue biopsy is useful to rule out the possibility of metastatic malignant lesions. In addition panoramic radiographs may also be useful in cases of suspected metastases, though they are non specific. If there is a sequestrum shown on the radiograph this could help to differentiate from metastatic lesions.

Investigations: Lab based: CTX-1 [carboxy-terminal cross linked telopeptide of type 1 collagen Tissue biopsy Panoramic radiographs Imaging techniques: CT CBCT MRI Functional/physiological tests: Technetium -99 radioisotope scintigraphy Positron emission tomography

	Year - pub- lished	Type of evi- dence	Type of bis- phosphonate	Duration	Dosage and route	Type of dental treatment performed	Prevalence	Randomization and Blinding	Number of cases	Advantages	Disadvantages
ASIAN	-	Type II – Retro- spective review	Not avail- able	5 to 120 months	Oral route dosage not men- tioned	Not men- tioned	0.05 to 0.07 %	NA	24 Cases	Superior hierarchy of evidence Retrospective observational study First report in Asia	51
ASIAN	W.park et al 2010 –South Korea	Type IV – Re- port of 5 cases	Nitrog- enous	5 to 10 years	Oral route	Extractions, dental im- plants,	NA	NA	5 cases	Details of lo- cation of the BRONJ and concurrent medication such as pred- nisolone was mentioned	Not the
ASIAN	Kwon T G et al 2012 – South Korea [only abstract available]	Type III – Two groups: patients with BPs before and after dental implant place- ment	Not avail- able	Not available	Not avail- able	Placement of dental implants	NA	NA	3 cases of BRONJ out of 16 patients who were on BPS	Histological features of the lesions are men- tioned such as "en block sequestration of bone with implant	Not the highest level of evidence. Concurrent medications were not mentioned
ASIAN	Yamazaki T et al 2012 – Japan [only abstract available]	Type II – cohort	Not avail- able	Not available	Intra- venous route	Extractions	3.9%	NA	5 cases BRONJ out of 126 patients who were on BPs	Good level of evidence	Concurrent medications were not mentioned
Western	Assaf AT et al 2013 -Germany [only abstract available]	Type II - retro- spective	Not avail- able	80 months	Intra- venous route	Not men- tioned	8.9%	NA	15 cases of BRONJ out of 169 patients who were on BPs	0	Type of den- tal interven- tion was not mentioned
Western	Ulmner M et al 2013 – Sweden [only abstract available]	Survey – 2007 and 2008	Not avail- able	Not known	Oral bis- phospho- nates	Not known	-	NA	69 cases BRONJ out of 100,000 patients -year	Large sample size	Not the highest level of evidence.
Western	-	Case report – type IV evidence	Nitrog- enous	Approxi- mately 8 years	Intra- venous zolendro- nate	Non surgical periodontal therapy	NA	NA	Single case report	This report suggested even non surgical periodontal therapy can be a potential risk for developing BRONJ	Not the highest level of evidence.

Table 7: (Continued)

	Year - pub- lished	Type of evi- dence	Type of bis- phosphonate	Duration	Dosage and route	Type of dental treatment performed	Prevalence	Randomization and Blinding	Number of cases	Advantages	Disadvantages
Western	Bedogni A et al 2010 -Italy	Case re- port -type IV evidence	Nitrog- enous	6 years	Oral bisphos- phonate - Alendro- nate	Placement of dental implant	NA	NA	Single case report	In addition to panoramic radiograph, the techne- tium Tc 99m scintigraphy labeled granulocytes was utilized as a part of investigation	Not the highest level of evidence
Western	Wang H L et al 2007	Case report and literature review – type IV evidence	Not known	>10 yesrs	Oral bisphos- phonate	Placement of dental implant	NA	NA	Single case	This case report dis- cusses the management of osteone- crosis using systemic antibiotics, lo- cal microbial mouthrinse and aggres- sive defect management	Single case Not the highest level of evidence

Table 8: Staging of Bronj

Stage	Symptoms	Clinical Findings	Treatment		
0	Non specific symptoms: Unex- plained pain in the jaws, radiating to TMJ and maxillary sinus wall, not related to odontogenic cause.	plained pain in the jaws, radiating 1. Mobility of teeth unrelated to periodon- to TMJ and maxillary sinus wall, not titis			
	Altered neurosensory function	2. Periapical/periodontal fistula unrelated to caries			
1	Asymptomatic	Exposed bone with no infection	Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12%, No surgi- cal intervention indicated		
2	Painful presentation	Erythema around the exposed necrotic bone with or without evidence of infection	0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate with systemic antibiotics based on microbial culture and sensitivity results. Pain control		
3	Symptomatic –painful	Exposed necrotic bone with evidence of infection with one or more of the following: 1. Exposed bone extending to involve surrounding anatomical structures such as maxillary sinus, zygoma, inferior border and ramus of the mandible, consequently pathological fractures of the jaws.	0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate, systemic antibiotics, debridement or resection may offer long term palliative care		
		2. Extra-oral fistula			
		3. Oro-antral fistula			
		4.Oro-nasal communication 5. Osteolysis extending into maxillary sinus or inferior border of the mandible			

Procedures	Oral bisphosphonate therapy	Intravenous bisphosphonate therapy
NON-INVASIVE: AC- CEPTED	 Comprehensive oral evaluation, preventive pro- grams: caries control and oral prophylaxis. Orthograde root canal therapy Managing dental infections non surgically 	 Comprehensive evaluation and preventive programs: caries control and oral prophy- laxis and patient education and motivation Orthograde root canal therapy Use of denture liners in denture wearers
SURGICAL	Unavoidable extractions can be carried out atraumat- ically, after informing the patient the risk of BRONJ and appropriate consent is obtained	Elective dental procedures such as Periodon- tal surgery, dental implants, orthodontics, periapical surgery and extractions are not recommended.

Table 9: Dental Management of Patients Who are Starting or Who are Already Receiving Bisphosphonate Therapy

Differential diagnosis58:

The diagnosis of potential BRONJ case needs the elimination of other possibilities such as Osteoradionecrosis, infectious osteomyelitis, neuralgia induced cavitational osteonecrosis, bone tumours, periapical pathology due to carious lesion, periodontal disease causing exposure of bone but with no history of bisphosphonate use, mucositis.

"Concept of drug holiday"4,58,45,63

Temporarily withdrawing BPs for the purpose of reducing the risk of BRONJ following dental extractions has been recommended. Drug holiday can be three months before and after the extraction, with physician's approval. Besides the dental purpose this concept has place even to reduce the non skeletal adverse events.⁶⁴

Management of patients with established BRONJ:^{6,62,65}

The exposed and sharp edges of necrotic bone should be debrided under local anaesthesia, If associated with infection, such as erythematous tender areas with suppuration &/or sinus tracts, systemic antibiotics are administered.^{59,66}

The management of these patients may range from pain control till surgical resection of the jaws. Teeth with extensive carious lesion should undergo endodontic therapy instead of extraction. The endodontically treated teeth can be used as an abutment for over denture.

Grade III mobile teeth can be extracted atraumatically and the patient should be followed up weekly for the first four weeks, then monthly until the sockets are healed and also there could be an indication for the empirical use of systemic antibiotics. Amoxicillin is the drug of choice, however the combination of amoxyllin & / clindamycin could offer extra benefit of bone penetration and wider spectrum of activity.⁶⁰

PRINCIPLES IN THE CLINICAL USE OF BIS-PHOSPHONATES:

Pre treatment evaluation

Patient should be assessed by dentist before starting of the BP therapy. This requires communication between the physician/oncologist, patient and the dentist. As per the western literature about 41% ⁴⁵ of physicians warn their patients about the risk of BRONJ and Asian literature does not provide any data on this issue.

Benefits and risks:45,65,67

The issue of BRONJ must be dealt with caution as we cannot ignore the beneficial effects of bisphosphonates, such as prevention of morbidity and mortality in osteoporotic patients. Besides in-vitro research has suggested the bisphosphonates may have anti-tumour effects in breast cancer, prostate and lung cancers via alteration of adhesion of malignant cells to the extracellular matrix.

Alternative bone modifiers may be considered, however their costs, potential adverse effects and the suitability influence the final decision

Alternative bone modifiers:

Denosumab⁵⁸ is a monoclonal antibody, acts on the RANK ligand system, thus inhibiting osteoclastic resorption^{68.} Stopeck A T 2010⁶⁹ conducted a randomized double blind study and found that denosumab compared to zoledronic acid reduced events such as skeletal fractures.

Teriparatide [PTH 1-34]⁶⁸ is a synthetic parathyroid hormone with anabolic effects on the bone. Although the net effect of excess PTH is to induce bone resorption, in low and intermittent doses it promotes bone formation by indirectly involving insulin growth factor 1, without stimulating bone resorption.⁷⁰ Raloxifene is a selective estrogen-receptor modulator, which retains the beneficial effects on bone without deleterious effects on breast and uterus. This is approved for treatment of osteoporosis^{68,71}

Strontium ranalate is composed of an organic ion, ranelic acid, bound to two atoms of strontium. This acts by blocking osteoclast differentiation and induces apoptosis and thus inhibiting bone resorption⁶⁸.

To conclude, there are plenty of evidence to suggest that bisphosphonates are used extensively by physicians and oncologists. In addition therapeutic application in dentistry have also been explored in invitro, animal and human studies. This review focussed on human studies alone. Available Asian literature based on randomized controlled clinical trials on the local drug delivery have shown promising results. However there is lack of evidence on the systemic use of bisphosphonates in the treatment of periodontal disease.

Further, there are very few Asian literatures regarding BRONJ. This could be due to lack of communication between dentists and medical specialists. In our view pretreatment dental evaluation must be made mandatory and perhaps some guidelines by Asian authorities across the continent would be useful in assessing the incidence of BRONJ and prevention of the same

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the immense help received from the scholars whose articles are cited and included in references of this manuscript. The authors are also grateful to authors / editors / publishers of all those articles, journals and books from where the literature for this article has been reviewed and discussed.

REFERENCES

- Newman, Takei, Klokkevold, Carranza. Carranza's Clinical Periodontology 11th edition: Perry R, Klokkevold and Brian L Mealey, Part 5, Chapter 27: influence of systemic condition on the periodontium. *Elsevier publications*, 2011: 315-317.
- Yazdana Arrain, Tahir Masud. Bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaw – current thoughts. *Dent update* 2009; 36:415-419.
- Howard C. Tenenbaum, Avi Shelemay, Bruno Girard, Ron Zohar, Peter C Fritz. Bisphosphonates and Periodontics

 Potential application for regulation of bone mass in the periodontium and other therapeutic/diagnostic uses. J Periodontal 2002;73:813-822.
- 4. Niall M.H McLeod, Peter A.Brennan, Salvatore L. Ruggierro. Bisphosphonate osteonecrosis of the jaw - A historical and contemporary review. *The Surgeon* 2012; 10: 36-42.

- R.G.G. Russel, N.B. Watts, F.H. Ebetino, M.J. Rogers Mechansim of action of bisphosphonates – similarities and differences and their potential influence on clinical efficacy. Osteoporos Int 2008;19:733-759.
- 6. Kate Barker, Simon Rogers. Bisphosphonates associated osteonecrosis of the jaw a guide for the general dental practitioners. *Dental update* 2006;33:270-275.
- Ligia J. Dominguez, Antonio Galioto, Anna Ferlisi, Maria Adele Alessi, Mario Belvedere, Ernesto Putignano, Giuseppe Costanza, Maurizio Bevilacqua, Mario Barbagallo. Intermittent intramuscular clodronate therapy: a valuable option for older osteoporotic women: Age and Ageing 2005; 34: 633–645.
- 8. Graziani F, Cei S, Guerrero A, LA Ferla F, Vano M, Tonetti M, Gabriele M. Lack of short term adjunctive effect of systemic neridronate in non-surgical periodontal therapy of advanced generalized chronic periodontitis: an open label randomized clinical trial. *J. Clin Periodontal* 2009;36:419-427.
- Hisashi Shinoda, Sadaaki Takeyama, Keiko Suzuki, Shinobu Murakami, and Shoji Yamada Forum Mini review Pharmacological Topics of Bone Metabolism: A Novel Bisphosphonate for the Treatment of Periodontitis. *J Pharmacol Sci* 106, 555 – 558 (2008).
- Robert E Marx. Bisphosphonate induced osteonecrosis of the jaws II edition. Chapter 2. Modes of action and pharmacokinetics of the bisphosphonate family. Page no: 9-21. Quintessence publishing, Inc.
- 11. Gideon A Rodan, Hervert A Fleisch. Bisphosphonates: mechanism of action. *J. Clin Invest*. 1996;97(12):2692-2696.
- 12. Anke J. Roelofs, Keith Thompson, Sharon Gordon. Molecular Mechanisms of Action of Bisphosphonates: Current Status. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:6222-6230.
- R. Graham G. Russell. Bisphosphonates: Mode of Action and Pharmacology: *Pediatrics* 119, Supplement 2, March (2007) s150-s162.
- 14. Luigi Sinigaglia, Massimo Verenna, Silvia Casari. Pharmacokinetic profile of Bisphosphonates in the treatment of metabolic bone disorders. *Clinical cases in mineral and bone metabolism* 2007;4(1):30-36.
- 15. You L, Sheng ZY, Chen JY, Pan L, Chen L. The safety and efficacy of early-stage bi-weekly alendronate to improve bone mineral density and bone turnover in chinese post-menopausal women at risk of osteoporosis *J Int Med Res* 2011;39(1):302-10.
- 16. Jeal W, Barradell LB, McTavish D. Alendronate. A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic efficacy in postmenopausal osteoporosis: *Drugs.* 1997 Mar; 53(3):415-34.
- 17. GL Borromeo, CE Tsao, IB Darby, PR Ebeling. A review of the clinical implication of bisphosphonates in dentistry. *Australian Dental Journal* 2011;56:2-9.
- El-Shinnawi UM, El-Tantawy SI. The effect of alendronate sodium on alveolar bone loss in periodontitis (clinical trial): *J Int Acad Periodontal*. 2003 Jan;5(1):5-10.
- Michael S. Reddy, Nico C. Geurs, John C. Gunsolley.Periodontal Host Modulation with Antiproteinase, Anti-Inflammatory, and Bone-Sparing Agents.A Systematic Review: Annals of Periodontology December 2003, Vol. 8, No. 1, Pages 12-37.

- 20. Menezes AM, Rocha FA, Chaves HV, Carvalho CB, Ribeiro RA, Brito GA. Effect of sodium alendronate on alveolar bone resorption in experimental periodontitis in rats. *J Periodontol*. 2005 Nov;76(11):1901-9.
- 21. Weinreb M, Quartuccio H, Seedor JG, Aufdemorte TB, Brunsvold M, Chaves E, Kornman KS, Rodan GA. Histomorphometrical analysis of the effects of the bisphosphonate alendronate on bone loss caused by experimental periodontitis in monkeys. *J Periodontal Res* 1994 Jan; 29(1):35-40.
- 22. G Thirumal Reddy, T M Pramod Kumar, and Veena. Formulation and evaluation of Alendronate Sodium gel for the treatment of bone resorptive lesions in Periodontitis *Drug Deliv* 2005 ; 12(4):217-22.
- Jeffcoat MK, Cizza G, Shih WJ, Genco R, Lombardi A. Efficacy of bisphosphonates for the control of alveolar bone loss in periodontitis: *J Int Acad Periodontol*.2007 Jul; 9(3):70-6.
- 24. Anuj Sharma, A.R. Pradeep: Clinical efficacy of 1% Alendronate Gel in Adjunct to Mechanotherapy in the Treatment of Aggressive Periodontitis: A Randomised Controlled clinical Trial: *J Periodontol* 2012:83: 19-26.
- 25. Pradeep AR, Kumari M, Rao NS, Naik SB. 1% Alendronate Gel as Local Drug Delivery in the Treatment of Class II Furcation Defects: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Periodontol.* 2013 Mar;84(3):307-15.
- Binderman I, Adut M, Yaffe A. Effectiveness of local delivery of alendronate in reducing alveolar bone loss following periodontal surgery in rats. *J Periodontol.* 2000 Aug;71(8):1236-40.
- 27. Yaffe A, Iztkovich M, Earon Y, Alt I, Lilov R, Binderman I. Local delivery of an amino bisphosphonate prevents the resorptive phase of alveolar bone following mucoperiosteal flap surgery in rats *J Periodontol*. 1997 Sep;68(9):884-9.
- 28. Sharma A, Pradeep AR. Clinical efficacy of 1% alendronate gel as a local drug delivery system in the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *J Periodontol.* 2012;83(1):11-8.
- 29. H R Veena, Deepak Prasad. Evaluation of an amino bisphosphonate (Alendronate) in the management of periodontal osseous defects. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology* 2010;14(1):40-45.
- Pradeep AR, Sharma A, Rao NS, Bajaj P, Naik SB, Kumari M. Local drug delivery of alendronate gel for the treatment of patients with chronic periodontitis with diabetes mellitus: a double-masked controlled clinical trial. *J Periodontol.* 2012 Oct; 83(10):1322-8.
- 31. Philip M. Preshaw. Host response modulation in periodontics: *Periodontology 2000*, 2008;48:92–110.
- Keith L. Kirkwood, Joni A. Cirelli, Jill E. Rogers & William V. Giannobile. Novel Host Response Therapeutic Approaches To Treat Periodontal Diseases: *Periodontology 2000*, 2007;43:294–315.
- Salvi GE, Lang NP. Host response modulation in the management of periodontal diseases. *J Clin Peridontol* 2005; 32 (Suppl. 6): 108–129.
- Takaishi Y, Miki T, Nishizawa Y, Morii H. Clinical effect of etidronate on alveolar pyorrhoea associated with chronic marginal periodontitis: report of four cases. *J Int Med Res.* 2001: Jul-Aug; 29(4):355-65.
- 35. Zang C, Zhai J, Meng Y, Liang X. Developments in research of local bisphosphonate delivery system of implant den-

ture. *Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng Xue Za Zhi.* 2011: Apr; 28(2):415-8. [Article in Chinese]

- 36. BasmaMostafa, Ebtehalhamdy, NermineNassif. Combined effect of systemic Bisphosphonates, calcium and vitamin D on alveolar bone in osteoporotic post menopausal females having chronic periodontitis following surgical periodontal therapy. *Life science journal* 2012;9(3):613-622.
- 37. Bastian Peter, Olivier Gauthier, Samia Lai"b, Bruno Bujoli, Je 'ro^me Guicheux, Pascal Janvier, G. Harry van Lenthe, Ralph Mu" ller, Pierre-Yves Zambelli, Jean-Michel Bouler, Dominique P Pioletti. Local delivery of bisphosphonate from coated orthopedic implants increases implants mechanical stability in osteoporotic rats: *J Biomed Mater Res* 76A: 133–143, 2006.
- 38. Ying Gao, Shujuan Zou, Xiaoguang Liu, Chongyun Bao, Jing Hua, The effect of surface immobilized bisphosphonates on the fixation of hydroxyapatite-coated titanium implants in ovariectomized rats: *Biomaterials* 30 (2009) 1790–1796 [Chinese].
- 39. Abtahi J, Tengvall P, Aspenberg P. Bisphosphonate coating might improve fixation of dental implants in the maxilla: a pilot study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010 Jul; 39(7):673-7.
- Abtahi J, Tengvall P, Aspenberg P. A bisphosphonate-coating improves the fixation of metal implants in human bone. A randomized trial of dental implants. *Bone*. 2012 May; 50(5):1148-51.
- 41. M. Yoshinaria, Y. Odaa, T. Inoueb, K. Matsuzakab, M. Shimono. Bone response to calcium phosphate-coated and bisphosphonate immobilized titanium implants: *Biomaterials* 23 (2002) 2879–2885.
- 42. Lane N, Armitage GC, Loomer P, Hsieh S, Majumdar S, Wang HY, Jeffcoat M, Munoz T. Bisphosphonate therapy improves the outcome of conventional periodontal treatment: results of a 12-month, randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Periodontol. 2005 Jul; 76(7):1113-22.
- 43. Rocha M, Nava LE, Vázquez de la Torre C, Sánchez-Márin F, Garay-Sevilla ME, Malacara JM. Clinical and radiological improvement of periodontal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with alendronate: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Periodontol. 2001 Feb;72(2):204-9.
- 44. Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael LA, Landesberg R, Marx RE, Mehrotra B. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Position Paper on Bisphosphonate Related Osteonecrosis Of The Jaws. Update: 2009; 67:2-12. Task Force On Bisphosphonate Related Osteonecrosis Of The Jaws.
- 45. Gareth Brock, Kate Barker, Christopher J Butterworth, Simon Rogers. Practical considerations for treatment of patients taking bisphosphonates medications: An Update. *Dent Update* 2011;38:313-326.
- W.Park.N, K.Kim.M, Y.Kim.Y, M.Rhee, H.J.Kim . Osteonecrosis of the jaw induced by oral administration of bisphosphonates in Asian population-5 cases. *Osteoporos Int* 2010;21:527-533.
- 47. Hong JW, Nam W, Cha IH, Chung SW, Choi HS, Kim KM, Kim KJ, Rhee Y, Lim SK. Oral bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: the first report in Asia. *Osteoporos Int.* 2010 May; 21(5):847-53.
- 48. Kwon TG, Lee CO, Park JW, Choi SY, Rijal G, Shin HI. Os-

teonecrosis associated with dental implants in patients undergoing bisphosphonate treatment. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012 Dec 26. doi: 10.1111/clr.12088. [Epub ahead of print].

- 49. Yamazaki T, Yamori M, Ishizaki T, Asai K, Goto K, Takahashi K, Nakayama T, Bessho K. Increased incidence of osteonecrosis of the jaw after tooth extraction in patients treated with bisphosphonates: a cohort study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012 Nov; 41(11):1397-403. doi: 10.1016/j. ijom.2012.06.020. Epub 2012 Jul 26.
- 50. Assaf AT, Smeets R, Riecke B, Weise E, Gröbe A, Blessmann M, Steiner T, Wikner J, Friedrich RE, Heiland M, Hoelzle F, Gerhards F. Incidence of bisphosphonaterelated osteonecrosis of the jaw in consideration of primary diseases and concomitant therapies. Anticancer Res. 2013 Sep;33(9):3917-24.
- 51. Ulmner M, Jarnbring F, Törring O. Osteonecrosis of the Jaw in Sweden Associated With the Oral Use of Bisphosphonate. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2013 Aug 28.
- Braun E, Iacono VJ. Bisphosphonates: case report of nonsurgical periodontal therapy and osteochemonecrosis. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2006 Aug;26(4):315-9.
- 53. Bedogni A, Bettini G, Totola A, Saia G, Nocini PF. Oral bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw after implant surgery: a case report and literature review. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010 Jul; 68(7):1662-6.
- Hom-Lay Wang, Daniel Weber, Laurie K. McCauley. Effect of Long-Term Oral Bisphosphonates on Implant Wound Healing: Literature Review and a Case Report; Journal of Periodontology, March 2007, Vol. 78, No. 3, Pages 584-594.
- 55. Bauss F, Pfister T, Papapoulos S. Ibandronate uptake in the jaw is similar to long bones and vertebrae in the rat. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2008;26;406-408.
- 56. Regina Landesberg, Matthew Cozin, Serge Cremers, Victoria Woo, Stavroula Kousteni, Satrajit sinha, LeeAn Garrett Sinha, Srikala Raghavan. Inhibition of Oral mucosal cell wound healing by bisphosphonates. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008; 66:839-847.
- 57. Kim RH, Lee RS, Williams D, Bae S, Woo J, Lieberman M, Oh JE, Dong Q, Shin KH, Kang MK, Park NH. Bisphosphonates induce senescence in normal human oral keratinocytes. . J Dent Res. 2011 Jun;90(6):810-6.
- 58. Yazdana Arrail, Tahir Masud. A current update on osteonecrosis of the jaw and bisphosphonates. *Dent Update* 2011;38:672-678.
- 59. Cesar A. Migliorati, Jeffrey Casiglia, Joel Epstein, Peter L Jacobsen, Sook-Bin Woo. Managing the care of patients with bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis. An American Academy of Oral Medicine Position Paper. *JADA* 2005;

Vol 136: 1658-1668.

- 60. Robin A Seymour, Graham Walton. Effects of Malignant disease and treatments on oral structures. *Dent Update* 2009;36:594-603.
- 61. Ho YF, Lin JT, Wu CY. Oral bisphosphonates and risk of esophageal cancer: a dose-intensity analysis in a nation-wide population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012 Jun;21(6):993-5.
- 62. Yazdana Arrain, TahirMasud. A recent recommendation on bisphosphonate associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: *Dent Update* 2008;35:238-242.
- 63. Joan otomo-corgel. Osteoporosis and osteopenia: implications for periodontal and implant therapy: Periodontology 2000, Vol. 59, 2012, 111–139.
- 64. Susan M. What is the optimal duration of bisphosphonate therapy? *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* 2011; 78(9): 619-630.
- 65. Salvatore Ruggiero, Julie Gralow, Robert E Marx, Mark M Schubert, Joseph M Huryn, Bela Toth, Kathryn Damato, Vicente Valero. Practical guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of osteonecrosis of the jaw in Patients with cancer. *Journal of Oncology Practice* 2006 vol 2, issue 1: 7 – 14.
- 66. Yoh Sawatari, Robert E Marx. Bisphosphonates and Bisphosphonate induced osteonecrosis. *Oral Maxillofacial Surg Clin N Am* 2007;19:487-498.
- 67. Marjorie K Jeffcoat Safety of oral bisphosphonates: controlled studies on alveolar bone *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implant* 2006;21:349-353.
- 68. Bertram G. Katzung, Susan B Masters, Antony J Trevor. Basic and Clinical pharmacology 11th edition Tata McGraw-Hill publication; 2010: Chapter 42 agents that affect bone mineral homeostasis: Daniel D Bikle, 753-772.
- 69. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Brody JJ. Denosumab compared with Zolendronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: A randomized double blind study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:5132-5139.
- 70. Pongthorn Narongroeknawin, Maria I. Danila, Lewis G. Humphreys Jr, Andrei Barasch, Jeffrey R.Curtis bisphosphonates associated osteonecrosis of the jaw with healing after teriparatide a review of the literature and a case report. Spec care dentist 2010;30(2):77-82.
- 71. Pasion EG, Sivananthan SK, Kung AW, Chen SH, Chen YJ, Mirasol R, Tay BK, Shah GA, Khan MA, Tam F, Hall BJ, Thiebaud DComparison of raloxifene and bisphosphonates based on adherence and treatment satisfaction in postmenopausal Asian women. J Bone Miner Metab. 2007; 25(2):105-13.