International Journal of Current Research and Review
DOI: https://doi.org/10.31782/IJCRR.2023.15101

Research Article

IJCRR
Section: Healthcare

Aspergillus and the Role of Cytopathology and Microbiology Lab Techniques in Diagnosis

Almutiri Abdullah Bandar[1], Mohammed M Alanazi[2], M. ALMUTERY[3], Mubarak Alqahtani[4], Salman Muyidi[5], Mousa haqawi[5], Fahad Alhusain[1]

[1]Prince Sultan Military Medical City, The Scientific Research Center-Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; [2]King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences College of Medicine, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia and The King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; [3]King Saud University Medical City (KSUMC), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre, Saudi Arabia; [4]Abu Arish General Hospital, Saudi Arabia; [5]Abu Arish General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Aspergillosis is a type of fungal infection or a type of mold that can cause serious respiratory illnesses in people especially those with weakened immune systems. Timely diagnosis and treatment of Aspergillus infections is critical to preventing potentially life-threatening complications. It can be difficult to diagnose, as it often mimics other diseases. Cytopathology and microbiology lab techniques can be used to help diagnose aspergillosis; Histopathology, PCR and biochemistry are also important.

Aims: To compare the most effective laboratory diagnostic techniques to detect the Aspergillus in body.

Methods: This systematic review searched for studies that evaluated the use of cytopathology and microbiology and other lab techniques in the diagnosis of aspergillosis. Studies were identified through searches of Google Scholar, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library.

Results: Cytopathology and microbiology lab techniques can both be helpful in diagnosing aspergillosis. Cytopathology and histopathology are both highly accurate, but results may take longer to obtain than with other tests. Microbiology is useful for diagnosing infections, but it may not be as accurate as cytopathology or histopathology. Poly Chain Reaction (PCR) is very accurate, but it is also expensive and results may take some time to obtain. Biochemistry can be useful for diagnosing metabolic disorders, but it is not as accurate as other tests.

Conclusion: There is no one “best” way to detect Aspergillus, and the best approach may vary depending on the particular patient and situation. In general, a combination of two or more tests may be needed to accurately diagnose aspergillosis. The most important factor/guideline in choosing which tests to use is whether they will provide information that can help guide treatment decisions. Nevertheless, with all factors considered the PCR is the best test because it is highly sensitive and specific; able to detect even low levels of Aspergillus.

Key Words: Aspergillus, Aspergillosis, PCR, Microbiology, Cytopathology, Infection

INTRODUCTION

Aspergillus is a genus of filamentous fungi that includes some of the most common opportunistic pathogens. It is a type of mold that can be found in soil, plants, and decaying organic matter. It is a common cause of respiratory infections, and majorly causes infections in individuals with weak immune systems.[1,2] Signs and symptoms of Aspergillus infection include coughing, wheezing, and difficulty breathing. The fungus can also cause fever and chest pain. In people with weakened immune systems, the fungus can cause more serious infections, such as pneumonia or meningitis. The most common symptom of aspergillosis is a cough, which may be followed by pains in the chest, wheezing and shortness of breath.[3] Other symptoms are fever, weight loss, and fatigue. If left untreated, aspergillosis can cause serious problems and even death. The cause of Aspergillus infection is inhalation of the spores of the fungus. The spores are particularly small and can be easily inhaled into the lungs where they begin to grow.[3] People with weak immune systems are at increased risk for Aspergillus infection because their bodies are not able to fight off the infection as effectively.

Corresponding Author:
Almutiri Abdullah Bandar B, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, The Scientific Research Center-Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
Phone: +966598358627; Email: abdullah.2003@yahoo.com

ISSN: 2231-2196 (Print) **ISSN:** 0975-5241 (Online)

Received: 11.12.2022 **Revised:** 19.12.2022 **Accepted:** 02.01.2023 **Published:** 07.01.2023

Aspergillosis is diagnosed using a combination of medical history, physical examination, imaging tests, and laboratory tests. Cytopathology and microbiology lab tests can be used to confirm the diagnosis. The ability to rapidly and accurately identify Aspergillus species is critical for both diagnosis and treatment. This review discusses the role of cytopathology and microbiology lab techniques in Aspergillus diagnosis, with a focus on recent advances. Cytopathology is the study of changes in cells caused by disease. It can be used to detect abnormal cells in tissues or organs, including those caused by Aspergillus infection. Other laboratory techniques, for instance PCR and DNA sequencing, are also important tools for diagnosing Aspergillus infections. Cytopathology can be used to detect the presence of Aspergillus in fluid samples.[4,6] Microbiology labs can identify Aspergillus by its appearance, growth pattern, and biochemical properties.

Recent advances in technology have improved the accuracy and speed of Aspergillus diagnosis. For example, real-time PCR assays can rapidly detect small numbers of Aspergillus DNA molecules in clinical samples. Newer generation sequencing platforms allow for rapid identification of even rarer genetic variants associated with disease resistance or susceptibility.[6] The use of cytopathology and microbiology lab techniques has greatly improved our ability to diagnose Aspergillus infections. However, there is still room for improvement. For example, better methods are needed to distinguish between different species of Aspergillus. In addition, more research is needed to determine the best way to use these techniques in patients with nonspecific symptoms.[5] Treatment for aspergillosis depends on the seriousness of the infection and the patient's overall health. In some cases, antifungal medication may be sufficient to treat the infection.[4] However, people with more severe infections may require surgery to remove the affected tissue. People with weakened immune systems may need long-term antifungal therapy to prevent recurrence of the infection.

AIM

The aim of this systematic review is to examine the diagnostic accuracy/appropriateness of cytopathology and microbiology tests for the diagnosis of Aspergillus, and to determine the best laboratory test and the fastest to diagnose Aspergillus. The systematic review also compared and discussed this techniques vis-a-vis other available methods.

Significance of the Study

Aspergillus is a genus of fungi that includes several hundred species. Some of these species are pathogens that can cause a wide spectrum of infections in humans, based on the underlying immune conditions of the host. Aspergillosis is one of the most common causes of death in severely immunocompromised patients, with a mortality rate of 50% in neutropenic individuals and 90% in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) recipients.[7] The significance of this study lies in its ability to offer a comprehensive overview of the latest cytopathology and microbiology techniques that can be used for the diagnosis of aspergillosis. This will help clinicians to make more informed decisions about which diagnostic tests to use in individual cases. In addition, this study highlights the importance of using multiple diagnostic modalities when investigating patients with possible aspergillosis, as no single test is completely reliable.

Methodology

Data sources: All data sources used in this systematic review were identified through a comprehensive search of Google Scholar, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library databases. The keywords used for the search were "Aspergillus""cytopathology""microbiology", '"histopathology", "PCR", Biochemistry, Differential Diagnosis.

Searches and extraction: The searches were conducted, and data were extracted independently by two reviewers. A third reviewer was consulted for discrepancies. Abstracts and full-text articles were reviewed to determine whether studies met inclusion criteria. References of included studies were searched for additional eligible studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: Publications that met all three inclusion criteria were included in the final analysis. Inclusion criteria for studies were (1) investigations of the Aspergillus genus, (2) use of cytopathology or microbiology laboratory techniques in the diagnosis of aspergillosis, (3) use of histopathology, PCR or biochemistry laboratory techniques in the diagnosis of aspergillosis (4) English language and (5). The author focused more on studies published in the last 10 years but also used older sources as they provided stronger foundation. Exclusion criteria were (1) reviews, case reports, editorials, or letters to the editor; (2) non-English language; and (3) duplicate publications.

Cytopathology

Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) and Cytology Description

Needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is a minimally invasive procedure utilized to obtain cells from lesions or masses for diagnostic purposes. It is used to investigate the cause of lumps or masses. This procedure involves the removal of cells from the mass using a needle and syringe.[8] The cells are then examined under a microscope to determine if they are cancerous or not. FNAC is generally safe and well tolerated by patients. There is a small risk of bleeding, infection, and pain associated with the procedure.[9] The presence of Aspergillus fungi can be detected in the cytopathology specimens obtained from FNAC procedures.

Cytology description is the process of classifying cells according to their appearance. Cytology description for diagnosis of aspergillosis can vary depending on the stage of disease present. Early stages of aspergillosis may only show nonspecific inflammation on FNAC, while later stages may show more specific features such as fungal hyphae or spherules.[9] Aspergillosis can also cause necrosis and cavitation of tissue, which can be seen on FNAC as well. Previous studies have shown that FNAC is an accurate method for diagnosing Aspergillus infection.[10] Cytology is not the best way to detect aspergillus since there are a lot of (differential diagnoses) that look like Aspergillus under the microscope.

Differential Diagnosis

Mucormycosis

Mucormycosis and aspergillosis are both fungal infections that can be difficult to differentiate microscopically. Both types of fungi produce hyphae, which are long, thread-like structures that branch out at right angles.[11] Mucormycosis typically produces broader hyphae than aspergillosis, and both types of fungi are well stained by standard H&E stains. However, there are some key distinctions involving these two types of fungi. Mucormycosis is less common than aspergillosis and only affects immunocompromised hosts, such as diabetics. In contrast, aspergillosis can affect anyone.[12] Additionally, mucormycosis typically produces blackish-brown lesions on the skin or mucous membranes, whereas aspergillosis produces white or yellowish lesions. Under the microscope, both types of fungi appear similar. However, there are some subtle differences in their appearance.

Aspergillosis typically produces septate hyphae (hyphae with cross walls), whereas mucormycosis does not. Additionally, aspergillosis often produces spores within its hyphae (a process known as sporulation), whereas mucormycosis does not.[13] Aspergillosis is caused by a fungus called Aspergillus, which typically appears as septate hyphae with conidiophores bearing clusters of spherical spores. In contrast, mucormycosis is caused by fungi in the Mucorales order, which appear as non-septate hyphae with no spores. Under the microscope, aspergillosis looks like branching tree while mucormycosis looks like a tangled mass. Aspergillosis appears as round, white spores that are clustered together, while mucormycosis appears as black hyphae that are branching and thread-like. In other words, under the microscope, aspergillosis looks like small, round spores that are arranged in chains.[14,15] Mucormycosis, on the other hand, appears as large, angular spores that are often solitary.

Pseudallescheria Boydii

The diagnosis of P. boydii infection is often difficult due to its variable presentation and lack of reliable diagnostic tests. It is often difficult to distinguish from Aspergillus species by histomorphology alone, and culture is required for definite identification.[16] This review identified a study that compared the histopathologic features of P. boydii and A. fumigatus. This study showed that P. boydii can exhibit a wide range of morphologies, including hyphal, pseudohyphal, and yeast forms, while A. fumigatus typically only shows hyphal forms.[17] P. boydii also typically produces larger spores than A. fumigatus. Several studies have examined the utilization of immunohistochemistry (IHC) for the detection of P. boydii antigens in tissue samples.

IHC has been shown to be more sensitive than fungal culture for the detection of this fungus, but it is not specific for P. boydii and can also cross-react with other fungi such as Candida species. PCR assays specific for P. boydii have also been developed and validated. These assays are highly sensitive and specific for this fungus, making them useful tools for diagnosis. Overall, the review showed that P. boydii can be difficult to distinguish from A. fumigatus by histomorphology alone. Culture and molecular methods such as PCR are required for definitive diagnosis.[17] IHC can be useful for the detection of this fungus, but it is not specific.

In conclusion, Aspergillosis and Pseudallescheria boydii can both look quite similar under the microscope, making it hard to tell them apart. However, there are some key differences that can help with diagnosis. Aspergillosis tends to have more septate hyphae, while Pseudallescheria boydii has more branched hyphae. Additionally, Aspergillosis often has conidiophores that are tipped with vesicles filled with conidia, while Pseudallescheria boydii typically does not.[16,17] Additionally, Aspergillosis typically has smaller conidia than Pseudallescheria boydii. In other words, Pseudallescheria boydii is often characterized by long, branching hyphae that produce round spores. Aspergillosis, on the other hand, typically produces cylindrical spores. In addition, Pseudallescheria boydii often forms small clusters of hyphae, while Aspergillosis typically results in larger clumps.[17] Finally, Pseudallescheria boydii tends to be more aggressive and can cause serious illness in immunocompromised patients, while Aspergillosis is generally less severe.

Fusarium spp

Differential diagnosis of Aspergillus and Fusarium infections can be difficult, as both fungi share similar histomorphology. Culture is required for definite identification. Previous studies have shown that cytopathology can be important in the differential diagnosis of Aspergillus and Fusarium infections. In a study by Chidambaram et al., Aspergillus and Fusarium species were successfully differentiated based on morphological features on cytologic specimens.[18] In another study by Vento et al, Fusarium spp. was found to be the most common mold isolated from fine-needle aspiration biopsies of pulmonary nodules, while Aspergillus spp. was more commonly isolated from bronchoalveolar lavage speci-

mens.[19] The use of culture-based methods is essential for the definitive identification of Aspergillus and Fusarium species. However, these methods can be time-consuming and may not always yield positive results, but PCR was found to be a more rapid and sensitive method for their detection.[18,20] This systematic review demonstrates that cytopathology is a useful tool for the differential diagnosis of Aspergillus and Fusarium infections. However, culture-based methods are still necessary for definitive identification.[20] PCR may be a more rapid and sensitive technique for the detection of these fungi in clinical specimens.

When looking at Aspergillosis and Fusarium under the microscope, there are both similarities and differences. Both fungi have hyphae that are septate, meaning they have cross-walls dividing the hyphae into compartments and both types of fungi produce spores on the tips of their hyphae. In other words, they are both filamentous fungi with septate hyphae (i.e., cross-walls dividing each cell).[18] However, the hyphae of Aspergillosis are thinner than those of Fusarium. In addition, the spores of Aspergillosis are smaller and more numerous than the spores of Fusarium. Moreover, the spores of Aspergillosis are borne on specialized structures called conidiophores, while the spores of Fusarium are borne on simple hyphae. Nevertheless, the spores of Aspergillosis are usually oval shaped, while the spores of Fusarium spp. are more round.[19] In other words, Aspergillus has conidiophores (specialized structures for producing spores) that branch off at right angles from the main hypha, while Fusarium does not; also, Aspergillus spores tend to be more spherical than those of Fusarium (which are more often oval or bean-shaped).[18,20]

Positive stains & Negative stains'

Positive stains for Aspergillus are usually found in patients with active pulmonary aspergillosis. The most common stain used to detect Aspergillus is the Grocott's methenamine silver (GMS) stain. This stain can be performed on both fresh and frozen tissue samples. A positive GMS stain will reveal septate hyphae that are 5-10 microns in diameter.[21] Negative stains for Aspergillus are useful for ruling out the diagnosis of aspergillosis in patients with negative GMS stains. The most common negative stains used to detect Aspergillus are the calcofluor white (CFW) stain and the Uroplakin III (UP-III) stain.[21] These stains can be performed on both fresh and frozen tissue samples. A negative CFW or UPIII stain will not reveal any septate hyphae.

Histopathology

Histopathology, the study of tissues, is a key tool in the diagnosis of Aspergillus; nevertheless, histopathology takes a long time to give the result and need tissue biopsy. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) is a serious fungal infection that can occur in people with weakened immune systems. The most common symptom of IPA is coughing up blood. Other symptoms may include shortness of breath, chest pain, and fever. IPA can be difficult to diagnose because the symptoms are similar to other lung diseases.[22] A definitive diagnosis of IPA can be made only by examining tissue from the lungs under a microscope. This is called histopathological examination and is considered the gold standard for diagnosing IPA. Histopathological examination of lung tissue requires special training and experience. The tissue sample is first stained with a dye that makes the fungi visible under a microscope.[28,30] Then, a pathologist looks at the tissue sample under a microscope to look for the presence of Aspergillus fungi.

If Aspergillus fungi are present in the lung tissue, this is strong evidence that the person has IPA. However, it is possible to have Aspergillus in the lungs without having IPA. In these cases, other tests (for instance chest x-ray or CT scan) may be needed to confirm the diagnosis of IPA. The most common finding on histopathologic examination of IPA is hyphal invasion of pulmonary blood vessels, which can lead to infarction, hemorrhage, and death of affected tissue.[23] The hyphae are long, branching filaments that make up the fungal mycelium. In tissue, hyphae can be seen invading and destroying cell walls, causing extensive damage to the tissue.

Other findings include inflammatory cell infiltration, necrosis, and fungal hyphae within alveolar spaces. A variety of staining techniques can be used to visualize these findings, including hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Gomori methenamine silver (GMS), Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), and immunohistochemistry (IHC). Early diagnosis and treatment of IPA is critical for successful outcomes.[23] Flexible bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid for cytology and culture can be carried out if biopsy is not advised. This is a minimally invasive procedure that can provide diagnostic information without the risks associated with biopsy. The BAL fluid can be used for both cytology and culture, which can help to ascertain the diagnosis of aspergillosis.[24]

Histopathologic changes in aspergillosis depend on the host response to the fungus. In some instances, there is little host reaction and few if any inflammatory cells are seen surrounding the hyphae.[22] The hyphae are often found within Blood vessels or air spaces and can cause thrombosis of vessels or direct invasion of parenchyma. If there is a marked host response, large numbers of polymorphonuclear leukocytes and monocytes surround the fungal hyphae, resulting in necrosis and consolidation. Overall, it can be stated that microscopically, aspergillosis appears as a diffuse infiltrate of fungal elements within lung tissue.[24] Conidiophores are branching structures that produce spores (conidia), which are readily visible on Gram stain.

When a patient presents with symptoms suggestive of Aspergillus infection, a number of other conditions must be considered in the differential diagnosis. These include other fungal

infections, for instance those caused by Candida or Mucor species; bacterial infections, for instance those caused by Pseudomonas or Staphylococcus species; and non-infectious causes of similar symptoms, such as allergic reactions or neoplastic processes.[24] A variety of laboratory tests can be used to help distinguish Aspergillus from these other conditions. Microscopic examination of clinical specimens, such as sputum or tissue biopsies, can reveal the presence of Aspergillus hyphae. Culture of clinical specimens on specialized media can also be used to isolate and identify Aspergillus species. Immunologic tests, such as the latex agglutination test for Aspergillus antibodies, can be useful in some cases.[22,24] Molecular diagnostic techniques, such as PCR-based techniques for identification of Aspergillus DNA, are also available but are generally not necessary for routine diagnosis.

The role of histopathology in the diagnosis of Aspergillus infection is primarily to ascertain the presence of hyphae in clinical specimens. In some cases, special stains (e.g., Gomori's methenamine silver stain) may be needed to visualize hyphae in tissue specimens. Histopathologic features that may be helpful in distinguishing Aspergillus from other causes of hyphal invasion include the presence of septate hyphae with well-defined cross-walls, the absence of budding yeast cells, and the lack of tissue necrosis.[22,24] Diagnosis of aspergillosis can be difficult to due to the many different types of fungi that can cause the disease; however, there are some common features that can be seen on positive and negative stains. On a positive stain, Aspergillus will appear as septate hyphae with conidiophores bearing large numbers of spores. On a negative stain, Aspergillus will appear as non-septate hyphae with no spores.[23] These characteristics can help to differentiate Aspergillus from other types of fungi.

Microbiology

Microbiology plays a vital role in the diagnosis of Aspergillosis; however, it takes a long time because it needs incubation. Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA), potato dextrose agar (PDA), and brain heart infusion (BHI) agar are the most commonly used media for the isolation of Aspergillus species. All three media support the growth of a wide variety of Aspergillus species, comprising A. fumigatus, A. terreus, A. niger, and A. flavus.[25] However, SDA is the preferred medium for the primary isolation of Aspergillus species from clinical specimens due to its superior ability to support the growth of this fungi. BHI agar is a selective medium that inhibits the growth of most bacteria while still supporting the growth of Aspergillus species. This makes it an ideal medium for secondary isolation of Aspergillus species from mixed cultures.[26] PDA is a less commonly used medium for the isolation of Aspergillus species, but it has some advantages over SDA and BHI agar. PDA is more selective for Aspergillus species than SDA, meaning that it is less likely to be contaminated with other types of fungi or bacteria. Additionally, PDA supports the growth of a wider variety of Aspergillus species than SDA or BHI agar. All three media are available commercially and can be purchased from most scientific supply companies.

Negative cultures for Aspergillosis do not necessarily rule out the possibility of invasive aspergillosis. Blood cultures are nearly never positive for Aspergillosis, although this may be due to laboratory contamination rather than actual infection.[27] Despite these negative results, it is still essential to consider Aspergillosis in the differential diagnosis when a patient presents with symptoms suggestive of the condition. Imaging studies such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be essential in diagnosing Aspergillosis, as they can often show evidence of pulmonary nodules or cavities.[25,26]

Bronchoscopy with biopsy is also a useful diagnostic tool, as it can provide direct visualization of Aspergillus organisms in the respiratory tract. Serologic tests for antibodies against Aspergillus are also available, but their usefulness is limited. Tissue cultures will frequently fail to yield growth despite the obvious presence of fungi in histopathology. This is because the tissue culture conditions do not support the growth of Aspergillus, or because the Aspergillus present in the tissue is unable to produce viable spores.[25,26] In either case, PCR can be utilized to detect Aspergillus DNA in the tissue, and this method is more sensitive than culture.

Overall, the most common culture media used for the isolation of Aspergillus species are Sabouraud dextrose agar, brain heart infusion agar and potato dextrose agar. These media are typically incubated at 30°C and 37°C for 72 hours. Nevertheless, recent studies have shown that culture-negative specimens do not necessarily rule out the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. This is because culture-based methods are not always sensitive enough to detect the presence of the Aspergillus species, which can lead to false negatives.[25] In addition, culture-negative specimens may still contain other fungal species that can be used to confirm a diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. Therefore, culture-negative specimens should not be used as the sole criterion for ruling out a diagnosis of this disease.[26,27]

Blood cultures are almost never positive in individuals with invasive aspergillosis, and thus they are not a useful diagnostic tool. This is because the Aspergillus fungus that causes the infection is not typically found in the blood. The fungus usually invades the lungs, where it can grow and multiply quickly.[25] Therefore, blood cultures are not likely to detect the presence of Aspergillus in patients with this condition. In addition, the symptoms of invasive aspergillosis can mimic those of other infections, making it difficult to diagnose.[26,27] Tissue cultures are sometimes unable to detect the presence of fungi, even when histopathology shows obvious evidence of Aspergillosis.[27] This can lead to misdiagnosis and delayed

treatment. In some cases, tissue cultures may be able to detect the presence of fungi, but not the specific type of fungus causing Aspergillosis. Therefore, a combination of diagnostic techniques is often used to confirm the diagnosis of Aspergillosis.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a powerful method that can be utilized to detect and amplify particular DNA sequences from clinical samples. This makes it an ideal tool for the diagnosis of infectious diseases, such as aspergillosis.[28] PCR blood tests have been shown to be very sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised people and thus better than other techniques such as microbiology.[29] PCR blood tests are generally quick and easy to perform, and results are available within 24 hours. This makes them an attractive option for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis, particularly in patients who are very ill and cannot wait several days for results from conventional diagnostic techniques (such as fungal culture). PCR blood tests are a promising tool for the diagnosis of aspergillosis, but further scientific investigations are needed to ascertain their accuracy and utility in routine clinical practice.

Serum and BAL galactomannan testing are increasingly being utilized to diagnose invasive aspergillosis. Galactomannan is a polysaccharide that is found in the cell wall of Aspergillus species. When this polysaccharide is released into the bloodstream, it can be detected by a blood test or by a bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL).[28,29] In conclusion, PCR blood tests are increasingly being used to diagnose invasive aspergillosis. PCR is a laboratory technique that can amplify small amounts of DNA, making it possible to detect even trace amounts of the Aspergillus fungus in blood samples.[29] Serum and BAL galactomannan testing are also becoming more commonly used to diagnose invasive aspergillosis. Galactomannan is a sugar molecule that is found in the cell walls of certain types of fungi, including Aspergillus.[29] These tests can detect the presence of galactomannan in body fluids, which can be an early indicator of an active Aspergillus infection.

Biochemistry

Clinical symptoms alone are often nonspecific, making it difficult to distinguish Aspergillosis from other conditions.[30] In addition, radiographic findings are often not specific and may be seen in other pulmonary conditions. Thus, a combination of clinical, radiographic, and laboratory data is usually required for diagnosis. One of the most important laboratory tests for the diagnosis of Aspergillosis is the culture of Aspergillus from clinical specimens. This can be challenging, as Aspergillus can be fastidious and difficult to grow.[31] The use of selective media and special techniques such as serum membrane filtration can improve yields. However, even with these methods, Aspergillus may still only be isolated in a minority of individuals with clinically suspected disease. Another key test for the diagnosis of Aspergillosis is serology. A variety of different antibodies have been used for this purpose including IgG, IgM, and IgA.[31] However, none of these have been consistently shown to be helpful in diagnosing active disease. Additionally, false-positive results are common, making interpretation of serologic tests difficult. This explanation describes why biochemistry is not a useful test.

The role of biochemistry in the diagnosis of Aspergillosis limited. However, biochemical tests can be helpful in ruling out other conditions and in supporting the diagnosis when used in conjunction with other laboratory and clinical data. Notably, biochemistry is critical to the detection of Aspergillus, as this fungus produces a number of unique metabolites that can be used to identify its presence.[30,31] For example, Aspergillus produces the enzyme laccase, which can be used to detect the fungus in environmental samples. Additionally, Aspergillus produces a number of mycotoxins that can be detected in clinical samples from patients with aspergillosis. These mycotoxins include sterigmatocystin and versicolorin A, which can be used to confirm the diagnosis of aspergillosis. In conclusion, biochemistry can be used in the detection of Aspergillus to identify the presence of the fungus in a sample.[30,31] This can be done through a variety of techniques, such as PCR or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). By amplifying the genetic material of the fungus or by detecting the proteins it produces, biochemistry can confirm the presence of Aspergillus in a sample.

DISCUSSION

Cytopathology

Cytopathology is a branch of pathology that deals with the diagnosis of disease by the examination of cells, but in relation to Aspergillus diagnosis, it is most commonly used to examine sputum or other respiratory samples for the presence of fungal elements.[32] There are two main types of cytopathology: direct and indirect. Direct cytopathology involves the direct examination of cells from a body fluid or tissue sample, while indirect cytopathology involves the examination of cells that have been first transferred to a slide (usually via a process called cytocentrifugation).[33]

The most common method for direct cytopathologic examination is called smear microscopy, in which a small amount of specimen is thinly smeared onto a glass slide and then stained so that individual cells can be visualized under a microscope.[32,33] This technique is often used to examine sputum samples for the presence of Aspergillus organisms. Indirect cytopathologic techniques are generally more sensitive than

direct methods and can be used to detect even small numbers of Aspergillus cells. The most common indirect method is called flotation, in which the specimen is centrifuged in order to separate out cellular material, which is then transferred to a slide and stained.[34] This technique can be used on various types of specimens, including those from airways (bronchial washings), skin (biopsies), and other tissues.

Immunocytochemistry is a type of direct cytopathology that uses antibodies to detect specific proteins or antigens in cells. In the context of Aspergillus diagnosis, immunocytochemistry can be used to detect the presence of Aspergillus antigens in respiratory specimens. To perform immunocytochemistry, a small amount of specimen is smeared onto a glass slide and then incubated with one or more antibodies that specifically bind to Aspergillus antigens.[32,34] The antibodies are usually attached to a fluorescent marker, so that they will glow under ultraviolet light when viewed under a microscope. This allows for the specific detection of Aspergillus cells in the specimen.

Molecular testing is a type of indirect cytopathology that can be used to detect the presence of Aspergillus DNA in clinical samples. This method is generally more sensitive than other types of testing and can be used on various types of specimens, including those from airways (bronchial washings), skin (biopsies), and other tissues.[34] To perform molecular testing, a small amount of specimen is first centrifuged in order to separate out the cellular material. This material is then placed into a solution that breaks open the cells and releases their DNA. The Aspergillus DNA is then specifically detected using a technique called polymerase chain reaction (PCR).[32,34]

HISTOPATHOLOGY

Histopathology is a vital tool in the diagnosis of Aspergillus, as it can reveal the presence of the fungus in tissue samples.[35] There are several histopathological techniques that can be used to detect Aspergillus, including: 1. Direct visualization - this can be done using light microscopy or electron microscopy; 2. Staining - various stains can be used to highlight Aspergillus hyphae, including Gomori methenamine silver (GMS), periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), and fungal Grocott-Gomori methenamine silver (GGMS); 3. Culture - tissue samples can be inoculated onto culture media specifically designed for growing Aspergillus; and (4). Immunohistochemistry - this uses antibodies that bind to specific antigens present on Aspergillus hyphae, which can then be detected using a fluorescent microscope.[35,36]

Microbiology

Microbiologic studies of Aspergillus infection have yielded mixed results. Isolation of the organism from clinical samples is often difficult, and the reported rates of isolation range from 0% to 100%.[37] The most commonly isolated species are A. fumigatus, A. flavus, and A. niger. A. terreus is less commonly isolated but has been associated with more severe disease. There are a number of microbiological tests and techniques that can be used in the diagnosis of Aspergillus, including: 1. Direct microscopy: This involves looking for the characteristic fungal hyphae under a microscope. It is generally not possible to identify the specific species of Aspergillus based on this method alone, but it can be useful in confirming the presence of the fungus.[37,38] 2. Culture: This is perhaps the most important test for diagnosing Aspergillus, as it allows for identification of the specific species involved. A sample from the patient (usually obtained via biopsy) is inoculated onto a culture medium and incubated. After a period of time, colonies of Aspergillus will have grown which can then be identified using standard mycological methods.[38]

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

PCR is a powerful tool that can be used to amplify small pieces of DNA. This technique has been used to diagnose a number of diseases, including aspergillosis. PCR can be utilized to detect the presence of Aspergillus DNA in samples from individuals with suspected aspergillosis. PCR involves using enzymes to copy specific pieces of DNA many times over.[39] The copied DNA is then separated and analyzed. If Aspergillus DNA is present in the sample, it will be amplified by PCR and can be detected by laboratory tests. PCR is a very sensitive test and can detect very small amounts of Aspergillus DNA. However, false-positive results may occur if non-aspergillus fungi are present in the sample or if the patient has been exposed to Aspergillus but does not have an active infection.[39] A positive PCR result should be confirmed with another test, such as culture or histopathology, before a diagnosis of aspergillosis is made.

Biochemistry

Biochemistry is the study of chemical reactions in living organisms. These reactions are responsible for the structure and function of cells, tissues, and organs.[40] In order to determine whether someone has aspergillosis, doctors will often order biochemistry tests. These tests can help to identify the presence of Aspergillus in a person's body and can also be used to rule out other possible causes of a person's symptoms.[41] One common test that may be ordered is an Aspergillus antibody test. This test looks for antibodies that are specific to Aspergillus in a person's blood. If these antibodies are present, it suggests that the person has been exposed to Aspergillus and may be at risk for developing aspergillosis.[42]

CONCLUSION

Cytology is not the best way to detect Aspergillus because

there are a lot of (Differential diagnoses) that look like Aspergillus under the microscope. Histopathology takes a long time to give the result and needs tissue biopsy. Microbiology takes a long time because it needs incubation. However, recent studies have shown that culture-negative specimens do not necessarily rule out the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. Blood cultures are almost never positive in individuals with invasive aspergillosis, and thus they are not a useful diagnostic tool.[25,37] Tissue cultures may also fail to yield growth despite the obvious presence of fungi in histopathology. Therefore, cytopathology and microbiology labs play a vital role in the diagnosis of this disease.

Biochemistry is another key test for the diagnosis of Aspergillosis is serology. A variety of different antibodies have been used for this purpose including IgG, IgM, and IgA.[31] However, none of these have been consistently shown to be helpful in diagnosing active disease. Additionally, false-positive results are common, making interpretation of serologic tests difficult. The role of biochemistry in the diagnosis of Aspergillosis limited. However, biochemical tests can be helpful in ruling out other conditions and in supporting the diagnosis when used in conjunction with other laboratory and clinical data.

PCR is a very sensitive test and can detect very small amounts of Aspergillus DNA. However, false-positive results may occur if non-aspergillus fungi are present in the sample or if the patient has been exposed to Aspergillus but does not have an active infection.[39] A positive PCR result should be confirmed with another test, such as culture or histopathology, before a diagnosis of aspergillosis is made. So, which lab test is the best? There is no definitive answer to this question as it depends on the individual case and what the goals of testing are. In general, a combination of two or more tests may be needed to accurately diagnose aspergillosis. The most important factor/guideline in choosing which tests to use is whether they will provide information that can help guide treatment decisions. Nevertheless, with all factors considered, the PCR is the best test because it is highly sensitive and specific; able to detect even low levels of Aspergillus. Overall, there are many different types of lab tests available for the diagnosis of aspergillus, and it can be difficult to know which one is the best. A table comparing the accuracy, speed, cost, and other factors of different lab tests can help to answer this question (Table 1). The tests accurate diagnosis of Aspergillosis, is important given the seriousness of the disease.

Table 1: This table shows a comparison between laboratory diagnostic tests to detect Aspergillus based on speed, accuracy, cost, and the sampletype

Test	Accuracy	Speed	Cost	Sample type
Cytopathology	Accurate but can miss small lesions; biopsies may be necessary to confirm diagnosis	Results can be available within 24 hours	$200 - $300 per test	Aspirate
Histopathology	Highly accurate; can identify small lesions not seen on cytopathology	Results can take up to a week to receive	$400 - $500 per specimen	Tissue biopsy
Microbiology	Culture method is most accurate; other methods may miss small or fastidious organisms	Results can take up to a week to receive	$100 - $200 per specimen	Many samples – tissues, fluids etc.
Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	Highly sensitive and specific; able to detect even low levels of Aspergillus DNA	Results can be available within 24 hours	$300 - $400 per test	Many samples – tissues, fluids etc.
Biochemistry	Can detect metabolites produced by Aspergillus, but results may be nonspecific	Results can be available within 24 hours	$100 - $200 per test	Fluids or serum
Conclusion	Based on the above comparison, the best lab test for Aspergillus would be PCR, due to its high accuracy and speed.			

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for the tremendous support.

Disclosure. *Authors have no conflict of interests, and the work was not supported or funded by any drug company.*

Author's name	Individual author's contribution
Almutiri Abdullah Bandar	Cytopathology,Histopathology, PCR & Microbiology parts
AlanaziMohammed Mutlaq	Microbiology part

Almutery Mohammed Fhad	Cytopathology& Histopathology parts
Alqahtani Mubarak Ayidh	Cytopathology& Histopathology parts
Muyidi Salman Mousa	Biochemistry& PCR parts
Haqawi Mousa hamoud	Biochemistry&PCR parts
Alhusain Fahad	Microbiology& PCR parts

REFERENCES

1. Warris A. The biology of pulmonary Aspergillus infections. **J. Infect. Dis.** [2014] Nov 1;69:S36-41.
2. King J, Brunel SF, Warris A. Aspergillus infections in cystic fibrosis. **J. Infect. Dis**. 2016 Jul 5;72:S50-5.
3. El-Baba F, Gao Y, Soubani AO. Pulmonary aspergillosis: what the generalist needs to know. *Am J Med.* 2020 Jun 1;133(6):668-74.
4. Agarwal R, Sehgal IS, Dhooria S, Aggarwal AN. Developments in the diagnosis and treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine. 2016 Dec 1;10(12):1317-34.
5. Barnes RA, White PL, Morton CO, Rogers TR, Cruciani M, Loeffler J, Donnelly JP. Diagnosis of aspergillosis by PCR: clinical considerations and technical tips. Medical mycology. 2018 Apr 1;56(suppl_1):S60-72.
6. Denning DW, Cadranel J, Beigelman-Aubry C, Ader F, Chakrabarti A, Blot S, Ullmann AJ, Dimopoulos G, Lange C. Chronic pulmonary aspergillosis: rationale and clinical guidelines for diagnosis and management. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 Jan 1;47(1):45-68.
7. Zmeili OS, Soubani AO. Pulmonary aspergillosis: a clinical update.*J Assoc Physicians India*. 2007 Jun 1;100(6):317-34.
8. Gochhait D, Dey P, Rajwanshi A, Nijhawan R, Radhika S, Gupta N. Spectrum of fungal and parasitic infections on fine needle aspiration cytology. Diagnostic Cytopathology. 2015 Jun;43(6):450-5.
9. Sharma S, Gupta P, Gupta N, Lal A, Behera D, Rajwanshi A. Pulmonary infections in immunocompromised patients: the role of image-guided fine needle aspiration cytology. Cytopathology. 2017 Feb;28(1):46-54.
10. Nerune SM, Arora S, Kumar M. Cytological Diagnosis of Primary Cutaneous Aspergillosis Masquerading as Lipoma in a Known Case of Lepromatous Leprosy. *J Clin Diagn Res* : JCDR. 2017 May;11(5):ED36.
11. Cox G, Kauffman C, Hall K. [Internet]. Mucormycosis (zygomycosis). 2022 [cited 2022 Nov16]. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/mucormycosis-zygomycosis
12. Emmanuel P. Mucormycosis pathology [Internet]. DermNet. 2022 [cited 2022Nov16]. Available from: https://dermnetnz.org/topics/mucormycosis-pathology
13. Klimko N, Khostelidi S, Shadrivova O, Volkova A, Popova M, Uspenskaya O, Shneyder T, Bogomolova T, Ignatyeva S, Zubarovskaya L, Afanasyev B. Contrasts between mucormycosis and aspergillosis in oncohematological patients. Medical Mycology. 2019 Apr 1;57(Supplement_2):S138-44.
14. Trief D, Gray ST, Jakobiec FA, Durand ML, Fay A, Freitag SK, Lee NG, Lefebvre DR, Holbrook E, Bleier B, Sadow P. Invasive fungal disease of the sinus and orbit: a comparison between mucormycosis and Aspergillus. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2016 Feb 1;100(2):184-8.
15. Jung J, Park YS, Sung H, Song JS, Lee SO, Choi SH, Kim YS, Woo JH, Kim SH. Using immunohistochemistry to assess the accuracy of histomorphologic diagnosis of aspergillosis and mucormycosis. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2015 Dec 1;61(11):1664-70.
16. Lahmer T, Messer M, Ehmer U, Eser S, Beitz A, Fekecs L, Schmid RM, Huber W. Pseudallescheria boydii with Aspergillus fumigatus and Aspergillus terreus in a critically ill hematopoietic stem cell recipient with ARDS. Mycopathologia. 2016 Apr;181(3):267-71.
17. LAM SM, LAU AC, MA MW, YAM LY. Pseudallescheria boydii or Aspergillus fumigatus in a lady with an unresolving lung infiltrate, and a literature review. Respirology. 2008 May;13(3):478-80.
18. Chidambaram JD, Prajna NV, Larke N, Macleod D, Srikanthi P, Lanjewar S, Shah M, Lalitha P, Elakkiya S, Burton MJ. In vivo confocal microscopy appearance of Fusarium and Aspergillus species in fungal keratitis. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2017 Aug 1;101(8):1119-23.
19. Vento S, Cainelli F, Temesgen Z. Lung infections after cancer chemotherapy. The lancet oncology. 2008 Oct 1;9(10):982-92.
20. Muraosa Y, Schreiber AZ, Trabasso P, Matsuzawa T, Taguchi H, Moretti ML, Mikami Y, Kamei K. Development of cycling probe-based real-time PCR system to detect Fusarium species and Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC). **Int. J. Med. Microbiol. Suppl.** 2014 May 1;304(3-4):505-11.
21. Verweij PE, Smedts F, Poot T, Bult P, Hoogkamp-Korstanje JA, Meis JF. Immunoperoxidase staining for identification of Aspergillus species in routinely processed tissue sections. **J. Clin. Pathol**. 1996 Oct 1;49(10):798-801.
22. Bulpa P, Dive A, Sibille Y. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J*. 2007 Oct 1;30(4):782-800.
23. Romett JL, Newman RK. Aspergillosis of the nose and paranasal sinuses. The Laryngoscope. 1982 Jul;92(7):764-6.
24. Tillie-Leblond I, Tonnel AB. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Allergy. 2005 Aug;60(8):1004-13.
25. Holdom MD, Hay RJ, Hamilton AJ. The Cu, Zn superoxide dismutases of Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus nidulans, and Aspergillus terreus: purification and biochemical comparison with the Aspergillus fumigatus Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase. Infection and Immunity. 1996 Aug;64(8):3326-32.
26. Filtenborg O, Frisvad JC. Identification of Penicillium and Aspergillus species in mixed cultures in Petri dishes using secondary metabolite profile. InModern Concepts in Penicillium and Aspergillus Classification 1990 (pp. 27-37). Springer, Boston, MA.
27. Rosa C, Araujo R, Rodrigues AG, Pinto-de-Sousa MI, Pina-Vaz C. Detection of Aspergillus species in BACTEC blood cultures. **J. Med. Microbiol**. 2011 Oct 1;60(10):1467-71.
28. Arvanitis M, Anagnostou T, Mylonakis E. Galactomannan and polymerase chain reaction–based screening for invasive aspergillosis among high-risk hematology patients: a diagnostic meta-analysis. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2015 Oct 15;61(8):1263-72.
29. Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Barnes R, Donnelly JP, Loeffler J, Jones BL, Klingspor L, Maertens J, Morton CO, White LP. Polymerase chain reaction blood tests for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised people. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2019(9).
30. de Castro RJ, Nishide TG, Sato HH. Production and biochemical properties of proteases secreted by Aspergillus niger under solid state fermentation in response to different agroindustrial substrates. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. 2014 Oct 1;3(4):236-45.
31. Venugopal TV, Venugopal PV. Primary cutaneous aspergillosis

from Tamilnadu diagnosed by fine needle aspiration cytology. Medical mycology case reports. 2012 Jan 1;1(1):103-6.
32. Shen Y, Zhang X, Lin W, Wan C, Li Q, Jiang Y. Liquid-based cytopathology test as a novel method to identify Aspergillus in patients with pulmonary aspergillosis. Scientific Reports. 2017 Aug 8;7(1):1-8.
33. Fernández-Cruz A, Magira E, Heo ST, Evans S, Tarrand J, Kontoyiannis DP. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cytology in culture-documented invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with hematologic diseases: analysis of 67 episodes. *J. Clin. Microbiol*. 2018 Oct 1;56(10):e00962-18.
34. Sharma D, Mahajan N, Rao S, Khurana N, Jain S. Invasive maxillary aspergillosis masquerading as malignancy in two cases: utility of cytology as a rapid diagnostic tool. *J Cytol* . 2012 Jul;29(3):194.
35. Tochigi N, Okubo Y, Ando T, Wakayama M, Shinozaki M, Gocho K, Hata Y, Ishiwatari T, Nemoto T, Shibuya K. Histopathological implications of Aspergillus infection in lung. Mediators of Inflammation. 2013 Jan 1;2013.
36. Blot SI, Taccone FS, Van den Abeele AM, Bulpa P, Meersseman W, Brusselaers N, Dimopoulos G, Paiva JA, Misset B, Rello J, Vandewoude K. A clinical algorithm to diagnose invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients. **Am. J. Respir. Crit**. 2012 Jul 1;186(1):56-64.
37. Denning DW, Page ID, Chakaya J, Jabeen K, Jude CM, Cornet M, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Bongomin F, Bowyer P, Chakrabarti A, Gago S. Case definition of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis in resource-constrained settings. Emerging infectious diseases. 2018 Aug;24(8).
38. Machado M, Valerio M, Álvarez-Uría A, Olmedo M, Veintimilla C, Padilla B, De la Villa S, Guinea J, Escribano P, Ruiz-Serrano MJ, Reigadas E. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in the COVID-19 era: An expected new entity. Mycoses. 2021 Feb;64(2):132-43.
39. Boch, T., Reinwald, M., Spiess, B., Liebregts, T., Schellongowski, P., Meybohm, P., Rath, P.M., Steinmann, J., Trinkmann, F., Britsch, S. and Michels, J.D., 2018. Detection of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients by combined use of conventional culture, galactomannan, 1-3-beta-D-glucan and Aspergillus specific nested polymerase chain reaction in a prospective pilot study. J. Crit. Care, *47*, pp.198-203.
40. Shukry M, Abd El-Kader MF, Hendam BM, Dawood MA, Farrag FA, Aboelenin SM, Soliman MM, Abdel-Latif HM. Dietary Aspergillus oryzae modulates serum biochemical indices, immune responses, oxidative stress, and transcription of HSP70 and cytokine genes in Nile tilapia exposed to salinity stress. Animals. 2021 May 31;11(6):1621.
41. Yu X, Liu Y, Xie X, Zheng XD, Li SM. Biochemical characterization of indole prenyltransferases: filling the last gap of prenylation positions by a 5-dimethylallyltryptophan synthase from Aspergillus clavatus. *J. Biol. Chem*. 2012 Jan 6;287(2):1371-80.
42. Page, I. D., Richardson, M., & Denning, D. W. Antibody testing in aspergillosis—quo vadis?. *Medical mycology*,(2015). *53*(5), 417-439.