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ABSTRACT

Aims and Objectives: To study the effectiveness of Bilateral Arm Training on upper extremity motor function and activity level in patients with sub-acute stroke.

Sample Size: 40 patients (20 in each group), Study Design: Experimental Study, Study setting: Hospitals and Rehabilitation centres in and around the metropolitan city Sample and Sampling method: 40 patients were randomly selected and assigned in 2 groups, as Group 1(conventional group), Group 2 (experimental group) in equal numbers Exclusion Criteria. The total subjects of 40 were included in the study the inclusion and exclusion criteria were Inclusion Criteria: 45 years to 65 years, Both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke (middle cerebral artery involvement), 1 month from onset to 6 months (sub-acute stage), the score of 21 or higher on mini-mental state examination, Voluntary control grading (Brunnstrom) for upper extremity- Stage 4 Or 5, Patients with a fair grade of sitting balance.

Exclusion Criteria: Upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders e.g. subluxation of shoulder, shoulder pain, any contractures or deformity of any of the upper extremity, Neurological disorders other than stroke, visual impairments, Patients with Unilateral neglect, Patients with perceptual disorders, psychological problems.

Result: There was a significant difference (p<0.05) between mean difference of group A 82.3±7.61 and 89.9±8.23 and mean difference of group B 83.4±6.83 and 87.95±6.61 pre and post-treatment scores of Functional Independence Measure (FIM) used to assessed Activity level in subacute stroke similarly Motor Function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE in patients with subacute stroke in group A pre and post score was 39.3 ± 3.59 and 46.15 ±3.61 and for group B it was 38.5 ±2.94 and 42.8 ±2.91 respectively.

Conclusion: Bilateral Arm Training proved to be more effective than Conventional Training program to improve affected upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl- Meyer assessment UE and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log in patients with subacute stroke.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke or brain attack is the sudden loss of neurological function caused by an interruption of the blood flow to the brain, this can be due to ischemia (absence of blood gracefully), brought about by apoplexy or embolism or because of leakage that happens when veins rupture causing spillage of blood in or around brain. Intense stage is considered from the hour of beginning till one month 1 month from onset to 6 months is considered as sub-acute stage whereas more than 6 months is considered as a chronic stage of stroke. Approximately 80% of all stroke cases are ischemic and most ischemic stroke affects one of the cerebral hemispheres by occlusion of the middle cerebral artery. The estimated adjusted prevalence rate of stroke range, 84-262/100,000 in rural and 334-424/100,000 in urban areas. The incidence rate is 119-145/100,000 based on recent population-based studies. There is also a wide variation in case-fatality rates with the highest being 42% in Kolkata. The term hemiplegia is often used generically to refer to the wide variety of motor problems that result from stroke.
20% to 80% of the patients show incomplete arm recovery after stroke depending on the initial impairment. Upper-limb dysfunction in stroke is characterized by paresis, loss of manual dexterity, and movement abnormalities that may impact considerably on the performance of ADL’s. The main aim of organized stroke rehabilitation is to achieve a level of functional independence that enables patients to return home and reintegrate into community life that lives up to their expectations and desires as much as possible.

Need for study
Based on various strategies, the combination of whole arm functional task training and device-driven bilateral training types. The usage of techniques that involve more functional activities can have a better outcome compared to the other strategies. Also, it can be seen that the duration of the stroke is a very important variable which can have its effect in the outcome of bilateral arm training treatment sections. Studies in which bilateral arm training have been given to acute patients with post-stroke is comparatively very less in nature concerning sub-acute and chronic patients with post-stroke. Taking this aspect into consideration we have formulated the study frame in an acute case of patients with post-stroke. Thus the need for the study is justified by taking acute patients and conducting an experimental study using bilateral arm training. Hence we are trying to find out the effect of bilateral arm training in improving the upper extremity function among the individuals with acute stroke.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
1) To find out the effect of Bilateral Arm Training on upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl–Meyer assessment UE scale and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log on activity level in patients with sub-acute stroke.
2) To find out the effect of Conventional physiotherapy training on upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl–Meyer assessment UE scale and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor activity log in patients with sub-acute stroke.
3) To compare the effect of Bilateral Arm Training and Conventional Training on upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl–Meyer assessment UE and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor activity log in patients with sub-acute stroke.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

Research Design of the Study: Experimental Study
Study Setting: Hospitals and Rehabilitation centres in and around the metropolitan city
Sample Size: 40 subjects
Sampling Technique: Simple random sampling
Study Duration: 1 year

METHODOLOGY
The study was conducted after taking approval from the institutional research ethics committee, approval no. P.E.S/MCOP/387C-2015-2016 in the Department of Neuroscience clearance was obtained from the Institutional ethical committee. Subjects who satisfied the study criteria were enrolled and briefed about the study. Later, Informed Consent was obtained from the subjects in the study. Total of 40 subjects was included in the study following the fulfillment of the criteria. 20 subjects in the experimental group (Group A) and 20 patients in the conventional treatment group (Group B) were enrolled.

- All the patients in the experimental group received Bilateral Arm Training (BAT) using 8 Bilateral symmetrical functional activities.

The intervention period was for 4 weeks. (5 days a week for 55 minutes which started with 5 min of warm-up exercises followed by bilateral symmetrical functional activities with 10 min rest period after completing 4 activities). Each task performed by the patient for 5 mins.

PROCEDURE
The Bilateral Arm Training included the following 8 activities-
1) Lift a shoebox overhead and keep it again in front on the table (medium size shoebox)-
Position of the patient: Sitting on a chair comfortably in front of the table
Position of the therapist: Standing by the affected side of the patient
Position of the material: An empty shoebox placed in front of the patient on the table.
Task: Therapist asked the patient to lift a box overhead (holding the width of the box) simultaneously with bilateral upper extremity and keep it again on the table. The same activity was repeated for 5 mins.
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Figure 1: The Bilateral Arm Training.

**Pull a chair (plastic chair)**

*Position of the patient:* Sitting on a chair comfortably

*Position of the therapist:* Standing by the affected side of the patient

*Position of the material:* A plastic chair with its back facing to the patient kept in front of the patient at his arm’s length.

**Task:** Therapist asked the patient to pull a chair (holding backrest of the chair) simultaneously with bilateral upper extremity towards him while trunk remained still and therapist kept the chair back and again patient asked to pull the chair. The same activity was repeated for 5 mins.

Figure 2: The Bilateral Arm Training.

**Lift 2 polystyrene cups simultaneously with bilateral upper extremity (diameter 8.0 cm, height 10.0 cm)**

*Position of the patient:* Sitting on a chair comfortably in front of the table

*Position of the therapist:* Standing by the affected side of the patient

*Position of the material:* 2 polystyrene cups (half water-filled cups) placed on the table

**Task:** Therapist asked the patient to lift polystyrene cups (holding one cup by one hand) simultaneously with bilateral upper extremity; then asked the patient to keep it back. The same activity was repeated for 5 mins.

Figure 3: The Bilateral Arm Training.

**Conventional Training program:**

All the patients in Group B were treated by using Conventional Physiotherapy Program for affected upper extremity, the affected lower extremity and Trunk.

Pre-intervention (t1) the motor function assessed by using Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA-UE) scale and activity level was assessed using, Functional Independence Measure scale (FIMS) which is a structured interview and motor activity log scale (structured interview) for the upper extremity.

The Conventional Physiotherapy Program period was for 4 weeks (5 days a week for 55 minutes which was started with 5 min of warm-up exercises).

Post-intervention (t2) the motor function assessed by using Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA-UE) scale and activity level was assessed using, Functional Independence Measure scale (FIMS) which is a structured interview and
motor activity log scale (structured interview) for the upper extremity.

**Conventional Treatment Program included:**
Weight-bearing on the affected UE, Weight shifts, Manipulation activities, Dexterity activities (Holding key) Dexterity activities (Holding Comb), Functional reaching activities, Co-ordination exercises for affected UE, Gripping activities for affected upper extremity. Each exercise was performed for 5 minutes for affected upper extremity.

**Figure 5:** Conventional Training program.

**WEIGHT BEARING EXERCISE ON AFFECTED UPPER LIMB**

**DATA ANALYSIS**
The Motor function was analyzed using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scale for Upper Extremity and Activity level using functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log. For this purpose, data were collected by the primary investigator. The data were entered into an excel spreadsheet, tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis.

1) Paired t-test- To see the difference between pre and post measurements within the group.
2) Unpaired t-test- To see the difference between pre and post measurements between the group.

Effect of Bilateral Arm Training and conventional Treatment on Activity level in subacute stroke was analyzed using Non-parametric tests.

1) Wilcoxon sign rank test- to see the difference in the group.
2) Mann Whitney test - To compare between two independent groups. 39

**RESULTS**
The Data was analyzed with the help of the Statistical Package of Social Services SPSS (Version 20.0).

There was a significant difference (p<0.05) between mean difference of group A 82.3±7.61 and 89.9 ±8.23 and mean difference of group B 83.4± 6.83 and 87.95 ±6.61 pre and post-treatment scores of Functional Independence Measure (FIM) used to the assessed Activity level in subacute stroke similarly Motor Function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE in patients with subacute stroke in group A pre and post score was 39.3 ± 3.59 and 46.15 ± 3.61 and for group B it was 38.5 ± 2.94 and 42.8 ± 2.91 respectively.

**Table 1:** Distribution of subjects according to the side affected in both the Bilateral Arm Training (Group A) and Conventional Treatment group (Group B) according to the side of affection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side of affection</th>
<th>Left Side</th>
<th>Right Side</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GROUP A (no of subjects)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUP B (no of subjects)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Showing comparison of pretreatment scores between both groups (Group A, Group B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GROUP A</th>
<th>GROUP B</th>
<th>p-value (≤.05)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fugl Meyer Assessment scale UE</td>
<td>39.3±3.59</td>
<td>38.5±2.94</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional independence Measure</td>
<td>82.3±7.61</td>
<td>83.4±6.83</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL Amount of use (AOU)</td>
<td>1.03±0.09</td>
<td>1.00±0.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL Quality of movement (QOM)</td>
<td>1.01±0.11</td>
<td>0.94±0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Not Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7: Showing comparison of pre-treatment scores between both groups (Group A, Group B).

Figure 8: Comparison between Group A and Group B assessed using FMA.

Table 3: Comparison of the effect of Bilateral Arm Training (Group A) and Conventional Treatment program (Group B) on Motor Function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE in patients with subacute stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FMA UE</th>
<th>Group A Mean difference ± SD</th>
<th>Group B Mean difference ± SD</th>
<th>p-value (&lt;0.05)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>39.3 ± 3.59</td>
<td>38.5 ± 2.94</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>46.15 ±3.61</td>
<td>42.8 ± 2.91</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Extremely significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The present study was undertaken to see the effectiveness of Bilateral Arm Training on upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE scale and Activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log in patients with Sub-acute stroke. In this study total 40 patients, both males and females were included with 20 participants in each group (Bilateral Arm Training and Conventional Treatment Group) with mean age 54± 10 years.

In this study, we found that Bilateral Arm Training is effective in improving motor function and activity level of the upper extremity in sub-acute stroke patients. So also, traditional treatment is viable in improving motor capacity and an action level of in sub-intense stroke patients. Further on, comparing Bilateral Arm Training with conventional training we found that Bilateral Arm Training is more effective in improving motor function and activity level of the upper extremity in subacute stroke patients.

Our results are in account with EQ van Delden et al; they reported that Bilateral Arm Training with rhythmic auditory cueing (BATRAC), has beneficial effects on the paretic arm in chronic stroke patients, possibly as a result of changes in contralesional cortical networks.

Activity Log but there was no significant improvement in functional performance when assessed using Functional Independence Measure in chronic stroke patients. The possible reason that they did not found a significant difference in improving functional performance assessed using Functional Independence Measure could be their study had small sample size, small intervention period, the population i.e. chronic stroke and very less bilateral symmetrical activities whereas in our study we found that 4 weeks of BAT improves motor function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log.

Also, Summers JJ et al. in chronic stroke patients found that chronic stroke patients receiving Bilateral Training showed a reduction in movement time of the impaired limb and increased upper extremity functional ability compared to individuals receiving unilateral training in chronic stroke patients. Their study results are in account with our study results but we have not considered movement time in our study.

Our study results are consistent with Stinear et al. who reported that a 4 weeks bilateral training approach (active-passive bilateral therapy) helps to improve the motor control when assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment scale UE in patients with chronic stroke.

Only sub-acute stroke subjects were enrolled for training in our study as only a few reports are available on the effect of...
training regimens focusing on bilateral use of upper limbs in both acute and sub-acute phases.

Stewart KC, Cauraugh et al. revealed a strong Bilateral Arm movement training effect and improved motor capabilities post-stroke. They reported that unilateral movements may generate an inter-hemispheric inhibition in the ipsilateral hemisphere that prevents mirror movements in the opposite upper limb. In contrast, Bilateral movement activates similar neural distributed networks in both the hemisphere, allowing mirror movements. (15, 26)

In contrast with our study results, Morris et al. did not show a significant difference between the benefits of Bilateral Arm Training and unilateral arm training when compared in chronic stroke patients; perhaps because these investigations depended on a little preliminary with heterogeneous qualities of study subjects (eg, time after the beginning of stroke).

In contrast with our study results, Syed et al. found that 3 weeks of Bilateral Arm Training improves functional performance better than Unilateral Arm Training, but they found improvement in the amount of use component but not in the quality of movement component of Motor Activity Log. Whereas in our study we found improvement in both the components of Motor Activity Log. The probable reasoning for difference in the results could be that their study was a small trial, with small sample size, small intervention period (3 weeks); they have taken only 3 functional tasks in their study and the population was generalized i.e. both sub-acute and chronic stage were included.

Lewis GN et al. who reported that, when a neurologically intact individual performs a unilateral movement, interhemispheric inhibition (Transcallosal inhibition) of the non-target hand occurs to enhance independent bimanual control of each limb. Whereas, during the bilateral movement, independent control of each limb is not essential, rendering transcallosal inhibition unnecessary. Our findings concur to those of Cunningham et al. who reported inter-limb coupling dynamics with bilateral extremity training in turn leading to a better amount of use (AOU) and quality of movement (QOM) of affected extremity in chronic stroke patients.

Useful recuperation of the furthest point is advanced by plastic changes in the working of the cerebrum, which, when all is said in done, likewise happen in learning. These experience initiated changes are achieved by a blend of neural fix and neuron-anatomic revamping and incorporate more prominent volatility and enrollment of the neurons in both hemispheres, sprouting of dendrites, and strengthening of synaptic connections.

This proposes motor work in the weakened paretic arm might be recovered by exploiting inter-hemispheric interactions. In particular, based on the principle of inter-hemispheric recruitment from the non-affected hemisphere (i.e., exercise-induced neuroplasticity employing “neural crosstalk”), Bilateral Arm Training may serve as an effective therapy for patients in whom the corticospinal tract (CST) system is seriously affected. (29)

A variety of functional symmetric tasks were incorporated into the BAT program in our study that allowed repetitive practice on skilled movements. Repetitive exercises/ practice help to activate weak paralyzed muscles as well as Task-related training to improve strength and coordination. As well as the practice of meaningful tasks/ functional tasks and specific exercises also allows the damaged system to regain the ability to select and use those sensory inputs which are relevant to the action being practised.

Thus, the overall findings of this study suggest that 4 weeks of Bilateral Arm Training is a better approach than conventional rehabilitation to improve upper extremity motor function and activity level in patients with sub-acute stroke.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study both the groups i.e. Group A (Bilateral Arm Training) and group B (Conventional Treatment program) showed improvement of affected upper extremity Motor function and activity level in patients with subacute stroke. But on comparing both the training groups statistically, Bilateral Arm Training proved to be more effective than Conventional Training program to improve affected upper extremity motor function assessed using Fugl-Meyer assessment UE and activity level assessed using Functional Independence Measure and Motor Activity Log in patients with subacute stroke.

Hence alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted; Bilateral Arm Training will show significant effect than conventional treatment to improve upper extremity motor function and activity level in patients with sub-acute stroke.
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