INTRODUCTION

Periodontal plastic surgery comprises several techniques for the management of soft tissue deficits and deformities. Among these are insufficient clinical crown length, asymmetric gingival margins, improper gingival margin relationship, localized alveolar ridge deficiencies, gingival pigmentation, exposure of unerupted teeth and localized marginal tissue recession. Of these, gingival recession is a long-recognized condition that has been addressed in the literature via a variety of surgical techniques. The primary concerns regarding the presence of gingival recession include marginal tissue irritation, root surface sensitivity, root caries, esthetic concerns and loss of a tooth. Updates in materials and techniques have resulted in improvements in esthetics and predictability.

Connective tissue (CT) grafts are one of the most widely used therapeutic strategies today in periodontal plastic surgery. In Europe Bjorn introduced technique for soft tissue augmentation using Free gingival graft (FGG). B jorn was the first to report the transplantation of epithelialized palatal grafts to augment the zone of keratinized gingiva. Harvey proposed the technique in which a combination of FGG followed by a Coronally Positioned Flap (CPF) was used to augment the amount of attached tissue. Miller et al. (1985) expanded on the technique and utilized FGG in root coverage procedures. However, these may cause additional surgery, operating time, and expenditure. Also, FGG tends to yield an unacceptable colour match to gingiva and keloid appearance during healing. Thus, FGG considered unsuitable for covering denuded roots.

Grupe and Warren first reported lateral sliding flap procedure for the management of gingival recession. This procedure was restricted by the amount and thickness obtained adjacent from donor tissue. Cohen and Ross put forward the Double Papilla Flap in 1968. Both these techniques are not advised if sufficient adjacent keratinized tissues do not exist.
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Edel was the first to address these concerns by obtaining subepithelial connective tissue graft (SCTG) for the augmentation of keratinized gingiva. Langer and Calagna proposed SCTG procedure for augmentation of soft tissue and the combination of SCTG with pedicle graft for root coverage was developed by Langer and Langer.

Various modified technique for harvesting the graft and its use at the recipient site was put forward. Over the period, most reliable outcomes for root coverage were obtained through SCTG. Allen et al. stated that the combination of SCTG with coronally positioned flap showed a significantly greater outcome for the treatment of multiple gingival recession with root coverage ranging from 82-100%.

Major advantages of the SCTG are that it is inexpensive, versatile, and easily available; it provides successful outcomes; it is less invasive than other autogenous harvesting techniques, and it has a shorter healing period.

**Other benefits of the SCTG are**

1. The graft has a dual blood supply.
2. The SCTG provides better colour matching and surface topography and hence improved esthetic integration.
3. The donor site heals with primary intention, resulting in less scarring.
4. The SCTG has greater predictability.
5. The procedure causes minimal discomfort to the patient, and the site heals rapidly.
6. The SCTG is quick, user friendly, and easy to utilize in various situations.
7. The SCTG is a versatile procedure. It has multiple applications, ranging from extensive soft tissue ridge augmentation to procedures as small as papilla reconstruction and management of peri-implant tissues.

Indications for SCTG are

1. Management of soft tissue recession around teeth and implants.
2. Augmentation of the zone of keratinized gingiva.
3. Use of soft tissue for ridge augmentation.
4. Preservation of the ridge with the implant and fixed partial dentures procedure.
5. Augmentation of gingival thickness following or before orthodontic therapy.
6. Augmentation of gingival thickness following or prior to restorative therapy.
7. Reconstruction of soft tissue and coverage of maxillary defects.
8. Surgical reconstruction of interdental papilla.
10. Closure of defects following an apicoectomy.
11. Intraosseous subperiosteal connective tissue graft for reduction of pockets and management of furcations as combined procedures.
12. Correction of localized gingival pigmentation.
13. Masking of discoloured roots or visible implant components.

Bassetti et al. in a systematic review evaluated the effectiveness for augmentation of soft tissue during 2nd stage surgery in respect to increasing the peri-implant zone of keratinized mucosa (KM) and/or increase in the size of soft tissue. The authors concluded that application of apically positioned partial-thickness flap (APPTF) to increase keratinized mucosa and the roll envelope flap increases soft tissue volume at the buccal side of the implant are effective in the upper jaw. Also in the lower jaw, to increase the zone of keratinized mucosa use of APPTF combined with FGG or a xenogeneic graft material gives predictable outcomes.

Poskevicius L et al. in their systematic review evaluated changes in keratinized mucosa width after grafting of soft tissue and soft tissue thickness all over the dental implants. The authors concluded that there was again in the zone of keratinized mucosa and thickness of soft tissue was obtained under an observation period of 2 years.

The SCTG does have several limitations:

1. Harvesting the graft is contraindicated in the presence of a narrow palatal vault, thin palatal tissue, or bony exostosis.
2. Production of an adequately sized graft is not always possible.
3. Existence of a second surgical site increase patient morbidity.

**Anatomical considerations**

- The hard palate is composed of the horizontal process of the palatal bone and the palatine process of the maxillary bone and it is enclosed with masticatory mucosa.
- The soft tissue extends above from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) of upper posterior teeth. Dense lamina propria present is of 2 to 4 mm. At the midline, glandular and adipose tissue present in connective tissue.
- Thickest tissue is present in the area from the line angle of the mesial side of the palatal root of the first molar to the distal side of the canine.
- Greater and lesser palatine nerves and blood vessels pass via greater and lesser palatine foramina into the palate. These nerves and vessels course anteriorly within a bony groove. The groove is easiest to palpate at its most posterior extent.

Klosek et al. investigated the topography of structures of the palate like foramen and artery of greater palatine, incisive fossa for planning the graft dimensions and preventing the risk of injury of the greater palatine artery. The authors observed that the position of greater palatine foramen was 35.7% present in between 2nd and 3rd molars with female predilection and 65% present palatal to 2nd molar with a
male predilection. They also found ease in harvesting graft of about 5 mm interproximal to 1st premolar and 2nd molar. This research helps in assisting periodontologists in planning the thickness, volume and harvesting the connective tissue grafts from the palatal donor site.

Donor tissue thickness of harvesting SCTG categorized into three types-

1. Thin (0.5 – 0.8 mm)
2. Average (0.9 – 1.4 mm)
3. Thick (1.5 to >2 mm)

After surgery, the amount of shrinkage and the rate of healing of the SCTG depends on the thickness of the graft. Rapid revascularization on a periosteal recipient site can occur through the placement of the uniform thin graft. However, placement of uneven thick graft on denuded bone leads to a lengthened period of revascularization and delayed healing.

**Surgical considerations and harvesting techniques**

Edel first described palatal harvesting technique of SCTGs to gain the width of the attached gingiva.8 Subsequently, various techniques for harvesting the graft from different oral sites have been proposed. Intraoral donor sites selected for SCTG harvesting must offer adequate obtainable tissue. SCTGs are most commonly harvested from the palatal mucosa, but other areas, such as the maxillary tuberosity, can also be utilized.25

The techniques used to harvest SCTGs differ in number and type of surface incisions, ways to gain access to the graft, and flap designs. Depending on the number and condition of mucous membrane and its vascular supply, flap design is three dimensional tissue which is independent of the wound bed and flap tissue.26

Each SCTG procedure has pros and cons, and the technique selected depends on various parameters, such as the objective of the procedure, expected morbidity, existing anatomical limitations and surgeon’s skill.26-27

Incision design classification for the palatal donor site is based upon-

1. A requirement of graft size for recipient bed site
2. Palatal vault anatomy 20
3. Presence of an exostosis 28
4. Donor site healing through the primary or secondary intention of healing15
5. The blood supply for flap coronally positioned above the graft
6. Postsurgical distress

Liu and Weisgold have proposed a classification for graft harvesting from the palate, based on the number of incisions (Table 1 and 2).29

**Table 1: Classification for graft harvesting from the palate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Incision</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Class I-** One incision line | Class I used for harvesting any type of SCTG from the palatal site | 1. Only 1 incision line used  
2. No need for acrylic stent post-operatively.  
3. Haemostatic agents and Sutures are not required  
4. The incision can be placed to different forms of palatal vault.  
5. Less patient distress.  
6. Provides more blood supply for the overlying flap (Donor site)  
7. Wound healing through primary closure seen in Class I type A | 1. Less visibility of donor site  
2. Quite challenging to perform |

| **Class II-** Two incision lines (L shape) | To prevent injury to greater palatine artery and nerve | 1. Provide proper visibility due to smaller incision  
2. No need for third incision line  
3. Provide adequate blood supply for the overlying flap (Donor site)  
4. Ease to perform | Due to two incision lines may cause hindrance to supply of blood from the palatal donor site. |

| **Class III-** Three incision lines (U shape) | 1. Interest for underlying anatomy includes exostosis, vessels, nerves  
2. The requirement of a longer amount of tissue | 1. The similarity in graft size and incision design.  
2. More visibility  
3. Relatively easy to perform | 1. Added incision lines can hamper the supply of blood to the donor site.  
2. Provide postoperative discomfort.  
3. Need for stent or suture |
### Table 1: (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subclassification (horizontal incision)</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type A</strong> (one horizontal incision) design</td>
<td>Indications:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The requirement of connective tissue (CT) graft without covering of epithelium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Indicated in various forms of palatal vault</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Applied in the site of a minimum depth of tissue (Average tissue of molar area is ≤ 3mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. When the requirement of SCTG length more than two premolars or more than the normal depth of tissue with the use of one incision line to harvest more amount of SCTG.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type B</strong> (two horizontal incisions) design</td>
<td>Indications:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The palatal tissue is of adequate thickness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The requirement of connective tissue graft with epithelial covering and recipient site should expose the epithelial side of the graft.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Types of incisions with examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Incision</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Class I (one incision lines) | Hürzeler and Weng 1999<sup>23</sup>  
Lorenzana and Allen 2000<sup>34</sup>  
Del Pizzo 2002<sup>35</sup>  
Ribeiro et al. 2008<sup>37</sup>  
Kumar A et al. 2013<sup>39</sup> |
| Class II (two incision lines) | Bruno 1994<sup>33</sup>  
Edel 1974<sup>8</sup>  
Langer and Calagna 1980<sup>9</sup>  
Harris 1992<sup>31</sup> |
| Class III (three incision lines) | |
| Subclass type B | Langer and Langer 1985<sup>20</sup>  
Raetzke 1985<sup>30</sup> |

### Harvesting techniques for SCTG from the palate (Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Harvesting technique</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edel (1974)&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Trapdoor technique. The palatal portion opposite to the molars is selected for harvesting the graft. A primary incision is given near gingival margin to the long axis of the teeth. For harvesting graft, 1 horizontal and 2 vertical incisions given. The incision under the surface of an edentulous region can also be used for harvesting the graft. Complete wound closure is achieved.</td>
<td>Need for similar graft size and incision design, to increase visibility, easy to execute.</td>
<td>It was common to observe flap necrosis, prolonged pain and discomfort, the Blood supply of overlying flap get hampered due to vertical incision and may cause sloughing of the palatal flap.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Langer and Calagna (1980)<sup>9</sup> | A horizontal incision is given on palatal mm apical to gingival margin of posterior teeth followed by vertical incision at either end for SCTG harvesting. If there is a presence of periodontal pocket elimination, an internal bevel incision given for pocket removal. From the excised pocket wall, connective tissue and epithelium are recovered. The band of the epithelium in the harvested tissue is discarded, while connective tissue is retained. | It helps in augmentation of concavities and irregularities in edentulous ridges for cosmetic purpose | 1. Height and contour of pontics of the temporary prosthesis must be altered after surgical procedure.  
2. For the esthetic purpose, gingivoplasty may be essential to decrease irregularity. |
Table 3: (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Harvesting technique</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Langer and Langer (1985) | Two horizontal and two vertical incisions are given, a rectangular design which results in an SCTG with an epithelial collar of 1.5-2.0 mm in width. | 1. Donor site heals with less discomfort  
2. Not require a periodontal pack  
3. The gain in root coverage 2-6mm | This technique performed in patients with an excellent level of plaque control.                      |
| Raetzke (1985)           | 1. This technique employs no vertical incisions but 2 converging horizontal, crescent-shaped incisions intersect deeply in the palate.  
2. A wedge of tissue is removed and the small band of epithelium is excised. | 1. The gain of keratinized gingiva  
2. Donor site heals with less discomfort | 1. Healing is not achieved through primary closure of the wound.  
2. This technique provides a better healing wound than the trapdoor technique but makes it difficult to obtain CT grafts of ample size to solve large defects.  
3. complete primary closure of the wound cannot be obtained. |
| Harris (1992)            | Graft knife technique/Harris double-blade technique.  
1. This modification of the original trapdoor technique was done to raise partial-thickness flap by use of graft knife.  
2. The knife is placed at the distal portion of connective tissue and then pulled mesially under the trapdoor flap, to elevate a connective tissue.  
3. The technique can be simplified by utilizing a Harris double-bladed graft knife in which two blades are mounted 1.5 mm apart. | It provides a graft of predictable and uniform width. | It is, however, difficult to perform in one single stroke following the palatal vault curvature, and some connective tissue is lost while removing the epithelium. |
| Hürzeler and Weng (1999) | Single-incision technique  
1. A single horizontal incision is given 2mm apical to marginal gingiva on the palate.  
2. Initially, the blade is angled 90 degrees, and then it is angled to 135 degrees to undermine the flap.  
3. The SCTG is removed by making the incision to the bone on all sides of the uncovered SCTG. | 1. Optimal vascularization of the cover flap  
2. A small number of the suture is required  
3. Painless wound healing  
4. Possibility of obtaining grafts of variable dimension  
5. Postoperative healing is better  
6. Patient morbidity is decreased. | 1. The author advocated 1st incision to the bone which causes trauma to connective tissue and blood vessels in it.  
2. It leads to haemorrhage and hampers visibility.  
3. To achieve a thickness of the subepithelial connective tissue, it depends on the angulation of bone after 1st incision.  
4. Followed by 1st incision, blade angulation placed at 135 degrees to the bone for harvesting subepithelial connective tissue graft.  
5. It does not provide visibility. Such incisions do not provide a uniform thickness of the graft. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Harvesting technique</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bruno (1994)⁵³</td>
<td>Double-incision technique</td>
<td>1. Prevents lifting of the mucosal flap</td>
<td>Avoiding the use of vertical incision increases the difficulty of procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Minimizes post-operative complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Promotes rapid healing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzana and Allen (2000)⁵⁴</td>
<td>1. This technique is identical to the technique given by Hürzeler and Weng, except that vertical (mesial and distal)</td>
<td>1. Rapid palatal healing</td>
<td>If large augmentation of keratinized gingiva is required, retention of the epithelial collar may be desired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Medial incisions are not made to relieve the graft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. A small moul elevator is used to raise the connective tissue with underlying periosteum.</td>
<td>3. Reducing palatal discomfort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Careful manipulation of the graft with Corn suture pliers or other delicate tissue forceps are required. Proper care should be taken to prevent compression or tearing of graft.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Pizzo et al. (2002)⁵⁵</td>
<td>A single incision is made on the bone to surface of palate perpendicularly. The parallel incision was given to long axis of teeth for split-thickness dissection to dissect the graft from superficial tissues and underlying bone. No blunt dissection with periosteal elevator is made, leaving the periosteum intact on the surface of the bone. This aids in the development of granulation tissue at the lesion site and restore the donor site.</td>
<td>1. Faster epithelization</td>
<td>Technique sensitive procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. achieved complete epithelialization at 3 weeks postoperatively</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Complete sensibility was recovered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosco and Bosco (2007)⁵⁶</td>
<td>Partial-thickness flap was reflected from edges, 1.5-mm incision given by keeping the periosteum intact. A thick connective tissue graft harvested consist of connective tissue with the covering of epithelium. The graft is placed on sterile cloth and bisected. One of the resulting grafts consists of the epithelium with connective tissue, while the other consists only of connective tissue. The epithelial graft is repositioned at donor site like a free gingival graft and periodontal dressing is placed.</td>
<td>1. It demonstrates the viability and safety of obtaining large graft in patients with thin palatal mucosa</td>
<td>Require a thick graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Allows harvesting a very large connective tissue graft in one piece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeiro et al. (2008)⁵⁷</td>
<td>Tunnel Technique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. By use of the single-incision technique, the SCTG was harvested with maximum thickness so that it can be split cross-sectionally.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. However, the graft is not divided completely into 2 parts; therefore, it is almost double the length of the original graft and has a thickness of approximately 1.5 mm.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod et al. (2009)⁵⁸</td>
<td>A sharp back-action chisel helps in deep epithelialization of palatal site from the mesial side of canine to distal side of 1st molar. After deep epithelialization, the SCTG is harvested with a surgical blade in the manner used to harvest a conventional free gingival graft.</td>
<td>1. Procurement of thin uniform and abundant CT graft from the palate</td>
<td>Postoperative bleeding and pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Handling characteristics of the graft compared to SCTG obtained in a conventional way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. It avoids CT perforation at the donor site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: (Continued)
### Table 3: (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Harvesting technique</th>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kumar A et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Modified single incision technique</td>
<td>Initially, little bleeding occurred. The flap was thick enough to reduce the chances of damaging and sloughing.</td>
<td>Special instruments are required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. A single incision is given 2 mm below to the margin of the gingiva. For 1st incision, the blade was placed parallel along the long axis of the palatal surface for the elevation of the split-thickness flap.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Then, through the same incision angle of the blade made perpendicular to the palatal tissue surface and continued to the bone.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Followed by this incision, subepithelial connective tissue graft was harvested from the bone with the use of the periosteal elevator.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Then at the mesial and distal side of graft, 2 vertical incisions were given followed by one horizontal medial incision made underlying split-thickness flap, to separate it from the adjacent tissue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. The ‘Barraquer cataract knives’ and ‘AVS blade’ are the special blades used to make vertical and horizontal incisions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reino et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Palatal Harvesting technique</td>
<td>1. This technique yields a good amount of healing and provides minimum discomfort to the patients.</td>
<td>Technique sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. The incision was placed according to the modified single incision technique suggested by Lorenzana.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Determination of length of incision was done through graft dimension required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Reflection of the mucoperiosteal flap of 1-2 mm was done with the help of a small elevator followed by split-thickness flap reflection.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. By keeping the periosteum intact on bone and part of connective tissue with mucoperiosteal or split-thickness flap in respect to maintain the graft thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Approximately 1.5 mm wide graft harvested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatavadekar (2018)</td>
<td>Controlled Palatal Harvesting (CPH) technique</td>
<td>1. Adequate control was achieved to obtain good visibility</td>
<td>It is technique-sensitive and requires a surgeon’s skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. An incision is given 2 mm below to margin of gingiva from 1st molar using a No. 15 scalpel blade.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. For harvesting; it depends on the thickness of the palatal mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. A vertical L-shaped incision is given at the anterior end of the first incision with an anterior release.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. It improves visibility and dexterity during harvesting of the connective tissue graft. The thick partial-thickness flap was elevated leaving behind a thin periosteum covering the palatal bone.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. The entire thickness of the flap is held with the use of tissue forceps and then the connective tissue graft was harvested.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agrawal et al.: Different techniques of harvesting connective tissue graft: an update

Harvesting techniques for SCTG from the tuberosity (Table 4)

**Table 4: Techniques for SCTG harvesting from the tuberosity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Harvesting technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirsch et al. (2001)</td>
<td>The SCTG is harvested from the tuberosity region as a combined procedure of pocket reduction and esthetic root coverage. When the 2 approaches are combined like this, it removes the need for a second surgical site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al. (2008)</td>
<td>The authors advocate harvesting subepithelial connective tissue from the tuberosity area, obtained by gingivectomy. The donor soft tissue is deep epithelialized and trimmed. This technique results in fewer complications, rapid hemostasis, and minimal tissue contraction (dense connective tissue) of the graft; tissue contraction commonly occurs with palatal grafts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuhr and Hürzeler (2012)</td>
<td>Two converging incisions are given distally to last molar area while remaining within the masticatory mucosa. Incisions are given 1.0-1.5 mm deep perpendicular to the surface of the tissue. Then a partial-thickness incision is made buccally and palatally, till the mesial surface of the last molar, for harvesting uniform and even partial-thickness flap. A subperiosteal incision is given to harvesting a wedge-shaped SCTG with use of sharp dissection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amin PN et al. (2018) in their study compared palatal and tuberosity as a donor site for grafting of soft tissue associated with postoperative pain. They evaluated the outcomes of recipient and donor sites. The authors stated that graft harvested from the tuberosity site may ensure a better choice than graft from the palatal donor site concerning function and minimal postoperative pain.

**Potential complications of the SCTG**

**Donor site complications** (Petrungaro P 2002)

1. Necrosis of connective tissue graft and palatal donor site
2. More bleeding associated with pain and discomfort to the patient
3. Increased chances of disease at the donor site
4. Rarely seen loss of sensation in the palate

**Recipient site complications**

1. Postsurgical swelling and ecchymosis (Müller HP 1999)
2. External root resorption (Hokett SD 2001)
3. Gingival cysts (Breault LG 1997)
4. Gingival soft tissue abscess
5. Exostosis (Corsair AJ 2001)
6. Graft loss
7. Epithelial cell discharge (Parashis AO 2001)
8. Reaction to suture material (Vastardis S 2003)
9. Gingival cul-de-sac defects (Wei PC 2003)
10. Suturing under tension, thereby impinging on micro-circulation (Sanz M 2014)

Harvesting of thin connective tissue graft is a very challenging task and may cause trauma to the neurovascular bundle. A thin masticatory mucosa may harvest SCTG with several elements of rete pegs which penetrate through connective tissue pegs which is of the multi-layered epithelium. It causes transplanted graft rejection (Harris, 2003). To augment and gain in the thickness of connective tissue of donor site is made through biostimulation of fibroblasts with the use of collagen biomaterial (Rocha et al., 2012).

Bednarz W in their study clinically and histologically evaluated the technique to augment thin palatal tissue. The author stated that the use of Biokol® or Gel 0® collagen materials augment thin masticatory mucosa and ensure significant mucosa thickening.

**DISCUSSION**

A sub-epithelial connective tissue graft is considered as a gold standard from ancient times. Application of SCTG for numerous periodontal surgeries have shown predictable results. Various authors introduced numerous harvesting methods of SCTG with innovation in designs, accessibility along with subjective comfort and concerns. Still, the research studies are going on continuously to put forward a novel technique for harvesting. Every technique has its indications, advantages and disadvantages. To use any method it depends upon some factors such as the amount of graft needed and anatomical site.

As, from the clinical perspective point, the presence of epithelium on the graft or not is also an important first factor. It has been observed from many previous studies that both the grafts have achieved predictable outcomes which depends on the blood supply of the recipient site and also on surgical skills.

The second factor is the type and number of incisions. Some authors have proposed that vertical incision achieve better access but some authors believe not to use vertical incisions as they cause necrosis or hampering of blood supply. Initially, some authors have given single incision technique but due to its certain limitations, they modified it. The other factors such as visibility, accessibility and healing wound are the im-
portant considerations for the successful results of harvesting graft. By achieving good access and control, it may procure graft of uniform thickness.\(^7\)

Though there are numerous evolutions in harvesting technique of connective tissue graft but still in today’s scenario it requires more research. The ideal method for harvesting the graft should be comfortable for the patients as well as surgeon and less time-consuming. In future, investigations or researchers are required to evaluate the most efficient and proper technique for harvesting the graft. Thus the randomized clinical trials and systematic reviews are required to study the outcomes of different methods.

**CONCLUSION**

A connective tissue graft is a skilful treatment method in periodontal plastic surgery and peri-implant soft tissue plastic surgery. Harvesting techniques that are minimally traumatic but aimed at maximizing tissue volume ensure multi-purpose usability of connective tissue graft. The unique nature of this tissue enables its use in multiple clinical scenarios. The easy availability, low cost, and proven efficacy of SCTGs compared to other regenerative techniques have made this a valuable approach to periodontal plastic surgery. The superior esthetics and predictable outcomes obtained through SCTG is the gold standard for treatment of root coverage.
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