Cognitive Functions in Patients of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus with Peripheral Neuropathy, An Observational Cross Sectional Study Done in India
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ABSTRACT

Background: Peripheral neuropathy and cognitive decline both are very common in diabetics but relationship between them is yet unclear. Hence, this study aimed at assessing the cognitive functions in patients of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) with diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) and compare them with that of patients of T2DM without DPN and apparently healthy controls.

Materials and Methods: In this observational cross sectional study eligible T2DM patients were divided into two groups with DPN (25) and without DPN (25) by Nerve conduction velocity (NCV). 25 apparently healthy controls were taken. Cognitive functions were tested by using P300 event related potential.

Result: P300 latency on Pz was significantly delayed in the T2DM with DPN as compared to T2DM without DPN (p < 0.05) and controls (p < 0.01). The average latency was also significantly delayed in T2DM with DPN as compared to controls (p < 0.05). A positive correlation between duration of diagnosis of T2DM (r = 0.3339, p = 0.0178*) with P300 Latency Fz was observed.

Conclusion: Cognitive functions are impaired in T2DM with DPN. Duration of illness is positively correlated to decline of cognitive functions.
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INTRODUCTION

The term diabetes mellitus (DM) is used for a group of common metabolic disorders that share the common phenotype of hyperglycaemia1. T2DM has assumed more importance due to its attendant long termmicro2,3 and macro-vascular4 complications. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is one of the most common long term complications of DM. It is progressive and irreversible with an incidence rate of about 50%.5 T2DM patients are also at increased risk of developing dementia and number of patients of T2DM demonstrating cognitive decline is also on the rise6.
Cognitive impairments can be detected by event related potentials using P300. Cognitive event-related evoked potentials (EREPs) are long-latency potential. P300 is an index of cognitive processing time and has been shown to be prolonged in dementia. Conflicting results have been reported regarding the relationship between any association of the long term complications like DPN and cognitive decline. In some studies diabetic patients with DPN have shown a decrease in cognitive and executive functions as compared with those without DPN.

Whereas, no relationship between cognitive functions and neuropathy in diabetic patients was observed in another study. In India, studies showing correlation between DPN and educational status matched. Studies have shown that whether the microvascular complications like DPN provide an early evidence of cognitive decline beyond what is observed in diabetic patients free from such complications. Moreover, development of cognitive dysfunction has an important bearing on the management and further progression of complications in diabetes. Therefore, there is a strong need for documenting any such association in T2DM patients.

**OBJECTIVES**

To find out -

1. Differences in cognitive function between T2DM patients with DPN as compared to T2DM patients without DPN.
2. Relationship between the duration of diagnosis T2DM and cognitive functions.

**MATERIAL & METHODS**

This present study was an observational cross-sectional study carried out in department of Physiology in association with department of Medicine, Lady Hardinge Medical College and associated Smt. Sucheta Kriplani Hospital conducted between November 2014 to March 2016.

The ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics committee for Human Research. Written and informed consent was taken from all study participants. The study protocol was carried out as per declaration of Helsinki.

**Inclusion criteria:**

For Diabetics-Comprised of 50 new or already diagnosed cases of T2DM either gender (as per ADA criteria) in the age group 40 to 60 years. They were then divided into two groups based on presence or absence of DPN (as per minimal criteria given by Tesfaye et al.). For Controls- Apparently healthy volunteers in the age group 40 to 60 years which were age, gender, BMI, socioeconomic status (SES) and educational status (ES) matched.

**Exclusion criteria:**

Individuals having history of neuropsychiatric illness, type 1 diabetes mellitus, presence of any significant impairment in communication, pre diabetics (as per ADA criteria) and history or examination suggestive of any other risk factors known to cause cognitive impairment.

The minimum values of nerve conduction velocity for diagnosing peripheral neuropathy were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Conduction Velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median motor nerve</td>
<td>54.44 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median sensory nerve</td>
<td>36.05 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal nerve</td>
<td>42.14 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural nerve</td>
<td>30.5 m/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study procedure**

1. A detailed history taking and examination was done. For screening the CBC, LFT, KFT, serum electrolytes, blood urea, serum creatinine, chest X-ray and ECG were done. Nerve conduction studies were done on all.

They were then divided into the following groups

**Group I a:** 25 patients of T2DM with DPN
**Group I b:** 25 patients of T2DM without DPN
**Group II:** 25 apparently healthy individuals as controls

2. **Age and anthropometric** measurements were recorded.

3. **P 300 Recording** -

Cognitive evoked potential P300 was tested by using a machine SCHWARZER TOPAS EMG neurophysiological measuring system provided by NATUS, Europe.

P300 is endogenous or event related potential (ERP) recorded in response to external stimulus or event. P300 latency was recorded as per standard guidelines.

Patients were asked to report with a clean & oil free scalp and to avoid anti-histaminics on the day of testing. The procedure was explained and it was emphasized that he/she should remain alert and still during the test.

Gold cup electrodes were applied by 10-20 system for calculating sites for placement. Electrode impedance was kept below 10 K ohms.

Auditory stimuli were delivered bilaterally using odd ball paradigm. Target and non-target stimuli were used (that comprised 20% and 80% of total stimuli respectively). Target stimuli (4000 Hz) were presented randomly. Non-target stimuli (1000 Hz) appeared at fixed interval of time. Intensity of stimuli was kept at 65 dB SPL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Conduction Velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median motor nerve</td>
<td>54.44 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median sensory nerve</td>
<td>36.05 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal nerve</td>
<td>42.14 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sural nerve</td>
<td>30.5 m/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some studies on Statins have shown lower prevalence of vascular dementia and Alzheimer’s disease with use of Statins while others have shown an equivocal result. Metformin also affects cognition. This study can be concluded that T2DM patients with DPN have impaired cognition as substantiated by delayed P300 latency on Pz. P300 latency on Pz was significantly delayed in T2DM with DPN as compared to T2DM without DPN (p < 0.05) and controls (p < 0.01) as shown in Fig. 1. The average latency is also significantly delayed in T2DM with DPN as compared to controls (p < 0.05) as shown in Fig. 2. A positive correlation between years since diagnosis of T2DM (r = 0.3339, p = 0.0178*) and P300 Latency Fz was observed as shown in Fig. 3.

DISCUSSION

In our study it was observed that the P300 latency on Pz is significantly higher in T2DM with DPN as compared to the T2DM without DPN and controls. The average P300 latency is also significantly higher in T2DM with DPN as compared to the controls.

These above findings suggest that cognitive functions as shown by P300 latency are delayed in diabetics with neuropathy as compared to the diabetics without neuropathy as well as controls. This is similar to study conducted by Ryan et al where they showed relationship between neuropathy and cognitive dysfunctions in diabetes, however, this study was done in T1DM patients. In another study conducted by Dey et al no relationship between peripheral neuropathy and cognitive functions was observed in T2DM patients. Ryan et al hypothesized that peripheral neuropathy could be a marker for a metabolically mediated “central neuropathy” which too, may be a consequence of a long history of hyperglycaemia.

A positive correlation of years since diagnosis of T2DM with P300 Latency Fz (P = 0.0178, r = 0.3339) was found. This suggests that as the duration of illness increases, there is a cognitive decline. Our finding is similar to that of Ryan et al where they have observed a significant decline in cognitive functions in T1DM patients over period of time as compared to controls. Worall G. et al also found similar observation between duration of T2DM and cognition.

Thus, our study is also consistent with the work done by Perlmutter et al where they observed that peripheral neuropathy is associated with cognitive impairment T2DM patients and in contrast to other study done by Lawson et al where they observed no such association.

Cognitive decline in diabetes mellitus can be due to impaired neurogenesis, changes in blood brain barrier, chronic hyperglycaemia, inflammatory mechanisms, vascular dysfunction affecting neuronal functions in brain. Hyperglycaemia may have toxic effects on neurons in the brain through osmotic insults and oxidative stress. Chronic high glucose level leads to the enhanced formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs). AGEs couple with free radicals and create oxidative damage, which in turn leads to neuronal injury. AGEs also activate microglia in the CNS. Microglia can become deleterious and damage neurons. The metabolic disturbances that are associated with clinically apparent distal symmetric polyneuropathy like alterations in peripheral nerve Na+-K+ ATPase activity that leads to a reduction in myo-inositol and sorbitol metabolism may also cause biochemical and physiological abnormalities that disrupt cell transport of various metabolites and substrates in both the peripheral and central nervous systems.

In our study, DPN and cognitive dysfunction may be present in same patient and a part of the same disease process.

CONCLUSION

In our study it can be concluded that T2DM patients with DPN have impaired cognition as substantiated by delayed P300 latency. This cognitive impairment may also progress as duration of T2DM increases.

LIMITATIONS: There are confounding factors in our study which could have affected the outcome.

1. Patients of both the diabetic groups were receiving Metformin and Statins. Metformin leads to an exacerbation of peripheral neuropathy. Metformin also affects cognition. Some studies on Statins have shown lower prevalence of vascular dementia and Alzheimer’s disease with use of Statins while others have shown an equivocal result.

2. Years since diagnosis of DM was also significantly different in both the diabetic groups.
Due to the small sample size in a tertiary care setting and the above said confounding factors, the study results cannot be generalized to all the diabetics in routine management.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is necessary to carry out the study on a larger sample size involving multi-ethnic and multi-centric population.
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Table 1: Characteristics of the study population in the three groups (Mean ± SEM values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Ia (n=25)</th>
<th>Ib (n=25)</th>
<th>Ii (n=25)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE (yrs)</td>
<td>49.84 ± 1.04</td>
<td>48.20 ± 0.94</td>
<td>48.36 ± 1.29</td>
<td>0.5112*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.30 ± 0.34</td>
<td>24.14 ± 0.35</td>
<td>24.46 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.0945*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>M=17,F=8</td>
<td>M=12,F=13</td>
<td>M=14,F=11</td>
<td>0.3550*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>Middle=23</td>
<td>Middle=22</td>
<td>Middle=23</td>
<td>0.8542#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower =2</td>
<td>Lower =3</td>
<td>Lower =2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES(Yrs of formal education)</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.94</td>
<td>7.72 ± 1.05</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.2448*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ANOVA, #Chi square test

Table 2: Treatment received by the T2DM patients. Values depict the number of patients on a particular drug and the percentage in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Ia (n=25)</th>
<th>Ib (n=25)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>23 (92%)</td>
<td>20 (80%)</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glimipride</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>0.0894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>21 (84%)</td>
<td>11 (44%)</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl cobalamine</td>
<td>12 (48%)</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>0.5698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#Chi square test

Table 3: Association between years since diagnosis, P300 latency Pz and P300 average latency amongst the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Ia (n=25)</th>
<th>Ib (n=25)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEARS SINCE DIAGNOSIS</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.39</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P300 latency Pz(ms)</td>
<td>343.40 ± 7.04</td>
<td>320.44 ± 5.13</td>
<td>313.90 ± 5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P300 latency Average(ms)</td>
<td>337.80 ± 6.97</td>
<td>320.03 ± 5.01</td>
<td>317.49 ± 5.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#Mann -Whitney, @ANOVA
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**Figure 1:** Tukey’s post-hoc test has been applied for multiple comparisons.

**Figure 2:** Tukey’s post-hoc test has been applied for multiple comparisons.

**Figure 3**