

ijcrr Vol 03 issue 05 Category: Research Received on:07/03/11 Revised on:18/03/11 Accepted on:25/03/11

A CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL STUDY OF ADENOMYOSIS AT HYSTERECTOMY

Ramesh B.H¹, Shashikala P², Manjula², Doddikoppad³, Chandrasekhar H.R³

¹Department of Pathology, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore.

² Department of Pathology, S. S. Institute of medical sciences and research centre, Davangere

³ Department of Pathology, J.J.M. Medical College, Davangere. Karnataka, Ind

E-mail of corresponding author: rameshpath@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Adenomyosis is a relatively frequent finding in the series of hysterectomies preformed for menorrhagia and dysmenorrhoea. In spite of the fact that it causes distressing and often serious functional disturbances requiring major operation for correction, many physicians, even gynaecologists have only a passing acquaintance with this entity.

Aim: The present study sought to evaluate the clinopathological aspects of adenomyosis.

Materials and Methods: The present study is a descriptive analysis of 896 hysterectomies received to the department of pathology J.J.M Medical College, Davangere, for a period of 2 years. Adenomyosis reported in 896 (49.04%) of 1827 uteri were included in the study irrespective of the preoperative diagnosis. When adenomyosis was found it was recorded and graded as to depth of penetration & degree of involvement.

Results: The prevalence of adenomyosis in 1827 hysterectomy specimen was 49.04% (896). Age of patients ranged from 20 –75 years. The parity ranged from one to ten. Menorrhagia was the commonest symptom. Leiomyoma was the common associated pathological lesion. In correlating adenomyosis with clinical symptoms, menorrhagia and dysmenorrhoea increases in frequency as the depth of penetration and degree of involvement of adenomyosis increases.

Conclusion: Adenomyosis is not a rare histopathological finding. Available evidence seems to generate uncertainty regarding a casual relationship between adenomyosis and the symptoms attributed to it. Majority of the patients with adenomyosis presented with various clinical features, which were clinically attributed to associated diseases.

Key words: Adenomyosis, Parity, Grading

INTRODUCTION

Adenomyosis was first described by Rokitansky in 1860¹. In spite of long cognizance and many publications regarding adenomyosis there is still widespread disagreement as to its incidence, theories of origin, and associated pathology. Hyperestrinism has been frequently cited as the initiating factor in adenomyosis. This has never been substantiated, but the frequent association of endometrial hyperplasia and myomas with adenomyosis certainly tends to link adenomyosis in some way with uncompensated estrogen stimulation in many cases².

In reviewing the literature, adenomyosis is indeed an "elusive" lesion. There are several reasons for this. First, the exact aetiology of adenomyosis is not known. Second, its precise incidence in surgical specimens is not known and variance between 8% - 40% is reported, depending on which study or text one reviews. Certain reviews reports a correct pre-operative diagnosis is less than 10% of the instances of proved adenomyosis³.

In spite of the fact that it causes distressing and often serious functional disturbances requiring major operation for correction, many physicians, even gynaecologists have only a passing acquaintance with this entity². In 60 to 80% of women with this condition also have other associated pelvic diseases such as leiomyomas, endometriosis and endometrial polyps. According to some authors, this frequent association tends to indicate hyperestrogenism as a common denominator⁴.

The present study sought to evaluate the clinopathological aspects of adenomyosis.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

During the study period of 2years 1827hysterectomy specimens were received in the department of pathology J.J.M Medical College, Davangere. These specimens were fixed in 10% formalin for 24-48 hrs. Gross morphology of uterus with associated pathology was noted. Tissue bits from representative areas (3-6 blocks) were taken for histopathology examination. Multiple sections of 5 microns thickness stained with haematoxylin & eosin were studied.

Adenomyosis reported in 896 uteri irrespective of the preoperative diagnosis were included in the study. The diagnostic criteria used in the identification was the presence endometrial

glands & stroma at least one low power field below the basal layer of endometrium surrounded by myometrium. When adenomyosis was found it was recorded and graded as to depth of penetration & degree of involvement³. Based on depth of penetration Grade I: one low power field below the basal endometrium, Grade II: penetration to mid myometrium and Grade III - penetration beyond mid myometrium. With respect to degree of Involvement were categorized as Slight: few (1-3) endometrial glands / low power field, Moderate: several (4-9) endometrial glands / low power field and Marked: many (10 or more) endometrial glands/ low power field. These pathologic results were then reviewed and an attempt was made to correlate the pathologic findings with data, diagnosis and other clinical preoperative parameters.

RESULTS

Of the 1827 hysterectomy specimen received during the 2year period, adenomyosis was reported in 896 uteri (49.04%).

Patient profile

Age of patients ranged from 20 - 75 years with a mean age of 39.8 years. Peak incidence was seen in fourth decade with 48.43% cases. Of these 892 patients were parous (99.55%) and only four were nulliparous (0.45%). The parity ranged from one to ten. Menorrhagia was the commonest symptom [Figure 1].



Figure 1: Clinical symptoms in cases of Adenomyosis

Histomorphology

Of the 896 uterus studied, 475(53%) cases were normal in size, 391(43.64%) were bulky and only 30(3.36%) uteri were atrophic. On cut section, myometrium showed characteristic coarse trabeculated appearance which was diffuse in 677(75.55%) uteri and focal in 189(21.09%) uteri. However it was difficult to appreciate these features in 30 atrophic uteri. Small cyst like spaces with dark brown areas was observed in 150 adenomyotic uteri. 122(13.61%) uteri were associated with leiomyomas.

Microscopically grade I adenomyosis was encountered in 528 uteri (58.93%), Grade II in 340 uteri (37.95%) and 28 uteri (3.12%) showed Grade III adenomyosis. Analysis of the degree of involvement of adenomyosis, slight or mild degree was commonest encountered in 580(64.73%) uteri followed by moderate involvement in 281 (31.36%) uteri and severe degree in 35(3.91%) uteri.

Endometrial changes in Adenomyotic uterus: Proliferative phase was seen in 471 cases (52.56%) followed by secretary endometrium in 296 cases (33.04%) and cystic glandular hyperplasia in 72 cases (8.04%). Atrophic endometrium was found in 57 cases (6.36%). In 326 (36.38%) cases various associated pathological lesions were observed along with adenomyosis [Table 1].

| Associated lesion | Number | Percentage |
|------------------------|--------|------------|
| Leiomyomas | 122 | 13.61 |
| Follicular cyst | 197 | 21.99 |
| Granulosa cell tumor | 1 | 0.11 |
| Brenner tumor | 1 | 0.11 |
| Benign cystic teratoma | 5 | 0.56 |

Table 1: Associated pathological lesion

Adenomyosis and clinical symptoms

Correlating menorrhagia with degree of adenomyosis of the 580 cases with mild degree of adenomyosis, 261 (45%) had menorrhagia, 169 of the 281(60.14%) cases of moderate degree of adenomyosis, had this symptom and 23 of 35(65.71%) cases of severe of adenomyosis had menorrhagia. Association of

dysmenorrhoea with degree of adenomyosis is shown in Figure 1.

Correlating dysmenorrhoea with depth of adenomyosis in Grade I penetration, 185 of 528(35%) patients, Grade II penetration, 154 of 340(45.29%) patients and Grade III penetration, 21 of 28(75%) patients had dymenorrhoea.



Figure 2: Bar chart showing association of dysmenorrhoea with degree of adenomyosis.

DISCUSSION

The true incidence of adenomyosis is unknown. The frequency of adenomyosis reported in the literature ranges widely from 5 to 70%. The incidence of adenomyosis in the present study was 49.04% and preoperative clinical diagnosis of adenomyosis was done in only 31 cases (3.46%) similar to other studies^{1-3,5}.

Age/parity

In the present study the peak age incidence of adenomyosis was in fourth and fifth decades (73.88%) which is in accordance with other studies^{1-3,8}. Adenomyosis typically affects multiparous patients^{1,4}. In our study, most of the were multiparous (99.55%) patients as comparable to other studies^{2-4, 8,9}. The overwhelming population of parous patients in various studies lends support to the concept that child bearing may play a role in the aetiology of adenomyosis².

Symptoms

The **s**ymptom association with adenomyosis is excessive uterine bleeding accompanied by worsening dysmenorrhoea. However adenomyosis may be entirely asymptomatic^{3,4}. In the present study, incidence of menorrhagia in patients with adenomyosis was 50.55% similar to other studies^{3,7}. Dysmenorrhoea from present study correlates with other studies^{1-3,6,7}. As with other studies in the present study reported symptoms in cases of adenomyosis were heterogenous, nonspecific and probably related to the associated diseases⁴.

Histomorphology

Diffuse enlarged uterus that is soft & tender on palpation is associated with adenomyosis³. In the present series, adenomyotic uterine size was normal in 53% of cases, slightly enlarged or bulky in 43.64% of cases and was atrophic in 3.36% similar to other studies². This study

shows that adenomyosis is not always associated with clinically demonstrable uterine enlargement, unless associated with leiomyomas similar to other studies².

Grade I adenomyosis was commonest, found in 58.93% of uteri, followed by Grade II in 37.95% and Grade III in 3.12% in accordance with other studies³. Present study showed mild adenomyosis in 64.73%, moderate degree in 31.36% and severe degree in 3.91% of uteri in contrast to other studies³.

Association of Adenomyotic uteri with Clinical symptoms

Incidence of menorrhagia is directly proportional to the degree of involvement³. With respect to degree of involvement the results in the present study was in accordance with other studies³ that, as the degree of involvement increases more frequent is the occurrence of menorrhagia.

Present study shows dysmenorrhoea correlated with depth of penetration & degree of involvement Similar to other studies^{3, 4,11}. which showed dysmenorrhoea increases in frequency as the depth of penetration and degree of involved increases, which substantiates Halls hypothesis³.

Association of adenomyosis with other uterine pathology

In the present study, most of the uteri with adenomyosis showed proliferative phase (52.56%) followed by Secretory phase (33.04%), endometrial hyperplasia (8.04%) and atrophic in 6.36% of uteri which are in accordance with other studies²⁻⁴.

Present study shows additional pelvic pathology in 36.38% of adenomyotic uteri which is less in comparison to other studies². The incidence of leiomyoma in our study (13.61%) is similar to other studies^{7, 10,12}.

CONCLUSION

Adenomyosis is not a rare histopathological finding. Available evidence seems to generate uncertainty regarding a casual relationship between adenomyosis and the symptoms attributed to it. Majority of the patients in this study with adenomyosis presented with various clinical features, which were clinically attributed to associated diseases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Azziz R. Adenomyosis: Current perspectives. Obstet Gynaecol Clinics of North America 1989; 16: 221-35.
- Molitor J. Adenomyosis: A clinical and pathologic appraisal. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 1971; 110: 275-84.
- Bird CC, Mcelin TW, Manola EP. The elusive adenomyosis of the uterus- revisited. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 1972; 112: 583-89.
- Vercellini P, Ragni G, Trespidi L, Oldani S, Panazza ,S.et al: Adenomyosis: A Déjà vu ? Obstet and Gynaecol Survey 1993; 48: 789-94.
- 5. Vercellini P, Parazzini, Oldani S, Panazza S, et al. Adenomyosis at hysterectomy; a study on frequency distribution and patient characteristics. Human reproduction: 1995; 10:1160-2.
- 6. Kilkku P, Erkolla R, Gronroos M. Non specificity of symptoms related to adenomyosis: A prospective comparative

survey. Acta Obstet. Gynaecol. Scand 1984; 63: 229-31.

- McCausland A.M. Hysteroscopic myometrial biopsy: Its use in diagnosing adenomyosis and its clinical application. Am. J. Obstet. & Gynaecol 1992; 166:1619-28.
- Wéry O, Thille A, Gaspard U, van den Brûle F. Adenomyosis: update on a frequent but difficult diagnosis. Gynaecol obstet Biol Reprod 2005; 34:633-48.
- Bergholt T, Eriksen L, Berendt N, Jacobsen M, Hertz JB. Prevalence and risk factors of adenomyosis at hysterectomy. Hum. Reprod 2001;16: 2418-21
- Yeniel O, Cirpan T, Ulukus M, Ozbal A, Gundem G, Ozsener S et al. Adenomyosis: prevalence, risk factors, symptoms and clinical findings. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol. 2007; 34: 163-7.
- Sammour A, Pirwany I, Usubutun A, Arseneau J, Tulandi T. Correlations between extent and spread of adenomyosis and clinical symptoms. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2002; 54 :213-6.
- Taran AF, Weaver AL, Coddington C, Stewart EA. Characteristics indicating adenomyosis coexisting with leiomyomas: a case–control study. Hum. Reprod 2010; 25: 1177-82.