PERFORMANCE OF DFT FOR THE CALCULATION OF HYPERFINE COUPLING CONSTANTS OF SOME ISOTROPIC METAL COMPLEXES
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ABSTRACT

The performance of DFT methodology to predict with accuracy the hyperfine coupling constants (hfccs) of isotropic metal complex, [Mn(CN)₄]²⁻, [Cr(CO)₄]⁺, and Co (CO)₄ have been investigated. For this investigation, BHPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BLYP, BHLYP, BPW91, BP86, BPW86 functional have been used. The hyperfine coupling constants values obtained from different density functionals have been found in the order of BHPW91 > BHP86 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > EXP for [Mn(CN)₄]²⁻, BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3PW91 > B3LYP > EXP for [Co (CO)₄]. The performance of the functional B3PW91 has been found better for Isotropic Metal Complex [Mn(CN)₄]²⁻, BHPW91 for Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)₄]⁺ and B3LYP for Isotropic Metal Complex [Co (CO)₄]. We have inferred that results obtained by DFT calculated EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant values are in close agreement with experimentally observed values.
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INTRODUCTION

Experimental method based on electron paramagnetic resonance phenomena belongs to most widely experimental techniques for investigation of molecular and electronic structure the difficulty with such experiments usually a proper interpretation of data obtained from high resolution spectra, opens new challenges for pure theoretical methods. One of these methods is density functional theory that now has an advanced position among the whole variety of computational techniques [11,12]. The computation of the structure of transition metal complexes has been an area of long standing interest, with clear problems not present in the corresponding studies of 1st and 2nd row species [5]. Much of the growing acceptance by the physics and chemistry community of Density Functional Theory (DFT) is due to its success in treating transition metal complexes, in stark contrast to the failure of the Hartree Fock method. This technique presently the most successful approach to compute electronic structure of matter and hence for calculation of EPR parameters such as g-tensors and hyperfine coupling constants A of transition metal complexes [6, 22]. The basic idea behind DFT is to use the electron density rather than the quantum mechanical wave function to obtain information about atomic and molecular systems. While this idea came up in the very
first years of quantum mechanics with the pioneering work of Thomas and Fermi in 1927[9] and was continued with Slater [24] the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems [7] are regarded as the real beginning of DFT. The most fundamental of these approaches originates from the pioneering work of Hartree and Fock [20]. Modern DFT rests on two theorems by Hohenberg and Kohn [8,10]. The major problem of DFT: the exact functionals for exchange and correlation are not known except for the free electron gas. However, many approximations exist which permit the calculation of molecular properties at various levels of accuracy. The most fundamental and simplest approximation is the local-density approximation (LDA), in which the energy depends only on the density at the point where the functional is evaluated (Kohn and Sham). LDA, which in essence assumes that the density corresponds to that of a homogeneous electron gas, proved to be an improvement over HF. While LDA remains a major workhorse in solid state physics, its success in chemistry is at best moderate due to its strong tendency for over binding. The first real breakthrough came with the creation of functionals belonging to the so-called generalized gradient approximation (GGA) that incorporates dependence not only on the electron density but also on its gradient, thus being able to better describe the inhomogeneous nature of molecular densities. GGA functionals such as BP86 [3]) or PBE [20] can be implemented efficiently and yield good results, particularly for structural parameters, but are often less accurate for other properties. The next major step in the development of DFT was the introduction of hybrid functionals, which mix GGA with exact Hartree–Fock exchange [2]. Now days, hybrid DFT with the use of the B3LYP functional [3] is the dominant choice for the treatment of transition metal containing molecules. This method has shown good performance for a truly wide variety of chemical systems and properties. Transition metal complexes have achieved considerable interest in the field of physics, chemistry, and biology. These metal complexes show a wide variety of biological activity such as antitumoral [13, fungicidal [1] bactericidal, or antiviral [14]. They have been used for metal analysis for device application relative to telecommunications optical computing, storage and information processing. Several investigators have studied EPR parameters of transition metal complexes [4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28,] and have obtained good agreement with the experimental results. In this work we have represented the first extensive evaluation of DFT methods for the prediction of hyperfine coupling constants for \([\text{Mn(CN)}_4]^2^-\), \([\text{Cr(CO)}_4]^+\), and \([\text{Co (CO)}_4]\) Isotropic transition metal complexes. Results obtained for these complexes using eight different density functionals eight density functional BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BHLYP, BHP86, BHPW91 have been compared with reliable experimental data.
DATA AND ANALYSIS

TABLE 1.0-Dependence of Isotropic Metal HFCCs on the Exchange-Correlation Functional (in MHz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BLYP</th>
<th>BP86</th>
<th>BPW91</th>
<th>B3LYP</th>
<th>B3PW91</th>
<th>BHLYP</th>
<th>BHP86</th>
<th>BHPW91</th>
<th>exp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)₄N]⁻</td>
<td>-160.1</td>
<td>-170.4</td>
<td>-176.0</td>
<td>-250.1</td>
<td>-275.0</td>
<td>-506.7</td>
<td>-548.5</td>
<td>-558.5</td>
<td>-276'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[[Cr(CO)₄]⁺</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(CO)₄]⁻</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>-61.4</td>
<td>-75.4</td>
<td>-175.4</td>
<td>-210.0</td>
<td>-219.7</td>
<td>-47.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure1.0- Shows hyperfine coupling constant A values obtained from BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BHLYP ,BHP86, BHPW91, density functionals and experiment for Isotropic Metal Complex [Mn(CN)₄N]⁻.

Results - BHPW91 > BHP86 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > EXP
Isotropic Metal [Cr(CO)]$	extsuperscript{+}$

Figure 2.0- Shows hyperfine coupling constant A values obtained from BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BHLYP, BHP86, BHPW91, density functionals and experiment for Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)]$	extsuperscript{+}$

**Results** - BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > BHLYP > BHP86 > BHPW91 > EXP

Isotropic Metal [Co (CO)₄]

Figure 3.0- Shows hyperfine coupling constant A values obtained from BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BHLYP, BHP86, BHPW91, density functionals and experiment for Isotropic Metal Complex [Co (CO)₄]

**Results** - BHPW91 > BHP86 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3PW91 > B3LYP > EXP.

**RESULTS**
The results of the EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant of different transition metal complexes considered for the DFT calculation in this work, obtained by the application of different density functionals BLYP, BP86, BPW91, B3LYP, B3PW91, BHLYP, BHP86,
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BHPW91, and experiments are listed in Table No-1. The hyperfine coupling constant values obtained from different DFT functionals of different complexes are also shown in Figure 1, 2, 3. From the Figure 1, 2, 3, and Table 1, the results obtained by different density functional may be compared with experimental results. From the comparison of hyperfine coupling constants values obtained from different density functionals with experimentally observed values of the complexes considered in this study the performance of different functional have been found as follows.

1-Isotropic Metal Complex [Mn(CN)₄N]⁻

Results - BHPW91 > BHP86 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > EXP.

From these results it is inferred that result obtained by DFT functional B3PW91 is in close to the experimental result so the performance of this functional best for calculation EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for Isotropic Metal Complex [Mn(CN)₄N]⁻ where as result obtained by BHPW91 is very y poor and this functional is not appropriate for the calculation of EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for this complex.

2-Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)₄]⁺

Results - BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3LYP > B3PW91 > BHLYP > BHP86 > BHPW91 > EXP.

In this case of Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)₄]⁺, result obtained by DFT functional BHPW91 is in close to the experimental result so the performance of this functional best for calculation EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)₄]⁺ where as result obtained by BLYP is very y poor and this functional is not appropriate for the calculation of EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for this complex.

3-Isotropic Metal Complex [Co(CO)₄]

Results - BHPW91 > BHP86 > BHLYP > BLYP > BP86 > BPW91 > B3PW91 > B3LYP > EXP.

In the case of Isotropic Metal Complex [Co(CO)₄], result obtained by DFT functional B3LYP is in close to the experimental result so the performance of this functional best for calculation EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for Isotropic Metal Complex [Co(CO)₄] where as result obtained by BLYP is very y poor and this functional is not appropriate for the calculation of EPR parameter hyperfine coupling constant A for this complex.

CONCLUSION

From the above results it is inferred that that although the DFT calculated values of hyperfine coupling values obtained from different density functional for hyperfine coupling constant A have been found in close agreement with the experimental values but the better performance of the different functionals have been found different for different metal complexes. We have not identified any such functional, the results of which is excellent for all three metal complexes considered for study in this work. The performance of the DFT functional B3PW91 have been found better for Isotropic Metal Complex [Mn(CN)₄N]⁻, B3LYP for Isotropic Isotropic Metal Complex [Co(CO)₄] where as the DFT functional >BHPW91 is better for Isotropic Metal Complex [Cr(CO)₄]⁺ The theoretical results for hyperfine coupling constant obtained in this investigation suggesting that further development of density functionals is needed.
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